

# Main Point of the Paper

- ▶ What is the main point of the paper?

*Note: It's often harder than one may imagine to try and distill the main point of a paper. Another way to phrase this is "What is the most important thing I learned from this paper?" The answer to the latter question is sometimes different from what the authors say the main point of the paper is.*

- (a) Why is this an interesting or important idea?

*Note: The "why do we care" question. Why are **you** interested in this paper?*

- (b) What has previous work done in this area? What is the new contribution of this paper?

*Note: May spill over to another slide by now.*

- ▶ If theory paper, what's the main intuition?

*Note: Can you identify the main friction that leads to the results? Can you briefly explain the intuition? Is it a good model for the phenomenon being studied (does it capture what you think are the first-order effects)?*

- ▶ If empirical paper, what is the setting?

*Note: (1) Reduced form empirical: Why is this a good setting for the test? What is the main identification assumption? Is the exclusion restriction likely to hold? External validity?*

*(2) Structural papers, of course, have some of both theory and empirics, so you'll have to do a little more work here. What are the main structural parameters being estimated? Do they relate to preferences/costs/technology/something else? Why is a structural model useful in this context?*

- ▶ What is unique/clever about the method or setting?

*Only use this slide if there is something you learned from this paper that carries over broadly in terms of a method you can use later in future work. Otherwise, skip and move on.*

- ▶ If theory paper, what is the main proposition?
- ▶ If empirical, what is the main table? Show the key coefficients.

*Note:*

- 1. At most two tables/propositions here.*
- 2. Do the main propositions/tables tell us the story that was promised? Or do they not quite get there?*

- ▶ What is good about what the authors did?

*Note: E.g., Answered a really important question / Clean, elegant model / Well-designed structural model / Found a good exogenous shock.*

- ▶ What can be improved on?

*Note: E.g., Ask a more interesting question / Endogenize some assumption / Use the data in some different way.*

- ▶ What is worth exploring going forward in this area? Does the paper open the door to further research?

*Note: If you could design a “dream result” in this area, what would that be?*

- ▶ Not for reading group use, but I just noticed that my template file for slides has some basic tikz objects pre-defined.

