

ECTE250 Deliverable 1 Proposal Presentation Marking Sheet -feeding pets? - Prescription medicine.

Marker's Name:	MARY VARGHESE
Team B:	VAIBHAV MALL
	ZEESHAN SWAVEEL
	HANUVARSHINI VELAYUTHAM
	AHMED ELOUPY
	GLENN ASHLEY PINTO

Team Marks				
Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion.	Content: Was the content relevant? (see below)	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations.	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members.	Total marks
Mark (/5)	Mark (/5)	Mark (/5)	Mark (/5)	Mark (/20)
2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	10/20

Which project do you think should be selected? (aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget):

Content Required for Deliverable 1: Minimum 2 maximum 3 project proposals; each should include:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Description of the project and key functionalities. Justification on how the proposed system aligns with the theme. Preliminary design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, etc.). Justification on how the proposed system aligns with requirements and constraints. Estimated prototyping (parts) and labour cost. Prospective market and impact.
---	--

Marking Guide:
1: Very Poor - Most of the criteria is not met.
2: Poor - While most criteria are met, it is not of a satisfactory standard.
3: Average- All criteria are met to an acceptable level.
4: Good - All criteria are met and the presentation is of a good standard.
5: Very Good - All criteria are met and the presentation is of a very good standard.

Themes

The development of products interfaced to the Internet that make use of sensors, actuators, display and programmable devices, i.e. Internet of Things (IoT) and their applications. The major sub-theme for this year is IOT and their applications:

- In transport and traffic management
- In health (aged care – dementia etc)
- In manufacturing industry (IR 4.0)
- In humanitarian engineering (low cost engineering solutions for those in need)
- Similar IOT applications above

Comments
<p>- Idea 1 is not original, no innovation</p> <p>missing market research</p> <p>missing components</p> <p>IOT is not used</p> <p>missing block diagrams</p> <p>missing labour cost</p> <p>Poor flow of presentation</p>