


































ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
	5 /5	14 /15	5 /5	5 /5	29 /30	TEAM 2
Comments <u>Eterna Aid</u> ① Regulatory approval will be required. ② Zigbee. ③ Good presentation slides. ④ Device Health to be monitored. ⑤ Why the need for stand alone device, could existing devices be adapted? <u>Auxilium</u> ① Good presentation slides. ② Good research. * Confident group.					Ananya Vadlamani  8 /10 Comments	
					Neha Shababudeen  7 /10 Comments	
					Dana Alhafidh  8 /10 Comments	
					Taha Parker  9 /10 Comments	
Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget) <u>Eterna Aid</u>					Ayman Rafiq  10 /10 Comments	
					Mohammad Ali  8 /10 Comments	

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
	5 /5	13 /15	5 /5	5 /5	28 /30	TEAM 13
Comments <u>Smart Reminder Bugs</u> Use case to be researched and enhanced. <u>Air Quality Drone</u> Outdoor air quality - Regulatory / Community Strategy.					Abhiroop Antony	 7 /10 Comments
					Raya Rafeek	 7 /10 Comments
					Nahal Noushad	 7 /10 Comments
					Afrah Abdul Rasheed	 7 /10 Comments
Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget) <u>Air Quality Drone</u>					Shreyansh Soni	 10 /10 Comments

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks	
	4 /5	13 /15	5 /5	5 /5	27 /30	TEAM 5	
<p>Comments</p> <p><u>Parkinson Spoon</u></p> <p>* Compare with existing products and enhance.</p> <p>* Portability</p> <p><u>Smart Gas Monitoring</u></p> <p><u>Product comparison</u></p> <p><u>Portability</u></p>					Abubakar Shihabdeen		8 /10
					Comments		
					Mayank Tadepalli		9 /10
					Comments		
					Nuam Habibi		9 /10
					Comments		
Jan Ledesma		8 /10					
Comments							
Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget)					Shannen Godinho		9 /10
Comments							
<p><u>Parkinson spoon</u></p>					Saad Mohammed		8 /10
					Comments		

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
	4 /5	12 /15	5 /5	5 /5	26 /30	TEAM 9
Comments Recycle Ammenities Ammanities Delivery Robot					Leul Tilahun 	10 /10 Comments
					Naveen Rasheed 	6 /10 Comments
					Johan Varghese 	6 /10 Comments
					Mohamed Aly 	7 /10 Comments
Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget) Recycle.					Keren John 	8 /10

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
	4 /5	13 /15	4 /5	5 /5	26 /30	TEAM 4
Comments <u>Air X</u> ① Regulatory approval will be required. ② Portability to be checked. ③ Medicine compatibility <u>Vantage</u> ① Regulatory approval. ② Portability to be checked. ③ * Presentation was a little bit rushed, make it better using bullet points with visuals						Rayhan Baig  /10 Comments
						Dev Bhodia  /10 Comments
						Fatma Mehreen  /10 Comments
						Roqaiya Rahman  /10 Comments
Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget) <u>Vantage</u>						Mohammed Taaha  /10 Comments
						Joshua Koshy  /10 Comments

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
	4 / 5	13 / 15	3 / 5	5 / 5	25 / 30	TEAM 11
Comments <u>Forge</u> * Problems well picked * Use case to be researched <u>Vibration Sensor</u> * Use case to be researched and enhanced - good concept					Bilal Ayyad  Comments 9 / 10	
					Michel Habib  Comments 7 / 10	
					Aditya Salian  Comments 7 / 10	
					Muthana AlSirhan  Comments 7 / 10	
Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget) <u>Vibration Sensor</u>					Sandro Jubran  Comments 10 / 10	

Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget)