






















ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
	4 /5	11 /15	3 /5	4 /5	22/30	TEAM 9
Comments					Leul Tilahun 	/10
Both require more research. Waste classify & SNADR are very good topics. Team prefers waste classify to further develop.					Naveen Rasheed 	/10
					Johan Varghese 	/10
					Mohamed Aly 	/10
					Keren John 	
Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget)					Waste classify	

WasteClassify
SNADR

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
	3 /5	12 /15	4 /5	4 /5	21/30 EternaAid. AUXILIUM/30	TEAM 2
Comments					Ananya Vadlamani 	/10
ECG & ISO compliances.					Comments	
Target audience slide & Muscles target slide					Neha Shababudeen 	/10
AUXILIUM requires more development. Within the timeframe, EternaAid is more feasible.					Comments	
Team is more prepared with EternaAid. Please ensure the international compliances mentioned in the slides can be met app					Dana Alhafidh 	/10
Presentation timing to be worked on. Took about 30 minutes					Comments	
Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget)					Taha Parker 	/10
EternaAid.					Comments	
					Ayman Rafiq 	/10
					Comments	
					Mohammad Ali 	/10
					Comments	

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
	4 /5	12 /15	4 /5	4 /5	24 /30	TEAM 5
Comments					Abubakar Shihabdeen 	/10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very good presentation. Parkinson's spoon is the team's preference. Very good topic to be pursued. Smart gas detection is quite common in the market. Hence my preference would be Parkinson's spoon. 					Mayank Tadepalli 	/10
					Nuam Habibi 	/10
					Jan Ledesma 	/10
					Shannen Godinho 	/10
					Saad Mohammed 	/10
Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget) Parkinson's spoon					PARKINSON'S SPOON SMART GAS DETECTION	

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion.	Content: See attachment	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations.	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members.	Total group marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly?
	Group marks: 5 marks	Group marks: 15 marks	Group marks: 5 marks	Group marks: 5 marks	30 marks	Individual marks: 10 marks
	2 /5	10 /15	3 /5	3 /5	18 /30	TEAM 7
Comments					Atif Rassiwala	/10
Both the topics require more research. Well presented for both topics. & good effort from the team.						Comments
					Rana Nagi	/10
						Comments
					Shayaan Katib	/10
					Comments	
				Albin Vaidya	/10	
					Comments	

Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements, feasible within allocated time and budget)

Regenerative braking system as this has more opportunity
 Medicine dispensing vending machine was not the most appealing bid. It seemed like just another vending machine.

Medicine dispensing vending machine.
 Regenerative braking system