MARKER'S NAME: DR DAWOOD KHAN

**DATE: 20 JANUARY 2025** 

	MARKER'S NAME: DR DAWOOD KHAN					DATE: 20 JANUARY 2025		
ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion.  Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations.  Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members.  Group marks: 5 marks	Total group  30 marks	marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with th audience and were contents presented interestingly?  Individual marks: 10 marks	e
ECTE2 PRESE	4 /5	/3/15	4 /5	4/5		25/30	TEAM 3	
	Comments		1		Husain Udair		9 /1 Comments	0
					Chris D Souza	2	S/1	0
					Raghd Dawood		⊗ /10	0
					Safwaan Syed		8 /10 Comments	0
feasible	roject should be selection within allocated time	and budget)	Husaina Virpurwala	Control of the contro	9 /16	0		
br	Smart	head ,	Muhammad Mapkar		8 /10	0		

**DATE: 20 JANUARY 2025** 

	MARKER'S NAIVIE: DR DAWOOD KHAN					DATE: 20 JANUARY 2025		
ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion.  Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment  Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations.  Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members.  Group marks: 5 marks	Total group 30 marks	o marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly?	
CTE250 DI RESENTAT	41.5	12					Individual marks: 10 marks TEAM 6	
<u> </u>	Comments	/ 3/15	5 /5	4/5	.,	2430		
	Comments				Varenya Chivukula		Comments	
					Jose Rojo Jose		7/10	
					Comments			
					Amritaa Shankar		<sub>8</sub> /10	
							Comments	
				?	Sufiyan Shaikh		7 /10	
feasible	roject should be select within allocated time	and budget)	Sri Pillutla		\$\mathcal{S}/10\$  Comments			
•	U		Ceel		Vanshika Choube	Q	7 /10 Comments	

**MARKER'S NAME:** DR DAWOOD KHAN **DATE: 20 JANUARY 2025** Structure: **Content:** Visual Aids: **Questions: Total group marks** Individual marks presentation See **Appropriate** Ability to Criteria: Was the oral flow, timing, attachment use of answer 30 marks delivery fluent, clear and inclusion of diagrams questions audible? Was the ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL introduction, Group and clearly presentation style main body marks: illustrations. and concisely independent of notes or and conclusion. 15 marks by all text on slides? Was the Group team presenter engaged with the **Group marks:** marks: members. audience and were 5 marks 5 marks contents presented Group marks: interestingly? **PRESENTATION** 5 marks Individual marks: 10 marks TEAM 1 /5 /15 /5 /5 /30 Comments Roshan Ali /10 Comments Jia Philip /10 Comments Rayhan /10 Latheef Comments Nada /10

Elzoeiry

Nada

**Ibrahim** 

Robert

**Timofte** 

Comments

Comments

Comments

/10

/10

No-discration

Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements,

feasible within allocated time and budget)

MARKER'S NAME: DR DAWOOD KHAN

DATE: 20 JANUARY 2025

WIARREN S WAIVIE. DR DAWOOD KHAN					DATE: 20 JANUARY 2025		
ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion.  Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations.  Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members.  Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks  BO marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly?  Individual marks: 10 marks	
ECTE	4/5	/3/15	4 /5	3 /5	24/3	TEAM 8	
	Comments				Ayaan Ansari	S /10 Comments	
			Mohammed Chaudhari	7 /10 Comments			
					Mirza Baig	7/10 Comments	
					Syed Jawali	7/10 Comments	
feasible	roject should be selewithin allocated time	e and budget)	Abdul Bari Abdul Khaliq	Comments			
<i>y</i> -			QJ °		Asad Shaikh	S /10	

MARKER'S NAME: DR DAWOOD KHAN

**DATE: 20 JANUARY 2025** 

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion.  Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See attachment  Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations.  Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members.  Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks  BO marks	Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly?		
ECTE25 PRESEN	5 /5	/4/15	5 /5	5/5	29/3	marks TEAM 10		
	Comments				Joud Almomani Muaadh Shihabdeen	Comments  9 /10  Comments		
					Mohammad Sabah	S /10		
					Lujain Almomani	9 /10 Comments		
Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements,								

feasible within allocated time and budget)

project 1: Smart Parking

**MARKER'S NAME:** DR DAWOOD KHAN **DATE: 20 JANUARY 2025** Structure: Content: Visual Aids: **Questions: Total group marks Individual marks** presentation See Appropriate Ability to Criteria: Was the oral flow, timing, attachment use of answer 30 marks delivery fluent, clear and inclusion of diagrams questions audible? Was the introduction, ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 1 PROPOSAL PRESENTATION Group and clearly presentation style main body marks: illustrations. and concisely independent of notes or and conclusion. 15 marks by all text on slides? Was the Group team presenter engaged with the **Group marks:** marks: members. audience and were 5 marks 5 marks contents presented **Group marks:** interestingly? 5 marks Individual marks: 10 marks 4/5 **TEAM 12** 13/15 4 /5 5/5 26/30 Comments Shiza 9 /10 Haleem Comments Sanjit 7 /10 Mathur Comments Chuyao /10 7 Zhao Comments Zaid Kazi 9 /10

Which project should be selected? (Aligned with theme and requirements,

MEDAIR ( project #2)

feasible within allocated time and budget)

Comments

Comments

/10

Zarakkhan

Hakim