







MARKER'S NAME:

SHAMS MUSTAFA

DATE: 14 MARCH 2025

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See criteria &&& Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
	4/5	12/15	3/5	4/5	23/30	TEAM 2
Comments - very strong team and stronger idea - They have a strong vision that they're working towards. - However they're limiting themselves to B2B only, I believe there's also a future for B2C. - overall there's a strong future for the team, happy to have them visit us in Dubai Science Park. =>					Ananya Vadlamani 	9/10 Comments
					Neha Shababudeen 	7.5/10 Comments
					Dana Alhafidh 	8/10 Comments
					Taha Parker 	7/10 Comments
&& Criteria: Description of the project and key functionalities. Design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, state machines, etc) Budget (Budget for prototyping parts and labour, return of investment) Marketing (Marketing strategy, identifying target customers, strategy to boost sales)					Ayman Rafiq 	9.5/10 Comments
					Mohammad Ali 	7.5/10 Comments

MARKER'S NAME:

SHAMS MUSTAFA

DATE: 14 MARCH 2025

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION

Structure:
presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion.

Group marks:
5 marks

2 /5

Content:
See criteria &&&

Group marks:
15 marks

11 /15

Visual Aids:
Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations.

Group marks:
5 marks

3 /5

Questions:
Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members.

Group marks:
5 marks

4.5 /5

Total group marks

30 marks

20.5 /30

Individual marks

Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly?

Individual marks: 10 marks

TEAM 4

Comments

Rayhan Baig



8

/10

Comments

Dev Bhodia




7

/10

Comments

Fatma Mehreen




8

/10

Comments

Roqaiya Rahman



8

/10

Comments

Mohammed Taaha



7

/10

Comments

Joshua Koshy



8.5

/10

Comments

&&&

Criteria: Description of the project and key functionalities.

Design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, state machines, etc)

Budget (Budget for prototyping parts and labour, return of investment)

Marketing (Marketing strategy, identifying target customers, strategy to boost sales)

- Their tool truly provides an added value and has a huge potential.

- In terms of technicality they covered most of it, But I wished if they presented the operational part of it.







- Also the flow of the presentation was weak, it would have been better if they started with problem, solution, Target market. then dig into the prototype.

- Also they should have kept their answers shorter.

MARKER'S NAME:

SHAMS MUSTAFA

DATE: 14 MARCH 2025






ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See criteria &&& Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks		
	3 /5	11 /15	25 /5	3 /5	19.5 /30	TEAM 5		
Comments					Abubakar Shihabdeen	 7.5 /10 Comments		
<p>- Their tool previous value however it's wrong a saturated market, as there's existing tools already, so they need to focus more on the data side of it.</p> <p>- They also need a stronger marketing strategy.</p> <p>- In addition, their Milestone slide felt like it was taken from chatgpt, rather it would have been better if it was more realistic</p>					Mayank Tadepalli	 8 /10 Comments		
					Nuam Habibi	 7.5 /10 Comments		
					Jan Ledesma	 7 /10 Comments		
					&&& Criteria: Description of the project and key functionalities. Design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, state machines, etc) Budget (Budget for prototyping parts and labour, return of investment) Marketing (Marketing strategy, identifying target customers, strategy to boost sales)		Shannen Godinho	 8 /10 Comments
					Saad Mohammed	 6 /10 Comments		

ECTE250 Deliverable 3 Design Simulation

Date: 14 March 2025

Marker's name: Shams Mustafa

Rank	Team number	Comments
1 st	Team 2	definitely the best team for today, great idea strong structure, well trained team
2 nd	Team 4	great idea, however both presentation and their answers went too long
3 rd	Team 5	expected more work and stronger presentation.

Team number 2	     
Team number 4	     
Team number 5	     

MARKER'S NAME:

ZAKARIA TADROS

DATE: 14 MARCH 2025

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION

Structure:
presentation
flow, timing,
inclusion of
introduction,
main body
and conclusion.

Group marks:
5 marks

5 /5

Content:
See criteria
&&&

**Group
marks:**
15 marks

14/15

Visual Aids:
Appropriate
use of
diagrams
and
illustrations.

**Group
marks:**
5 marks

4/5

Questions:
Ability to
answer
questions
clearly
and concisely
by all
team
members.

Group marks:
5 marks

4/5

Total group marks

30 marks

27/30

Individual marks

Criteria: Was the oral
delivery fluent, clear and
audible? Was the
presentation style
independent of notes or
text on slides? Was the
presenter engaged with the
audience and were
contents presented
interestingly?

Individual marks: 3.0
marks

TEAM 4

Comments

The project is well constructed
and idea is very good, could have
a good potential to grow.

Design is good have two ways
for data camera and radar
and look great forme


Rayhan Baig



Comments


7/10

Dev Bhodia




Comments

10/10

Fatma
Mehreen

Comments

9/10

Roqaiya
Rahman

Comments

4/10

Mohammed
Taaha

Comments

8/10

Joshua
Koshy

Comments

10/10

&&&

Criteria: Description of the project and key functionalities.

Design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, state machines, etc)







Budget (Budget for prototyping parts and labour, return of investment)

Marketing (Marketing strategy, identifying target customers, strategy to boost sales)

MARKER'S NAME:







ZAKARIA TADROS

DATE: 14 MARCH 2025

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See criteria &&& Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
	4/5	15/15	4/5	3/5	27/30	TEAM 2
Comments is simple project, well constructed but is the simplest one						Ananya Vadlamani  8/10 Comments
						Neha Shababudeen  8/10 Comments
						Dana Alhafidh  9/10 Comments
						Taha Parker  8/10 Comments
&&& Criteria: Description of the project and key functionalities. Design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, state machines, etc) Budget (Budget for prototyping parts and labour, return of investment) Marketing (Marketing strategy, identifying target customers, strategy to boost sales)						Ayman Rafiq  10/10 Comments
						Mohammad Ali  7/10 Comments

MARKER'S NAME: ZAKARIA TADROS

DATE: 14 MARCH 2025

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION	Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See criteria &&& Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
	4 /5	12/15	3 /5	4/5	23/30	TEAM 5
Comments					Abubakar Shihabdeen 	9 /10
					Comments	
					Mayank Tadepalli 	8 /10
					Comments	
					Nuam Habibi 	8 /10
					Comments	
					Jan Ledesma 	7/10
					Comments	
&&& Criteria: Description of the project and key functionalities. Design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, state machines, etc) Budget (Budget for prototyping parts and labour, return of investment) Marketing (Marketing strategy, identifying target customers, strategy to boost sales)					Shannen Godinho 	9/10
					Comments	
					Saad Mohammed 	8 /10
					Comments	

ECTE250 Deliverable 3 Design Simulation

Date: 14 March 2025

Marker's name: Zakaria Tadros

Rank	Team number	Comments
1 st	Team	mainly one of team answer most of questions
2 nd	Team	team is integrated and well connected and they answer according to thier assigned part
3 rd	Team	team is in tegrated but not all of them has some share of work




Best Project

Team number 2						
Team number 4						
Team number 5						

WALEED YAHYA ALHAMD







DATE: 14 MARCH 2025

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION

Structure: presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion. Group marks: 5 marks	Content: See criteria &&& Group marks: 15 marks	Visual Aids: Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations. Group marks: 5 marks	Questions: Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members. Group marks: 5 marks	Total group marks 30 marks	Individual marks Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly? Individual marks: 10 marks
 /5	15 /15	5 /5	 /5	 /30	TEAM 2

Comments

- Demonstrate with deep understanding
- Presentation was clear

<p>Ananya Vadlamani</p> 	<p>10 /10</p>
<p>Comments</p>	
<p>Neha Shababudeen</p> 	<p>10 /10</p>
<p>Comments</p>	
<p>Dana Alhafidh</p> 	<p>10 /10</p>
<p>Comments</p>	
<p>Taha Parker</p> 	<p>10 /10</p>
<p>Comments</p>	
<p>Ayman Rafiq</p> 	<p>10 /10</p>
<p>Comments</p>	
<p>Mohammad Ali</p> 	<p>10 /10</p>
<p>Comments</p>	

MARKER'S NAME:

WALEED YAHYA ALHAMDI

DATE: 14 MARCH 2025

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION

Structure:
presentation flow, timing, inclusion of introduction, main body and conclusion.

Group marks:
5 marks

3 /5

Content:
See criteria &&&

Group marks:
15 marks

14 /15

Visual Aids:
Appropriate use of diagrams and illustrations.

Group marks:
5 marks

5 /5

Questions:
Ability to answer questions clearly and concisely by all team members.

Group marks:
5 marks

5 /5

Total group marks

30 marks

28 /30

Individual marks

Criteria: Was the oral delivery fluent, clear and audible? Was the presentation style independent of notes or text on slides? Was the presenter engaged with the audience and were contents presented interestingly?

Individual marks: 10 marks

TEAM 4

Comments

- Design Bulky
- Component selection ~~is~~ to be reconsidered

Rayhan Baig



9 /10

Comments


Dev Bhodia



10 /10

Comments


Fatma Mehreen



10 /10

Comments

Roqaiya Rahman



10 /10

Comments

Mohammed Taaha



9 /10

Comments

Joshua Koshy



10 /10

Comments

&&&

Criteria: Description of the project and key functionalities.
Design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, state machines, etc)
Budget (Budget for prototyping parts and labour, return of investment)
Marketing (Marketing strategy, identifying target customers, strategy to boost sales)

MARKER'S NAME:

WALEED YAHYA ALHAMD

DATE: 14 MARCH 2025

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION

Structure:
presentation
flow, timing,
inclusion of
introduction,
main body
and conclusion.

Group marks:
5 marks

Content:
See criteria
&&&

Group marks:
15 marks

Visual Aids:
Appropriate
use of
diagrams
and
illustrations.

Group marks:
5 marks

Questions:
Ability to
answer
questions
clearly
and concisely
by all
team
members.

Group marks:
5 marks

Total group marks

30 marks

Individual marks

Criteria: Was the oral
delivery fluent, clear and
audible? Was the
presentation style
independent of notes or
text on slides? Was the
presenter engaged with the
audience and were
contents presented
interestingly?

Individual marks: 10
marks

TEAM 5

Comments

- Device is bulky
- Components selection
to be reconsidered
- IOT not applicable

Abubakar
Shihabdeen



10 /10

Comments

Mayank
Tadepalli



10 /10

Comments

Nuam
Habibi



9 /10

Comments

Jan
Ledesma



9 /10

Comments

Shannen
Godinho



10 /10

Comments

Saad
Mohammed



9 /10

Comments

&&&

Criteria: Description of the project and key functionalities.

Design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, state machines, etc)

Budget (Budget for prototyping parts and labour, return of investment)

Marketing (Marketing strategy, identifying target customers, strategy to
boost sales)

(Structure):

Content → Project Description
→ Design
→ Budget
→ Marketing

What are the data received from
imm

Visual Aids:

① 28) team 1) 3/5

Questions:

② 26) team 2) 3/5

③ team 3) 3/5

4/5

15/15 4/5 5/5

14/15 5/5 5/5
Schematic

13/15 4/5

13/15

MARKER'S NAME:

EVA BARBULESCU

DATE: 14 MARCH 2025

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION

Structure:
presentation
flow, timing,
inclusion of
introduction,
main body
and conclusion.

Group marks:
5 marks

5/5

Content:
See criteria
&&&

Group marks:
15 marks

15/15

Visual Aids:
Appropriate
use of
diagrams
and
illustrations.

Group marks:
5 marks

5/5

Questions:
Ability to
answer
questions
clearly
and concisely
by all
team
members.

Group marks:
5 marks

5/5

Total group marks

30 marks

30 /30

Individual marks

Criteria: Was the oral
delivery fluent, clear and
audible? Was the
presentation style
independent of notes or
text on slides? Was the
presenter engaged with the
audience and were
contents presented
interestingly?

Individual marks: 10
marks

TEAM 2

Comments

→ Great design
→ financial forecast is good
→ good presentation
→ include a column with the estimate
new price for product with the
quality materials you plan on using
if you go on the market.

Ananya
Vadlamani



10/10

Comments


Neha Shababudeen



10/10

Comments

Dana
Alhafidh



10/10

Comments

Taha Parker



10/10

Comments

&&&

Criteria: Description of the project and key functionalities.

Design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, state machines, etc)

Budget (Budget for prototyping parts and labour, return of investment)

Marketing (Marketing strategy, identifying target customers, strategy to
boost sales)

Ayman
Rafiq



10/10

Comments

Mohammad
Ali



10/10

Comments

MARKER'S NAME:

EVA BARBULESCU

DATE: 14 MARCH 2025

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION

Structure:
presentation
flow, timing,
inclusion of
introduction,
main body
and conclusion.

Group marks:
5 marks

Content:
See criteria
&&&

Group marks:
15 marks

Visual Aids:
Appropriate
use of
diagrams
and
illustrations.

Group marks:
5 marks

Questions:
Ability to
answer
questions
clearly
and concisely
by all
team
members.

Group marks:
5 marks

Total group marks

30 marks

Individual marks

Criteria: Was the oral
delivery fluent, clear and
audible? Was the
presentation style
independent of notes or
text on slides? Was the
presenter engaged with the
audience and were
contents presented
interestingly?

Individual marks: 10
marks

5/5

15/15

5/5

5/5

30/30

TEAM 4

Comments

→ great design presentation
→ very good explanation of functionality
→ explain the cost more
→ good answering of questions

Rayhan Baig




10/10

Comments


without Try to speak freely
looking at phone

Dev Bhodia




10/10

Comments

Fatma
Mehreen

10/10


Comments

Roqaiya
Rahman

10/10

Comments

Talk a bit slower so it is
easier to follow

Mohammed
Taaha

10/10

Comments

Try to not look at the phone

Joshua
Koshy

10/10

Comments

&&&

Criteria: Description of the project and key functionalities.

Design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, state machines, etc)

Budget (Budget for prototyping parts and labour, return of investment)

Marketing (Marketing strategy, identifying target customers, strategy to
boost sales)

MARKER'S NAME:

EVA BARBULESCU

DATE: 14 MARCH 2025

ECTE250 DELIVERABLE 3: DESIGN SIMULATION

Structure:
presentation
flow, timing,
inclusion of
introduction,
main body
and conclusion.

Group marks:
5 marks

5/5

Content:
See criteria
&&&

Group marks:
15 marks

15/15

Visual Aids:
Appropriate
use of
diagrams
and
illustrations.

Group marks:
5 marks

5/5

Questions:
Ability to
answer
questions
clearly
and concisely
by all
team
members.

Group marks:
5 marks

5/5

Total group marks

30 marks

30/30

Individual marks

Criteria: Was the oral
delivery fluent, clear and
audible? Was the
presentation style
independent of notes or
text on slides? Was the
presenter engaged with the
audience and were
contents presented
interestingly?

Individual marks: 10
marks

TEAM 5

Comments

→ good presentation
→ good answering of questions
→ try to make the ppt more
professional, use less colors or
designs for slideshows.
→ show more simulations in the
future


Abubakar
Shihabdeen



10/10

Comments

Mayank
Tadepalli



10/10

Comments

Nuam
Habibi



10/10

Comments

Jan
Ledesma



10/10

Comments

&&&

Criteria: Description of the project and key functionalities.
Design (block diagrams, flowcharts, state charts, state machines, etc)
Budget (Budget for prototyping parts and labour, return of investment)
Marketing (Marketing strategy, identifying target customers, strategy to
boost sales)

Shannen
Godinho



10/10

Comments

Saad
Mohammed



10/10

Comments