# tureen625: R Package Tutorial

# Tahmeed Tureen

BSTAT 625 : Big Data Computing

### Relevant Libraries

### library(tureen625)

Requirements for Submission:

- Comparison(s) against the original R functions on simulated or real datasets
- Correction (e.g. via all.equal())
- Efficiency (e.g. bench::mark())

### Table of Contents

- Overview
- Fit the Linear Regression Model
- Analyze the Results
- Correction Analysis
- Efficiency Analysis
- Analysis on Larger Dataset

# Fit the Linear Regression Model

For this tutorial, we will fit a multi-variable linear regression model with some continuous predictor variables and one categorical predictor variable. The data we use for demonstration is the mtcars dataset which is provided by the datasets library (More documentation on this dataset can be viewed HERE).

The regression model we will fit is shown below:

$$mpg_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 cyl_i + \beta_2 hp_i + \beta_3 wt_i + \beta_4 vs_i$$

where

 $\bullet$  mpg : Miles/gallon

• cyl: Number of cylinders

• hp : Horsepower

• wt : Weight (1000 lbs)

• vs : Engine (0 = V-shape, 1 = manual)

# Setting Up Data

```
# Load up mtcars dataset
data("mtcars")
dim(mtcars)
```

## [1] 32 11

For our Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) function, we need the inputs of the outcome and independent variables to be separated and in matrix format. We demonstrate this process in the following R chunk:

```
# Create design matrix
# We need to add a vector of 1's for the intercept
X_matrix <- cbind(1, mtcars$cyl, mtcars$hp, mtcars$wt, mtcars$vs)
Y_vec <- as.vector(mtcars$mpg)</pre>
```

**NOTE:** You may want to fit a regression model that incorporates a categorical variable that has more than 2 classes. In that case, we recommend using the model.matrix() function to easily create a design matrix. This function will convert a matrix with factor variables into a dummy encoded (reference cell encoding) matrix (see ?model.matrix()) for additional help.

For example:

```
# Make sure to use as.factor() if your variable isn't in that type/class format
X_matrix2 <- stats::model.matrix(~cyl + hp + wt + as.factor(vs), data = mtcars)
X_matrix2 <- as.matrix(X_matrix2)

# Check if all 160 entries are equal in the two design matrices
all.equal(sum(X_matrix == X_matrix2), 160)</pre>
```

## [1] TRUE

#### Fitting the Model

We input our data variables into our function fit\_OLS() to fit our OLS model

### Analyze & Visualize the Results

In this section, we show how we can use the returned object from our function to do some analyses of our results. First, we will observe our  $\hat{\beta}$  estimates and the standard errors associated with the estimates  $SE(\hat{\beta})$ 

```
OLS.fit$param_estimates
```

```
## [1] 38.48404581 -0.90501219 -0.01785427 -3.18355380 0.15457896

OLS.fit$param_StdErrors
```

```
## [1] 3.33740414 0.67894306 0.01224378 0.77368907 1.61535124
```

Note that the order of the values in this vector/matric objects corresponds to the same order in which the variables are arranged in the design matrix X. Thus, the first value from the two outputs above corresponds to the Intercept in the regression model and the 2nd value above corresponds to the cyl variable.

```
# View confidence intervals
OLS.fit$conf_int

## [,1] [,2]
## [1,] 31.94273370 45.025357921
```

We can see that only the first and fourth  $\hat{\beta}$  estimates have confidence interval intervals that do not include a zero. Therefore, we can make the claim that the weight (wt) of a car has a significant negative effect on the outcome of interest (mpg) by a magnitude of approximately -3.18 miles per gallon.

You can also view all of these results by simplying running the following code

```
round(OLS.fit$results, 3)
```

```
##
     Term Estimate Std. Error 95% CI Lower Bound 95% CI Upper Bound
## 1
        1
            38.484
                         3.337
                                             31.943
                                                                 45.025
## 2
        2
            -0.905
                         0.679
                                             -2.236
                                                                  0.426
## 3
        3
            -0.018
                         0.012
                                             -0.042
                                                                  0.006
## 4
            -3.184
                         0.774
                                             -4.700
                                                                 -1.667
                         1.615
## 5
             0.155
                                             -3.012
                                                                  3.321
```

## Correction Analysis

We compare our results with the commonly used function in R for fitting linear regression models called lm(). First, we compare the parameter estimates then the standard errors. Finally, we compare if we can draw the same inferences from both functions.

# Compare $\hat{\beta}$ estimates

```
# Fit the model using lm()
lm.fit <- lm(formula = "mpg ~ cyl + hp + wt + vs", data = mtcars)
all.equal(as.vector(lm.fit$coefficients), as.vector(OLS.fit$param_estimates))</pre>
```

#### ## [1] TRUE

• Our implemented R function has the same  $\beta$  esimates as the lm() function

### Compare $SE(\hat{\beta})$

```
stdErr.lm <- summary(lm.fit)$coefficients[,"Std. Error"]
all.equal(as.vector(stdErr.lm), OLS.fit$param_StdErrors)</pre>
```

#### ## [1] TRUE

• Our implemented R function has the same  $SE(\hat{\beta})$  values as the lm() function

### Compare residuals

```
all.equal(as.vector(OLS.fit$residuals), as.vector(lm.fit$residuals))
```

#### ## [1] TRUE

• Our implemented R function has the same residual values as the lm() function

# Efficiency Analysis

Now, we compare the speed of the two functions by using the microbenchmark package.

```
library(microbenchmark)
microbenchmark(
    lm(formula = "mpg ~ cyl + hp + wt + vs", data = mtcars),
    tureen625::fit_OLS(design_X = X_matrix, Y = Y_vec)
)

## Unit: microseconds
##
    expr min lq mean
### lan(formula = Manage and the protection of the pro
```

## expr min lq mean
## lm(formula = "mpg ~ cyl + hp + wt + vs", data = mtcars) 912.9 1430.4 1836.751
## tureen625::fit\_OLS(design\_X = X\_matrix, Y = Y\_vec) 256.7 409.5 529.054
## median uq max neval
## 1903.3 2179.75 5680.7 100
## 527.8 622.85 1525.3 100

• We see that our implemented function runs faster than the lm(), on average. We believe this is because our function provides estimates for the  $\hat{\beta}$ , the standard errors, residuals, and the confidence intervals. See below for a couple more features.

"results"

The lm() function provides a lot of other features such as the t-test statistic, p-values,  $R^2$  value, Adjusted  $R^2$ . So, there must be a lot of other computations that are ongoing.

### Analysis on Larger Dataset

## [1] "Y" "c1" "c2" "c3" "c4"

## [5] "param\_StdErrors" "conf\_int"

We simulate a larger dataset and compare the speed to see if we get different results

```
set.seed(12)
sim.B <- rnorm(n = 5, mean = 0, sd = 10) # simulated betas

c1 <- rnorm(10000, mean = 15, sd = 2) # continuous var
c2 <- rnorm(10000, mean = 2, sd = 3) # continuous var
c3 <- rbinom(10000, size = c(0,1), prob = 0.75) # binary indicator
c4 <- rpois(n = 10000, lambda = 2) # count var

sim.X <- cbind(1, c1, c2, c3, c4)

sim.Y <- as.vector(sim.X %*% sim.B + rnorm(10000, 0,3)) # simulate Y's

summary(sim.Y)

## Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
## -64.37 125.54 159.27 158.73 193.51 366.61

sim.data <- data.frame(cbind(Y = sim.Y, sim.X[,-1])) # save a dataframe version for lm()
colnames(sim.data)</pre>
```

#### Correctness

```
lm.fit <- lm(formula = "Y ~ c1 + c2 + c3 + c4", data = sim.data)
OLS.fit <- tureen625::fit_OLS(design_X = sim.X, Y = sim.Y)

stdErr.lm <- summary(lm.fit)$coefficients[,"Std. Error"]

all.equal(as.vector(lm.fit$coefficients), as.vector(OLS.fit$param_estimates))

## [1] TRUE
all.equal(as.vector(stdErr.lm), as.vector(OLS.fit$param_StdErrors))

## [1] TRUE
all.equal(as.vector(OLS.fit$residuals), as.vector(lm.fit$residuals))</pre>
```

## [1] TRUE

• Results are the same when it comes to parameter estimation, std. error calculation, and residuals

### **Efficiency**

```
microbenchmark(
    lm(formula = "Y \sim c1 + c2 + c3 + c4", data = sim.data),
    tureen625::fit_OLS(design_X = sim.X, Y = sim.Y)
)
## Unit: milliseconds
##
                                                        expr
                                                                min
                                                                         lq
    lm(formula = "Y \sim c1 + c2 + c3 + c4", data = sim.data) 2.9350 3.19740 4.391922
##
##
           tureen625::fit_OLS(design_X = sim.X, Y = sim.Y) 2.1909 2.46565 3.408717
##
    median
                uq
                       max neval
## 3.99575 4.9624 14.8569
                              100
## 2.74705 4.0223 20.5614
                              100
```

- With a dataset that has 10000 observations, we note that both of the functions are very similar in terms of computational speed. Our function is a bit faster, but the difference is ignorable
- If you want to try out larger datasets, simply simulate data using the above code as a skeleton and just increase the size from 10000 to something larger
- You can also use other datasets from the datasets library to make comparisons if you really want to