

# LR(1) Parsing Tables Example

**CS 447 -- Stephen Watt**  
**University of Western Ontario**

# Example Generating LR(1) Tables

## Grammar

Terminals = { \$ , ; , id , := , + }

Nonterminals = { S' , S , A , E }

Start Symbol = S'

Productions = {

1.  $S' \rightarrow S \$$
  2.  $S \rightarrow S ; A$
  3.  $S \rightarrow A$
  4.  $A \rightarrow E$
  5.  $A \rightarrow \text{id} := E$
  6.  $E \rightarrow E + \text{id}$
  7.  $E \rightarrow \text{id}$
- }

• Note that

- *None* of the symbols are nullable.
- $\text{FIRST}(t) = t$   
for all terminals.
- $\text{FIRST}(nt) = \text{"id"}$   
for all non-terminals.

# The Start State – Computing the Closure

- Find the closure of items with start symbol  $S'$  as LHS and  $\$$  as look-ahead.

$I_0 := \text{closure}(\{ [S' \rightarrow \cdot S, \$] \})$

- For  $[S' \rightarrow \cdot S, \$]$  we have  $B = S$ ,  $\beta = \epsilon$  so

$S \rightarrow A$

$S \rightarrow S ; A$

$\text{FIRST}(\$) = \$$

and we have the items

$[S \rightarrow \cdot A, \$],$

$[S \rightarrow \cdot S ; A, \$].$

- For  $[S \rightarrow \cdot A, \$]$  we have  $B = A$ ,  $\beta = \epsilon$  so

$A \rightarrow E$

$A \rightarrow \text{id} := E$

$\text{first}(\$) = \$$

and we have the items

$[A \rightarrow \cdot E, \$],$

$[A \rightarrow \cdot \text{id} := E, \$].$

# The Start State (contd)

[S → . S ; A, \$] B = S, β = ; A

S → A

S → S ; A

[S → . A, ;]

[S → . S ; A, ;]

[A → . E, \$] B=E, β = ε

E → E + id

E → id

[E → . E + id, \$]

[E → . id, \$]

[S → . A, ;] B = A, β = ε

A → E

A → id := E

[A → . E, ;]

[A → . id := E, ;]

[S → . S ; A, ;], B=S, β = ; A

S → A

S → S ; A

Nothing new to add

[E → . E + id, \$] B=E, β=+ id

E → E + id

E → id

[E → . E + id, +]

[E → . id, +]

[A → . E, ; ], B=E, β=ε

E → E + id

E → id

[E → . E + id, ;]

[E → . id, ;]

[E → . E + id, +] B=E, β=+ id

E → E + id

E → id

Nothing new to add

[E → . E + id, ;] B=E, β=+ id

E → E + id

E → id

Nothing new to add

# The Start State (cont'd)

So

```
I0 = Closure([S' → . S, $]) = {  
  [S' → . S, $],  
  [S → . A, $],  
  [S → . S ; A, $],  
  [A → . E, $],  
  [A → . id := E, $],  
  [S → . A, ;],  
  [S → . S ; A, ;],  
  [E → . E + id, $],  
  [E → . id, $],  
  [A → . E, ; ],  
  [A → . id := E, ; ],  
  [E → . E + id, +],  
  [E → . id, +],  
  [E → . E + id, ;],  
  [E → . id, ;]  
}
```

# The First Transitions

- Form I1-I4 by moving the dot past the first symbol in each rule.
- This means moving the dot past  $S$ ,  $A$ ,  $E$ ,  $id$   
I1 := Closure {  $[S' \rightarrow S ., \$]$ ,  $[S \rightarrow S . ; A, \$]$ ,  $[S \rightarrow S . ; A, ;]$  }  
I2 := Closure {  $[S \rightarrow A ., \$]$ ,  $[S \rightarrow A ., ;]$  }  
I3 := Closure {  $[A \rightarrow E ., \$]$ ,  $[A \rightarrow E ., ;]$ ,  
 $[E \rightarrow E . + id, \$]$ ,  $[E \rightarrow E . + id, ;]$ ,  $[E \rightarrow E . + id, +]$  }  
I4 := Closure {  $[A \rightarrow id . := E, \$]$ ,  $[A \rightarrow id . := E, ;]$ ,  
 $[E \rightarrow id ., \$]$ ,  $[E \rightarrow id ., ;]$ ,  $[E \rightarrow id ., +]$  }
- Because in each case the dot either precedes a terminal or is at the end there cannot be any rules such that  $A \rightarrow \alpha . B \beta$  where  $B \rightarrow \gamma$  is a production in the grammar. We have:

I1 := {  $[S' \rightarrow S ., \$]$ ,  $[S \rightarrow S . ; A, \$]$ ,  $[S \rightarrow S . ; A, ;]$  }  
I2 := {  $[S \rightarrow A ., \$]$ ,  $[S \rightarrow A ., ;]$  }  
I3 := {  $[A \rightarrow E ., \$]$ ,  $[A \rightarrow E ., ;]$ ,  
 $[E \rightarrow E . + id, \$]$ ,  $[E \rightarrow E . + id, ;]$ ,  $[E \rightarrow E . + id, +]$  }  
I4 := {  $[A \rightarrow id . := E, \$]$ ,  $[A \rightarrow id . := E, ;]$ ,  
 $[E \rightarrow id ., \$]$ ,  $[E \rightarrow id ., ;]$ ,  $[E \rightarrow id ., +]$  }

# The First Transitions (contd)

- We have the transitions

$I_0 \rightarrow [S] \quad I_1$

$I_0 \rightarrow [A] \quad I_2$

$I_0 \rightarrow [E] \quad I_3$

$I_0 \rightarrow [id] \quad I_4$

# Next Transitions

- We now need to determine the sets given by moving the dot past the symbols in the RHS of the productions in each of the new sets I1-I4.
- In I1 the only symbol the dot can move past is “;”.  
Likewise the only symbol dot can move past in I3 is “+” and in I4 is “:=”.

$\text{GoTo}(I1,;) = \text{closure} \{ [S \rightarrow S ; \cdot A, \$], [S \rightarrow S ; \cdot A, ;] \} = I5 =$   
 $\{ [S \rightarrow S ; \cdot A, ;], [S \rightarrow S ; \cdot A, \$],$   
 $[A \rightarrow \cdot \text{id} := E, \$], [A \rightarrow \cdot \text{id} := E, ;],$   
 $[A \rightarrow \cdot E, \$], [A \rightarrow \cdot E, ;],$   
 $[E \rightarrow \cdot E + \text{id}, \$], [E \rightarrow \cdot E + \text{id}, ;], [E \rightarrow \cdot E + \text{id}, +],$   
 $[E \rightarrow \cdot \text{id}, \$], [E \rightarrow \cdot \text{id}, ;], [E \rightarrow \cdot \text{id}, +] \}$

$\text{GoTo}(I3,+) = \text{closure} \{ [E \rightarrow E + \cdot \text{id}], [E \rightarrow E + \cdot \text{id}, ;], [E \rightarrow E + \cdot \text{id}, +] \} = I6 =$   
 $\{ [E \rightarrow E + \cdot \text{id}, \$], [E \rightarrow E + \cdot \text{id}, ;], [E \rightarrow E + \cdot \text{id}, +] \}$

$\text{GoTo}(I4, :=) = \text{closure} \{ [A \rightarrow \text{id} := \cdot E, \$], [A \rightarrow \text{id} := \cdot E, ;] \} = I7 =$   
 $\{ [A \rightarrow \text{id} := \cdot E, \$], [A \rightarrow \text{id} := \cdot E, ;],$   
 $[E \rightarrow \cdot E + \text{id}, \$], [E \rightarrow \cdot E + \text{id}, ;], [E \rightarrow \cdot E + \text{id}, +],$   
 $[E \rightarrow \cdot \text{id}, \$], [E \rightarrow \cdot \text{id}, ;], [E \rightarrow \cdot \text{id}, +] \}$



# Next Transitions (contd)

- We have the transitions

$I1 \rightarrow [ ; ] \quad I5$

$I3 \rightarrow [ + ] \quad I6$

$I4 \rightarrow [ := ] \quad I7$

# More Transitions

- We must compute GoTo sets for I5, I6 and I7.

$\text{GoTo}(I5, A) = \text{closure} \{ [S \rightarrow S ; A ., \$], [S \rightarrow S ; A ., ;] \}$   
 $= \{ [S \rightarrow S ; A ., \$], [S \rightarrow S ; A ., ;] \} = \mathbf{I8}$

$\text{GoTo}(I5, E) = \text{closure} \{ [A \rightarrow E ., \$], [A \rightarrow E ., ;],$   
 $[E \rightarrow E . + id, \$], [E \rightarrow E . + id, ;], [E \rightarrow E . + id, +] \} = \mathbf{I3}$

$\text{GoTo}(I5, id) = \text{closure} \{ [A \rightarrow id . := E, \$], [A \rightarrow id . := E, ;],$   
 $[E \rightarrow id ., \$], [E \rightarrow id ., ;], [E \rightarrow id ., +] \} = \mathbf{I4}$

$\text{GoTo}(I6, id) = \text{closure} \{ [E \rightarrow E + id ., \$], [E \rightarrow E + id ., ;], [E \rightarrow E + id ., +] \}$   
 $= \{ [E \rightarrow E + id ., \$], [E \rightarrow E + id ., ;], [E \rightarrow E + id ., +] \} = \mathbf{I9}$

$\text{GoTo}(I7, E) = \text{closure} \{ [E \rightarrow E . + id, \$], [E \rightarrow E . + id, ;], [E \rightarrow E . + id, +],$   
 $[A \rightarrow id := E ., \$], [A \rightarrow id := E ., ;] \}$   
 $= \{ [E \rightarrow E . + id, \$], [E \rightarrow E . + id, ;], [E \rightarrow E . + id, +],$   
 $[A \rightarrow id := E ., \$], [A \rightarrow id := E ., ;] \} = \mathbf{I10}$

$\text{GoTo}(I7, id) = \text{closure} \{ [E \rightarrow id ., \$], [E \rightarrow id ., ;], [E \rightarrow id ., +] \}$   
 $= \{ [E \rightarrow id ., \$], [E \rightarrow id ., ;], [E \rightarrow id ., +] \} = \mathbf{I11}$

# More Transitions (contd)

- These are the transitions:

$I5 \rightarrow[A] I8$

$I5 \rightarrow[E] I3$

$I5 \rightarrow[id] I4$

$I6 \rightarrow[id] I9$

$I7 \rightarrow[E] I10$

$I7 \rightarrow[id] I11$

- From this we see we need to compute new GoTo set:

$\text{GoTo}(I10,+) = \text{closure} \{ [E \rightarrow E+.id, \$], [E \rightarrow E+.id, ;], [E \rightarrow E+.id, +] \} = I6$

- The last transition is therefore:

$I10 \rightarrow[+] I6$

# Parsing Automaton

- The parsing automaton has the following states:

$I_0 = \{ [S' \rightarrow \cdot S, \$],$   
 $[S \rightarrow \cdot A, \$], [S \rightarrow \cdot A, ;], [S \rightarrow \cdot S ; A, \$], [S \rightarrow \cdot S ; A, ;],$   
 $[A \rightarrow \cdot id := E, \$], [A \rightarrow \cdot id := E, ;], [A \rightarrow \cdot E, \$], [A \rightarrow \cdot E, ;],$   
 $[E \rightarrow \cdot E + id, \$], [E \rightarrow \cdot E + id, +], [E \rightarrow \cdot E + id, ;],$   
 $[E \rightarrow \cdot id, \$], [E \rightarrow \cdot id, ;], [E \rightarrow \cdot id, +] \}$

$I_1 = \{ [S' \rightarrow S \cdot, \$], [S \rightarrow S \cdot ; A, \$], [S \rightarrow S \cdot ; A, ;] \}$

$I_2 = \{ [S \rightarrow A \cdot, \$], [S \rightarrow A \cdot, ;] \}$

$I_3 = \{ [A \rightarrow E \cdot, \$], [A \rightarrow E \cdot, ;], [E \rightarrow E \cdot + id, \$], [E \rightarrow E \cdot + id, ;], [E \rightarrow E \cdot + id, +] \}$

$I_4 = \{ [A \rightarrow id \cdot := E, \$], [A \rightarrow id \cdot := E, ;], [E \rightarrow id \cdot, \$], [E \rightarrow id \cdot, ;], [E \rightarrow id \cdot, +] \}$

$I_5 = \{ [S \rightarrow S ; \cdot A, ;], [S \rightarrow S ; \cdot A, \$],$   
 $[A \rightarrow \cdot id := E, \$], [A \rightarrow \cdot id := E, ;], [A \rightarrow \cdot E, \$], [A \rightarrow \cdot E, ;],$   
 $[E \rightarrow \cdot E + id, \$], [E \rightarrow \cdot E + id, ;], [E \rightarrow \cdot E + id, +], [E \rightarrow \cdot id, \$], [E \rightarrow \cdot id, ;], [E \rightarrow \cdot id, +] \}$

$I_6 = \{ [E \rightarrow E + \cdot id, \$], [E \rightarrow E + \cdot id, ;], [E \rightarrow E + \cdot id, +] \}$

$I_7 = \{ [A \rightarrow id := \cdot E, \$], [A \rightarrow id := \cdot E, ;],$   
 $[E \rightarrow \cdot E + id, \$], [E \rightarrow \cdot E + id, ;], [E \rightarrow \cdot E + id, +],$   
 $[E \rightarrow \cdot id, \$], [E \rightarrow \cdot id, ;], [E \rightarrow \cdot id, +] \}$

$I_8 = \{ [S \rightarrow S ; A \cdot, \$], [S \rightarrow S ; A \cdot, ;] \}$

$I_9 = \{ [E \rightarrow E + id \cdot, \$], [E \rightarrow E + id \cdot, ;], [E \rightarrow E + id \cdot, +] \}$

$I_{10} = \{ [E \rightarrow E + id \cdot, \$], [E \rightarrow E + id \cdot, ;], [E \rightarrow E + id \cdot, +], [A \rightarrow id := E \cdot, \$], [A \rightarrow id := E \cdot, ;] \}$

$I_{11} = \{ [E \rightarrow id \cdot, \$], [E \rightarrow id \cdot, ;], [E \rightarrow id \cdot, +] \}$

# Parsing Automaton

- And the automaton has the following transitions:

$I_0 \rightarrow [S] I_1$

$I_0 \rightarrow [A] I_2$

$I_0 \rightarrow [E] I_3$

$I_0 \rightarrow [id] I_4$

$I_1 \rightarrow [;] I_5$

$I_3 \rightarrow [+] I_6$

$I_4 \rightarrow [:=] I_7$

$I_5 \rightarrow [A] I_8$

$I_5 \rightarrow [E] I_3$

$I_5 \rightarrow [id] I_4$

$I_6 \rightarrow [id] I_9$

$I_7 \rightarrow [E] I_{10}$

$I_7 \rightarrow [id] I_{11}$

$I_{10} \rightarrow [+] I_6$

# Table Entries

- The states imply the following table entries

I0: none.

I1:

[S' → S ., \$]    Action[I1,\$] = accept

I2:

[S → A ., \$]    Action[I2,\$] = reduce 3

[S → A ., ;]    Action[I2,;] = reduce 3

I3:

[A → E ., \$]    Action[I3,\$] = reduce 4

[A → E ., ;]    Action[I3,;] = reduce 4

I4:

[E → id ., \$]    Action[I4,\$] = reduce 7

[E → id ., ;]    Action[I4,;] = reduce 7

[E → id ., +]    Action[I4,+] = reduce 7

I5: none

I6: none

I7: none

I8:

[S → S ; A ., \$]    Action[I8,\$] = reduce 2

[S → S ; A ., ;]    Action[I8,;] = reduce 2

I9:

[E → E + id ., \$]    Action[I9,\$] = reduce 6

[E → E + id ., ;]    Action[I9,;] = reduce 6

[E → E + id ., +]    Action[I9,+] = reduce 6

I10:

[A → id:=E., \$]    Action[I10,\$] = reduce 5

[A → id:=E., ;]    Action[I10,;] = reduce 5

I11:

[E → id ., \$]    Action[I11,\$] = reduce 7

[E → id ., ;]    Action[I11,;] = reduce 7

[E → id ., +]    Action[I11,+] = reduce 7

# Table Entries

- The transitions imply the following table entries:

$I0 \rightarrow [S] I1$	$GoTo[I0, S] = I1$
$I0 \rightarrow [A] I2$	$GoTo[I0, A] = I2$
$I0 \rightarrow [E] I3$	$GoTo[I0, E] = I3$
$I0 \rightarrow [id] I4$	$Action[I0, id] = \text{shift } I4$
$I1 \rightarrow [;] I5$	$Action[I1, ;] = \text{shift } I5$
$I3 \rightarrow [+] I6$	$Action[I3, +] = \text{shift } I6$
$I4 \rightarrow [:=] I7$	$Action[I4, :=] = \text{shift } I7$
$I5 \rightarrow [A] I8$	$GoTo[I5, A] = I8$
$I5 \rightarrow [E] I3$	$GoTo[I5, E] = I3$
$I5 \rightarrow [id] I4$	$Action[I5, id] = \text{shift } I4$
$I6 \rightarrow [id] I9$	$Action[I6, id] = \text{shift } I9$
$I7 \rightarrow [E] I10$	$GoTo[I7, E] = I10$
$I7 \rightarrow [id] I11$	$Action[I7, id] = \text{shift } I11$
$I10 \rightarrow [+] I6$	$Action[I10, +] = \text{shift } I6$

# Filling in the Tables

1.  $S' \rightarrow S \$$
2.  $S \rightarrow S ; A$
3.  $S \rightarrow A$
4.  $A \rightarrow E$
5.  $A \rightarrow \text{id} := E$
6.  $E \rightarrow E + \text{id}$
7.  $E \rightarrow \text{id}$

We see that adding one lookahead token removes all shift-reduce conflicts.

State	Action					GoTo			
	Id	;	+	:=	\$	S'	S	A	E
0	S I4						I1	I2	I3
1		S I5			acc				
2		R 3			R 3				
3		R 4	S I6		R 4				
4		R 7	R 7	S I7	R 7				
5	S I4							I8	I3
6	S I9								
7	S I11								I10
8		R 2			R 2				
9		R 6	R 6		R 6				
10		R 5	S I6		R 5				
11		R 7	R 7		R 7				