

# Finding Execution Times of Linear and Binary Searches

## Homework #1

By

Tahseen Robbani

CS 303 Algorithms and Structures

January 15, 2019

## 1. Problem Specification

The goal of this assignment was to write a program that included creating a file of 1000 randomly generated integers between 1 and  $2^{20}$ , creating exponentially increasing arrays between sizes  $2^3$  and  $2^{20}$ , searching through them with the file of integers using a linear search and binary search algorithm, and then comparing the execution times.

## 2. Program Design

This program required a Search class that contained the binary and linear search methods, and a driver which included the file creation, array creations, and use of the searches.

The following steps were required to create the entire implementation of the program:

- a) Write the Search class which included creating algorithms for the linear search and the binary search methods.
- b) Create the .txt file which included 1000 randomly generated integers within the Java program.
- c) Create a BufferedReader object and a Search object.
- d) Loop through the powers of 2 from 3 to 20 in order to create an array for each size and inserting random integers between 1 and whatever the size of the array is.
- e) Loop through the random.txt file and search through it using the linear search method and time the execution.
- f) Do the same as e) but with the binary search algorithm.
- g) Print the results.

The following methods were defined in the Search and LargeSearchTester classes:

- a) linear(arr: int[], ans: int)
  - a. Method that implements an algorithm for a linear search of a given array with a key and returns the index.
- b) binary(arr: int[], ans: int)
  - a. Method that returns a different binary method with specified beginning and end.
- c) binary(arr: int[], ans: int, beg: int, end: int)
  - a. Recursive method that implements an algorithm for a binary search given the beginning of the array and the end.
- d) main(args: String[])
  - a. Main driver method that creates a file, creates random arrays, and uses the linear and binary search methods to provide execution times for each.

Large use of BufferedWriter and BufferedReader were used to create the random.txt file and make use of it in the implementation of searching through the file.

### 3. Testing Plan

Since this program required no use of user input, testing needed to be analyzed from the sizes of the array. Admittedly, there is an error in my output that was unfound and had forced my smallest array size to take the most execution time with the linear search. My plan was to analyze the output when running the program and justify why whatever execution time is associated with the array size is present.

When testing the array of size 16, the time was inconsistent when compared to the other linear search times. All the other linear search times were under 1 ms, which makes a search time being above 1 ms seem out of the ordinary. For the binary search times, the times were larger near the end of the list of arrays due to the amount of time that was added due to sorting such a large number of integers. Many of the times that were tested seemed incorrect because it seemed to be *too* fast for a linear search of an array sized  $10^{20}$ . However, this may be attributed to the search finding whatever the key was early in the search which makes it end early.

### 4. Test Cases

The output is posted below as a table to represent each case of array.

size: 16	linear time (ms): 4.652245	binary time (ms): 0.372939
size: 32	linear time (ms): 0.034765	binary time (ms): 0.025679
size: 64	linear time (ms): 0.018963	binary time (ms): 0.045432
size: 128	linear time (ms): 0.025284	binary time (ms): 0.069926
size: 256	linear time (ms): 0.013432	binary time (ms): 0.101926
size: 512	linear time (ms): 0.034371	binary time (ms): 0.190814
size: 1024	linear time (ms): 0.015407	binary time (ms): 0.397827
size: 2048	linear time (ms): 0.014222	binary time (ms): 0.860049
size: 4096	linear time (ms): 0.045827	binary time (ms): 0.698074
size: 8192	linear time (ms): 0.110222	binary time (ms): 1.105382
size: 16384	linear time (ms): 0.033975	binary time (ms): 2.236444
size: 32768	linear time (ms): 0.042667	binary time (ms): 4.993973
size: 65536	linear time (ms): 0.058864	binary time (ms): 14.082365
size: 131072	linear time (ms): 0.058469	binary time (ms): 36.137467
size: 262144	linear time (ms): 0.062815	binary time (ms): 35.753863
size: 524288	linear time (ms): 0.041481	binary time (ms): 135.107108
size: 1048576	linear time (ms): 0.050963	binary time (ms): 95.307814

## **5. Analysis and Conclusions**

As the sizes grew for the arrays, the linear search time did not show much pattern. It is inconclusive whether this is the intended effect, but the assumption can be made that the size does not have a definitive effect until it gets unreasonably large. The large time for the size-16 array for the linear search may be attributed to how the compiler works when the program begins to run since that is the first iteration.

For the binary search, the times become longer near the end because the `Arrays.sort(arr)` function takes much longer to do than searching. Therefore, the binary search is inefficient when the array is unsorted. Only at certain lower sizes is this binary search viable when it is unsorted. However, when sorted, it becomes much more useful.

I was unable to make conclusions off of the linear search's data. I think the expected output should have shown the time increasing as the size increased, but the data failed to show that.

## **6. References**

Professor Mahmut's sample report was used as a reference along with the information provided on canvas.