

Section 3: Mixed Nash Equilibrium

Econ C110 / PoliSci C135

Fall 2020

GSI: Andrew Tai

In this section, we will deal with expected utility. We'll use this to then solve for mixed Nash equilibrium.

1 Expected (von Neumann-Morgenstern) Utility

Suppose we have a risky lottery. How do we value this? In standard game theory, we assume the players have **expected utility**, sometimes called **von Neumann-Morgenstern (vNM) utility**.

Definition 1. Suppose a lottery has potential outcomes x_i , with probabilities $p(x_i)$, and utility from the outcomes $u(x_i)$. **Expected utility** is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} U(\text{lottery}) &= EU(\text{lottery}) \\ &= \sum_i p(x_i)u(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

Example 1. Let $L1$ be a lottery with $\frac{1}{2}$ chance of \$25 and $\frac{1}{2}$ chance of \$36. Suppose utility for money is linear, so $u(m) = m$. Then the expected utility of the lottery is

$$\begin{aligned} EU(L1) &= \frac{1}{2} \times 25 + \frac{1}{2} \times 36 \\ &= 30.5 \end{aligned}$$

2 Mixed Nash Equilibria

We now let players choose “mixed” strategies, in which they can “randomize” which strategy to take. This is often the best thing to do (for example, in rock paper scissors – think how badly you’d do if you always played the same strategy!).

Definition 2. A **mixed strategy NE** is a (mixed) strategy profile in which every player is playing a (mixed) best response to other players’ strategies. I.e., the same as a Nash equilibrium, except that players may have randomized strategies.

2.1 Finding mixed NE

We'll find a mixed NE step-by-step using an example.

Example 2. BoS (Bach or Stravinsky)

	L	R
T	2,1	0,0
B	0,0	1,2

Let's consider finding a BR from player 1's perspective.

1. Assume player 2 plays L with probability q and R with $1 - q$. Determine player 1's expected utility from each of her strategies, given player 2's strategy.
2. Determine player 1's best response in terms of q .
3. Write this out in terms of playing T with probability p and B with $1 - p$.

Note that $p = 1$ means player 1 plays T with certainty (no randomization). Likewise, $p = 0$ means she plays B with certainty. If player 2 is playing $q = 1/3$, then player 1 is indifferent – this is the only case when she might mix. (Why?)

4. Repeat the same steps for player 2.

5. Where do they best respond to each other? Draw the BR graphs.

In terms of (p, q) , the Nash Equilibria are where the graphs intersect: $(1, 1), (0, 0), (2/3, 1/3)$.

Note that the first two are the pure strategy NE: (T, L) and (B, R) .

2.2 Exercises

Find the mixed strategy NE of the following games:¹

Exercise 2.1 Matching pennies.

	H	T
H	1,-1	-1,1
T	-1,1	1,-1

¹Exercises are from Osborne (2004).

Exercise 2.2

	L	R
T	6,0	0,6
B	3,2	6,0

Exercise 2.3 (Difficult)

	L	R
T	0,1	0,2
B	2,2	0,1