Introduction to Symplectic Topology

Lyu JunZhe

Contents

1 Maslov Index for Lagrangian subspace

1

2 Affine Rigidity Theorem

3

1 Maslov Index for Lagrangian subspace

We give a definition of Maslov index of Lagrangian subspace for $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$, here ω_0 the standard symplectic form (And hence all symplectic vector space). My definition is same to the one in the text book, but I will give more details and a new proof.

Theorem 1. The space of all Lagrangian subspace $(\mathcal{L}(n))$ admits a topology and differential structure such that it is diffeomorphism to U(n)/O(n).

lemma 1. For any matrix(a linear map:
$$\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$
) in the form $Z = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$, ImZ a Lagrangian subspace is equivalent to $X^TY = Y^TX$ and $rk(Z) = n$.

Proof. Omit. The proof given in the book is clear enough. Note that since every dimension n subspace can be represent as ImZ,hence all Lagranigian subspace is represented. \Box

Proof. For all matrices satisfy $X^TY = Y^TX$, we give a another description of this matrix,

consider: $\bar{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} X & -Y \\ Y & X \end{pmatrix}$, $rk(Z = n \Rightarrow rk(X, -Y) = n)$, the condition $X^TY = Y^TX$ implys that : $\bar{Z}^T\bar{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} X^TX + Y^TY & 0 \\ 0 & X^TX + Y^TY \end{pmatrix}$, Since $rkX = rkX^TX$, for any $0 \neq u \in \mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow u^T(X^TX + Y^TY)u > 0$, so $X^TX + Y^TY$ is positive definite. We choose $U \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $U^T(X^TX + Y^TY)U = Id$. Note that (Xu, Yu) and (XUu, YUu) represent the same space in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . So we can choose a representation of \bar{Z} (for all \bar{Z} represent the same Lagrangian subspace) such that $X^TX + Y^TY = Id$, in another words $X + iY \in U(n)$.

Note that the stablizer is O(n), hence we can give $\mathcal{L}(n)$ a differential structure as the orbit space U(n)/O(n).

Corollary 1. Every two pairs of Lagrangian subspace Λ and Λ' , there exist a symplectic map ψ such that $\Lambda = \psi \Lambda'$.

Proof. It suffices to check the case
$$\Lambda' = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$
. So we consider the matrix : $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ represent the Λ such that $X + iY \in U(n) \subset Sp(2n)$.

Remark: Since U(n) is connected then so does Sp(2n), so we can find a path from id to ψ , using this path we can prove that $\mathcal{L}(n)$ is path connected.

The Maslov index for Lagrangian subspace

Consider the map

$$S^1 \xrightarrow{I(t)} U(n)/O(n) \xrightarrow{det^2} U(1)$$

Which induce a map in the fundamental group, we call the degree of $det^2 \circ I(t)$ the Maslov index of path I(t). It's easy to check the it is well-defined.

Theorem 2. The Maslov index represent the isomorphism $\pi(\mathcal{L}(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z} : \mu(\Lambda(t)) \to \mathbb{Z}$. Here $\Lambda(t) \in \pi_1(\mathcal{L}(n)).\mu$ denotes the Maslov index.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram for principal bundle:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} O(n) & \xrightarrow{det} & O(1) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U(n) & \xrightarrow{det} & U(1) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & S^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L}(n) & \xrightarrow{det^2} & U(1) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{RP}^1 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & S^1 \end{array}$$

The first two columns give the long exact sequences:

$$\pi_1(S^1) \longrightarrow \pi_1(S^1) \longrightarrow \pi_0(O(0)) \longrightarrow \pi_0(S^1) \longrightarrow \pi_0(S^1)$$

$$\uparrow^{det} \qquad \uparrow^{det^2} \qquad \uparrow^{det} \qquad \uparrow^{det} \qquad \uparrow^{det^2}$$

$$\pi_1(U(n)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{L}(n)) \longrightarrow \pi_0(O(n)) \longrightarrow \pi_0(U(n)) \longrightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{L}(n))$$

Since $\mathcal{L}(n)$ is path connected the fifth column is isomorphism, the 1st, 3rd and 4th quivers are all isomorphism. By five lemma we have the 2nd map is isomorphism.

Theorem 3. The Maslov index satisfy the product property, i.e. for $\psi(t) \in \pi_1(Sp(2n))$ and $\Lambda(t) \in \pi_1(\mathcal{L}(n))$,

$$\mu(\psi(t)\Lambda(t)) = 2\mu(\psi(t)) + \mu(\Lambda(t))$$

(here $\mu(\psi)$ is the Maslov index of loop in Sp(2n), which is explained clearly in the book.)

Maslov index satisfy some basic properties but we only prove this one.

Proof. It's easy to see that μ is a homotopy invariant, so write $\psi(s,t) = U(s,t)P(s,t)$, here $U(t) \in Sp(2n) \cap O(2n).\psi(1,t) = U(t); \psi(0,t) = \psi(t)$. So it suffices to calculate $\mu(U(t)\Lambda(t))$, which is $2\mu(U(t)) + \mu(\Lambda(t))$ by straight forward calculation.

2 Affine Rigidity Theorem

Are symplectic morphisms flexible enough? It's an important question, we first show that the space of linear symplectic morphisms are a real subset with different topology to the space of linear map with determinant 1 by some homotopy theorem, then we introduce the affine nonsqueezing theorem to give a geometric explaination.

Theorem 4. Sp(2n) is not same to the space of linear map with determinant 1 (we name it A(2n)).

Proof. By the polar decomposition we can prove that A(2n) is homotopy equivalent to SO(2n) while Sp(2n) is homotopy equivalent to U(n).

Since
$$\pi_1(SO(2n)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$
 while $\pi_1(U(n)) = \mathbb{Z}$, it is the difference.

Now we state the affine nonsqueezing theorem.

Theorem 5. Suppose \mathbb{R}^{2n} the Eucildean space with symplectic coordinate $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$. And we call a cylinder Z(R) a symplectic cylinder if $Z(R): x_1^2 + y_1^2 \leq R^2$. Then for any ball B(r) with radius r and any symplectic map ψ , if $\psi B(r) \subset Z(R)$, then r < R.

The proof in the book is clear, but there is a typo.

We should replace

$$\sup_{|z|=1} (\langle e_1, \psi(z) \rangle^2 + \langle e_2, \psi(z) \rangle^2)$$

to

$$\sup_{|z|=1} (\langle e_1, \psi(z) \rangle^2 + \langle f_1, \psi(z) \rangle^2)$$

Theorem 6. Affine Rigidity Theorem: if ψ and ψ^{-1} both satisfies the nonsqueezing property, then ψ is symplectic or anti-symplectic.

The proof is clear except a small gap:

Since $Ae_1 = \lambda e_1$, $Af_1 = \lambda f_1$, we write it down explicitly:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \dots & a_{1,2n} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \dots & a_{2,2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{2n,1} & a_{2n,2} & \dots & a_{2n,2n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence A has the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \dots & a_{1,2n} \\ 0 & \lambda & \dots & a_{2,2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{2n,2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus A^T has the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{1,2n} & a_{2,2n} & \dots & a_{2n,2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence if $\langle v, e_1 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle v, f_1 \rangle = 0$,

$$\langle A^T v, e_1 \rangle = 0$$
 and $\langle A^T v, f_1 \rangle = 0$.

So $A^T(B(1)) \subset Z(\lambda)$, which is contradicted to the non-sequeezing property , this is why we consider ψ^T instead of ψ as first.