



# SUPREME COURT OF JUSTICE

Constitutional Division

HONORABLE JUSTICE ELENA RODRIGUEZ  
CHIEF JUSTICE PRESIDING

CORPORATE PLAINTIFF INC.

Case No. 04c01771-dfe8-40  
79-bde9-53bb8cd93de5

Plaintiff,

Filed: September 06, 2025

v.

DEFENDANT CORPORATION

Document #3de5

Defendant.

Verdict Date: September 06,  
2025

## □ Case Title

The Phantom Phone Sale

## □ SCENARIO

Daw Hla, a 60-year-old market vendor, receives an SMS message claiming to be from her mobile payment provider, KBZPay, stating her account has been suspended. The message contains a link to a fake website that looks identical to the real one. In a panic, she enters her phone number and password. This allows the attacker to receive the one-time password (OTP) she is sent, which she then also enters into the fake site. The attacker immediately uses these credentials to log into her real account and transfers 1,500,000 MMK. Police trace the recipient account to Zaw Min, a 25-year-old man. The prosecution alleges Zaw Min was a knowing participant in the phishing scheme. Zaw Min claims he was an innocent victim of a separate scam, believing the money was a legitimate payment for a high-end smartphone he was selling online.

## □□ APPLICABLE LAW

None

## □□ COURT'S REASONING

Given the following scenario: Daw Hla, a 60-year-old market vendor, receives an SMS message claiming to be from her mobile payment provider, KBZPay, stating her account has been suspended. The message contains a link to a fake website that looks identical to the real one. In a panic, she enters her phone number and password. This allows the attacker to receive the one-time password (OTP) she is sent, which she then also enters into the fake site. The attacker immediately uses these credentials to log into her real account and transfers 1,500,000 MMK. Police trace the recipient account to Zaw Min, a 25-year-old man. The prosecution alleges Zaw Min was a knowing participant in the phishing scheme. Zaw Min claims he was an innocent victim of a separate scam, believing the money was a legitimate payment for a high-end smartphone he was selling online. And the following relevant laws: No applicable laws found. Provide a structured legal analysis discussing: - Which legal elements are satisfied - Which defenses may apply - The likely outcome

## □ DECISION

Defendant acquitted.