

# SUPREME COURT OF JUSTICE

### MAHAWTHADER AI JUSTICE

## **PRESIDING**

Daw Hla Case No. 49761212

Plaintiff Filed: September 09, 2025

٧.

**Defendant** Document #1212

Defendant Verdict Date: September 09, 2025



## **CASE TITLE**

The Phantom Phone Sale



## **SCENARIO**

Daw Hla, a 60

year

old market vendor, receives an SMS message claiming to be from her mobile payment provider, KBZPay, stating her account has been suspended. The message contains a link to a fake website that looks identical to the real one. In a panic, she enters her phone number and password. This allows the attacker to receive the one

time password (OTP) she is sent, which she then also enters into the fake site. The attacker immediately uses these credentials to log into her real account and transfers 1,500,000 MMK. Police trace the recipient account to Zaw Min, a 25

year

old man. The prosecution alleges Zaw Min was a knowing participant in the phishing scheme. Zaw Min claims he was an innocent victim of a separate scam, believing the money was a legitimate payment for a high

end smartphone he was selling online.

# 

#### **APPLICABLE LAW**

None

# 盦

#### **COURT'S REASONING**

Given the following scenario: Daw Hla, a 60

year

old market vendor, receives an SMS message claiming to be from her mobile payment provider, KBZPay, stating her account has been suspended. The message contains a link to a fake website that looks identical to the real one. In a panic, she enters her phone number and password. This allows the attacker to receive the one

time password (OTP) she is sent, which she then also enters into the fake site. The attacker immediately uses these credentials to log into her real account and transfers 1,500,000 MMK. Police trace the recipient account to Zaw Min, a 25

year

old man. The prosecution alleges Zaw Min was a knowing participant in the phishing scheme. Zaw Min claims he was an innocent victim of a separate scam, believing the money was a legitimate payment for a high

end smartphone he was selling online. And the following relevant laws: No applicable laws found. Provide a structured legal analysis discussing:

Which legal elements are satisfied

Which defenses may apply

The likely outcome



## **DECISION**

Defendant acquitted.