

SUPREME COURT OF JUSTICE

Constitutional Division

HONORABLE JUSTICE ELENA RODRIGUEZ
CHIEF JUSTICE PRESIDING

Daw Hla

Case No. d1c5445f-d313-4e
4e-80bc-7d136900b166

Plaintiff,

Filed: September 06, 2025

v.

Defendant

Document #b166

Defendant.

Verdict Date: September 06,
2025

☐ Case Title

The Phantom Phone Sale

☐ SCENARIO

Daw Hla, a 60-year-old market vendor, receives an SMS message claiming to be from her mobile payment provider, KBZPay, stating her account has been suspended. The message contains a link to a fake website that looks identical to the real one. In a panic, she enters her phone number and password. This allows the attacker to receive the one-time password (OTP) she is sent, which she then also enters into the fake site. The attacker immediately uses these credentials to log into her real account and transfers 1,500,000 MMK. Police trace the recipient account to Zaw Min, a 25-year-old man. The prosecution alleges Zaw Min was a knowing participant in the phishing scheme. Zaw Min claims he was an innocent victim of a separate scam, believing the money was a legitimate payment for a high-end smartphone he was selling online.

☐ ☐ **APPLICABLE LAW**

None

☐ ☐ **COURT'S REASONING**

Given the following scenario: Daw Hla, a 60-year-old market vendor, receives an SMS message claiming to be from her mobile payment provider, KBZPay, stating her account has been suspended. The message contains a link to a fake website that looks identical to the real one. In a panic, she enters her phone number and password. This allows the attacker to receive the one-time password (OTP) she is sent, which she then also enters into the fake site. The attacker immediately uses these credentials to log into her real account and transfers 1,500,000 MMK. Police trace the recipient account to Zaw Min, a 25-year-old man. The prosecution alleges Zaw Min was a knowing participant in the phishing scheme. Zaw Min claims he was an innocent victim of a separate scam, believing the money was a legitimate payment for a high-end smartphone he was selling online. And the following relevant laws: No applicable laws found. Provide a structured legal analysis discussing: - Which legal elements are satisfied - Which defenses may apply - The likely outcome

❑ **DECISION**

Defendant acquitted.