

## PROJECT

# Build a Sign Language Recognizer

A part of the Artificial Intelligence Nanodegree and Specializations Program

# PROJECT REVIEW

CODE REVIEW 3

#### NOTES

# SHARE YOUR ACCOMPLISHMENT! 🍏 🚮

# Meets Specifications

The project has met all the specifications. Hope you enjoyed working on all the 4 projects. Good luck for term2 😃

### PART 1: Data

- 1. Student provides correct alternate feature sets: delta, polar, normalized, and custom.
- 2. Student passes unit tests.
- 3. Student provides a reasonable explanation for what custom set was chosen and why (Q1).

The custom set of features is correctly implemented. The answer to Q1 is well written and the unit-tests pass!



# PART 2: Model Selection

- 1. Student correctly implements CV, BIC, and DIC model selection techniques in "my\_model\_selectors.py".
- 2. Student code runs error-free in notebook, passes unit tests and code review of the algorithms.
- 3. Student provides a brief but thoughtful comparison of the selectors (Q2).

Note: the results of CV have fewer states than BIC/DIC for the five words given.

 $Selector CV\ uses\ KFold\ and\ the\ "combine\_ sequences"\ correctly.\ The\ tests\ run\ error\ free.\ The\ formula\ for\ BIC\ and\ DIC\ is\ well\ implemented.$ 

A good comparison between the model selection techniques has been made



## PART 3: Recognizer

- $1. \ Student \ implements \ a \ recognizer \ in \ "my\_recognizer.py" \ which \ runs \ error-free \ in \ the \ notebook \ and \ passes \ all \ unit \ tests$
- 2. Student provides three examples of feature/selector combinations in the submission cells of the notebook.
- 3. Student code provides the correct words within <60% WER for at least one of the three examples student provided.
- 4. Student provides a summary of results and speculates on how to improve the WER.

The script for my\_recognizer.py is correctly implemented and runs error free.

Three examples of feature/selector combinations are provided.

Atleast one example for correct words within <60% WER is provided.

Results have been well summarized. Impressive



Part 4 could be implemented to know more about how the WER score could improve using SLM techniques.

**₩** DOWNLOAD PROJECT

4/18/2018 Udacity Reviews

3 CODE REVIEW COMMENTS

RETURN TO PATH

Rate this review

Student FAQ