

Evaluation of Presentations

One of your tasks as an audience member is to attend to each presentation and rate each person's presentation. Please rate each item using a scale of 0 – 10 (0 = poor, 10 = excellent) using decimal places to tenth placement (e.g., 8.6 or 9.3). You have the option to write brief, additional comments next to each rating.

Presenter's Name: Tehila

Content

- 1) What was the topic of the presentation?

Origins and influences of taste

- 2) How did the topic relate to origins of the mind?

Taste evolved as a means for human survival (exists in animals too); is essential for human life.

Evaluation

- 1) Quality of content. Rating: 9

Very well researched and thorough

- 2) Quality of slides. Rating: 8

Clean, minimal, and always included visuals. Visuals of studies would have been helpful like charts, graphs, etc.

- 3) Quality of verbal presentation. Rating: 6

Super clear and well-spoken. Kind of felt like presenter was reading, no super engaging

- 4) Quality of overall performance. Rating: 8

Presenter's Name: Raina

Content

- 1) What was the topic of the presentation?

Memes (and humor)

- 2) How did the topic relate to origins of the mind?

The origins of humor evolutionarily and socially, and how that relates to the creations of current day memes. Not super clear in the presentation.

Evaluation

- 1) Quality of content. Rating: 5

A bit all over the place; purpose seemed unclear.

- 2) Quality of slides. Rating: 8

Minimal text, visuals dominate which was appealing. Format was unique and interesting

- 3) Quality of verbal presentation. Rating: 4.5

A good amount of "um"s, "like"s and pausing but seemed personable while speaking wasn't boring me.

- 4) Quality of overall performance. Rating: 5

Evaluation of Presentations

One of your tasks as an audience member is to attend to each presentation and rate each person's presentation. Please rate each item using a scale of 0 – 10 (0 = poor, 10 = excellent) using decimal places to tenth placement (e.g., 8.6 or 9.3). You have the option to write brief, additional comments next to each rating.

Presenter's Name: Francesca

Content

1) What was the topic of the presentation?

Critical period for music education

2) How did the topic relate to origins of the mind?

Acquisition of music ability as a product of nature or nurture (nativist vs empiricist). When is the critical period for learning music

Evaluation

1) Quality of content. Rating: **10**

2) Quality of slides. Rating: **8**

Sometimes too text heavy, but visuals were very helpful

3) Quality of verbal presentation. Rating: **9**

Clearly very knowledgeable about the subject. Good job including personal experience which made it easier to listen to

4) Quality of overall performance. Rating: **9**

Presenter's Name: Shane

1) What was the topic of the presentation?

Epigenetics and how to change it

2) How did the topic relate to origins of the mind?

Inheritable traits/behavior that effect future genetics

Evaluation

1) Quality of content. Rating: **10**

2) Quality of slides. Rating: **10**

Very visually pleasing; barely had to do any reading

3) Quality of verbal presentation. Rating: **9**

Lot of "um" but very informative and had me engaged. Knowledge of the subject was well conveyed to audience

4) Quality of overall performance. Rating: **10**

Evaluation of Presentations

One of your tasks as an audience member is to attend to each presentation and rate each person's presentation. Please rate each item using a scale of 0 – 10 (0 = poor, 10 = excellent) using decimal places to tenth placement (e.g., 8.6 or 9.3). You have the option to write brief, additional comments next to each rating.

Presenter's Name: Daniel

Content

1) What was the topic of the presentation?

Cultural evolution of sports

2) How did the topic relate to origins of the mind?

Evolution of sports in human society and the way that sports culture can evolve??

Evaluation

1) Quality of content. Rating: 4

2) Quality of slides. Rating: 3

Could have used a lot more visuals and more description

3) Quality of verbal presentation. Rating: 5.5

A bit slow with lots of pausing / "um". I personally like the familiarity that is used to make the presentation more interpersonal

4) Quality of overall performance. Rating: 4

Presenter's Name: Cassidy

1) What was the topic of the presentation?

Origins of race

2) How did the topic relate to origins of the mind?

Evolution/origins of racial thinking

Evaluation

1) Quality of content. Rating: 7

2) Quality of slides. Rating: 10

Transitions of text (adding them in slowly) was effective, visuals were great.

3) Quality of verbal presentation. Rating: 5

Felt like reading, and was a bit fast

4) Quality of overall performance. Rating: 6.5

Seemed a bit off topic

Evaluation of Presentations

One of your tasks as an audience member is to attend to each presentation and rate each person's presentation. Please rate each item using a scale of 0 – 10 (0 = poor, 10 = excellent) using decimal places to tenth placement (e.g., 8.6 or 9.3). You have the option to write brief, additional comments next to each rating.

Presenter's Name: Jainam

Content

1) What was the topic of the presentation?

Choropleth Maps

2) How did the topic relate to origins of the mind?

Color perception and evolution (plus relation to language)

Evaluation

1) Quality of content. Rating: **9**

2) Quality of slides. Rating: **10**

Super helpful visuals, and great balance between these and textual information.

Organization was nice.

3) Quality of verbal presentation. Rating: **10**

Amazing. Interactivity was also super engaging and was a great touch.

4) Quality of overall performance. Rating: **10**