

# CSE 6224 Software Requirements Engineering Trimester 2510

# Kano Model

**Project title:** 

Student Club Management System with Budget and Venue Integration

**Tutorial Section: TT5L** 

**Group Name:** TT5L\_G1

Student Name	Student ID
TAI ZHI XUAN	1211109038
HAZIM ELAMIN MOHAMED ALI MUSA	241UC2400P
IZZA NELLY BINTI MOHD NASIR	1211111583
SAY SI TING	1211108625

#### 1. Introduction

This document outlines the elicitation strategy for gathering and classifying the requirements of the Student Club Management System with Budget & Venue Integration. Requirements elicited from identified stakeholders and other sources will be systematically categorized using the Kano Model. This categorization will ensure the prioritization of system features in alignment with stakeholder needs and expectations.

### 2. Objectives of the Elicitation Plan

- Gather comprehensive requirements from all identified stakeholders and sources.
- Clearly classify requirements into Kano categories: Must-have (Dissatisfiers), Performance (Satisfiers), and Exciters (Delighters).
- Establish a robust foundation for system design decisions and feature prioritization.

## 3. Elicitation Techniques and Justification

Technique	Justification / Purpose	Target
		Stakeholders
Online Survey	Efficient for collecting wide-ranging feedback,	Club Members
	expectations, and user preferences from a large group.	
	Quantitative analysis and rapid response.	
Brainstorming	Facilitates creative and comprehensive idea generation	Project Team
	within the project team, helping to uncover innovative	
	solutions and internal insights.	
Document	Enables understanding of institutional policies,	Institutional
Analysis	regulatory frameworks, and standard procedures	Docs
	already in place. Ensures compliance and minimizes	
	requirement gaps.	

# 4. Roles & Responsibilities

Team Member	Role	Key Responsibility
TAI ZHI XUAN	Elicitation Lead	Schedule & oversee all activities
SAY SI TING	Survey Coordinator	Draft, distribute, analyse surveys
HAZIM ELAMIN MOHAMED ALI MUSA	Document Analyst	Review policies/forms
IZZA NELLY BINTI MOHD NASIR	Scribe	Consolidate notes & update Kano table

# 5. Kano Model – Classification Framework

ry
fiers Survey Q1 58.6% "I would be very
satisfied"
fiers Survey Q2 58.6% "I would be very
satisfied"
efiers Brainstorm card #1;
Manual Pelaksanaan Aktiviti Pelajar
(V5) – § 4 "Organisasi &
Jawatankuasa":
https://hep.upm.edu.my/upload/dokume
n/20221202162707Manual_Pelaksanaa
n_Aktiviti_Pelajar_%28V5%29.pdf
fiers Brainstorm card #2
fiers Survey Q3 65.5% "I would be very
satisfied"

6	Leader can	S, D	Dissatisfiers	Survey Q4 44.8% "I would be
	view &			satisfied";
	track club			Panduan Tuntutan Kewangan Aktiviti P
	budget			elajar – § 3 "Penyediaan & Pemantauan
	balance			Bajet":
				https://hep.upm.edu.my/upload/dokume
				<u>n/20210704210921PANDUAN_TUNT</u>
				UTAN_KEWANGAN_AKTIVITI_PE
				LAJAR_%28FINAL2%29.pdf
7	Admin can	D	Dissatisfiers	Panduan Tuntutan Kewangan Aktiviti P
	approve or			elajar – § 5 "Aliran Kelulusan":
	reject			https://hep.upm.edu.my/upload/dokume
	budget			<u>n/20210704210921PANDUAN_TUNT</u>
	requests			UTAN_KEWANGAN_AKTIVITI_PE
				LAJAR_%28FINAL2%29.pdf
8	System	S	Dissatisfiers	Survey Q5 44.8% "I would be very
	sends			satisfied"
	automatic			
	notifications			
	on			
	approvals /			
	rejections /			
	updates			
9	Accessibilit	S	Dissatisfiers	Survey Q6 58.6% "I would be very
	y features			satisfied"
	(colour			
	contrast,			
	font change,			
	etc)			
Satis	sfiers (Performa	ance needs)		
10	Efficient,	S	Satisfiers	Survey Q7 58.6% "I would be very
	guided			satisfied"
	event			

	creation			
	wizard			
11	Realtime	S	Satisfiers	Survey Q8 51.7% "I would be very
	budget			satisfied"
	spending			
	updates			
12	Searchable	S	Satisfiers	Survey Q9 58.6% "I would be very
	venue			satisfied"
	database			
	(location,			
	size,			
	availability)			
13	RSVP	S	Satisfiers	Survey Q10 72.4% "I would be very
	system for			satisfied"
	members to			
	register for			
	events			
14	Attendance	В	Satisfiers	Brainstorm card #3
	tracking via			
	QR / NFC			
	at events			
15	Ability to	S	Satisfiers	Survey Q11 79.3% "I would be very
	export			satisfied"
	financial			
	data to CSV			
16	Interested	S	Satisfiers	Survey Q12 72.4% "I would be very
	students can			satisfied"
	apply to			
	join a club			
	online			
Delig	ghters (Exciters	s)		
17	Personal	S, B	Delighter	Survey Q13 58.6% "I would be very
	dashboard			satisfied";

	with			Brainstorm card #4
	upcoming			
	events,			
	budget			
	graphs,			
	reminders			
18	Auto-sugge	В	Delighter	Brainstorm card #5
	st optimal			
	venue based			
	on event			
	type &			
	expected			
	attendance			
19	Gamificatio	В	Delighter	Brainstorm card #6
	n			
	(badges / po			
	ints) for			
	active			
	participatio			
	n			
20	Club leader	В	Delighter	Brainstorm card #7
	analytics			
	(attendance			
	& budget			
	trends)			
21	Mobile	S, B	Delighter	Survey Q14 51.7% "I would be very
	push			satisfied";
	notifications			Brainstorm card #8
	for critical			
	updates			
22	Reminder	S, B	Delighter	Survey Q15 75.9% "I would be very
	alerts before			satisfied";
				Brainstorm card #9

	"interested"			
	events			
23	Recommen	S, B	Delighter	Survey Q16 75.9% "I would be very
	dation of			satisfied";
	similar			Brainstorm card #10
	clubs based			
	on interests			
24	QRcode -si	S, B	Delighter	Survey Q16 75.9% "I would be very
	gn in- to			satisfied";
	web			Brainstorm card #11
	account via			
	mobile app			
25	Ability for	S, B	Delighter	Survey Q18 96.6% "I would be very
	Club			satisfied";
	Members to			Brainstorm card #12
	message			
	each other			
26	Club	В	Delighter	Brainstorm card#13
	Officers can			
	create posts			
	to share			
	with the			
	club			
	members			

# 6. Elicitation Activities Schedule

Activity	Method	Stakeholder/Participant	Timeline
			(Week)
Design & Distribute Online	Google Forms	Club Members & Students	3
Surveys			

Document Review &	Document	Institutional documents &	3
Analysis	Analysis	policies	
Internal Team	Miro	Project Team Members	4
Brainstorming Session			
Consolidate & Classify	Kano Model	Internal (team session)	5
Requirements	Analysis		

## 8. Justification for Using the Kano Model and Selected Techniques

The Kano Model is the most effective prioritization lens for this project because it makes an explicit distinction between:

- Dissatisfiers (Must-have) baseline features the university must deliver to remain compliant with policies (e.g., budget-approval workflow, role separation).
- Satisfiers (Performance needs) features whose quality or speed directly scales student and staff satisfaction (e.g., real-time budget updates, venue search).
- Delighters (Exciters) innovative extras that create unexpected enthusiasm without jeopardising core delivery (e.g., AI venue suggestions, gamification).

By categorising every requirement in this way, we can:

- 1. Guarantee compliance and risk control by implementing Dissatisfiers first.
- 2. Optimise resource allocation by tuning Satisfiers to a cost-vs-benefit curve that stakeholders can measure.
- 3. Protect innovation capacity for Delighters, ensuring they are pursued only after essentials are secured—preventing scope creep while still encouraging "wow" features that differentiate the platform.

Why the chosen elicitation techniques support the Kano approach

Technique	Why it was selected	How it feeds the Kano Model
Survey	Reaches hundreds of	Statistical responses map neatly to Kano's
	students quickly; collects	five-point questions (e.g., "If feature X
	quantitative "satisfaction vs.	exists, how do you feel?"), enabling
	absence" ratings.	data-driven category assignment.
Document	Extracts hard constraints	Captures the non-negotiable Dissatisfiers
Analysis	from university policies,	that must be built to satisfy compliance
	forms, and finance	and audit requirements.
	guidelines.	
Brainstorming	Encourages creative, cross	Surfaces novel or unexpected ideas that
(Miro)	disciplinary idea generation	often become Delighters and clarifies
	inside the project team.	which Performance features students
		value most.

## Using this combination:

- Policies + Forms → Dissatisfiers (Document Analysis)
- Large-scale Student Voice → Satisfiers (Survey)
- Creative Ideation → Delighters & refined Satisfiers (Brainstorming)

Using this combination ensures we capture a complete spectrum of requirements and can plot them accurately onto the Kano grid. The end result is a requirements set that is balanced, defensible, and strategically prioritised for maximum stakeholder satisfaction within realistic project constraints.