A Technical Report on the Design, Implementation, and Application of a Knowledge-Based Reasoning System for Traditional Chinese Medicine

Author: Tak Heung Sze
Affiliation: Independent Researcher
Demonstration: https://graphtcm.netlify.app
Document Type: Technical Report

Abstract

This technical report documents the design, implementation, and practical application of a knowledge-based reasoning system for Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM). The system is implemented as a web-based application that organizes core TCM concepts—including symptoms, mechanisms, patterns, and therapeutic principles—into explicit, structured representations and enables rule- and graph-based reasoning across these elements. The primary purpose of the system is to support transparent and inspectable clinical reasoning rather than automated diagnosis or predictive modeling. The report focuses on system architecture, knowledge representation, reasoning workflow, and interaction design, and demonstrates system usage through application-oriented case studies. This document is intended as a technical reference for applied TCM reasoning systems and for educational or decision-support contexts.

1. Introduction

Clinical reasoning in Traditional Chinese Medicine relies on structured interpretation of symptoms and signs through established conceptual frameworks such as organ systems, pathogenic mechanisms, and pattern differentiation. This reasoning process is cumulative, branching, and explanatory in nature, rather than purely statistical or predictive.

While digital tools for TCM have become increasingly available, many existing systems emphasize static reference lookup or opaque prediction models. Such approaches often fail to preserve the interpretability and reasoning transparency that are central to TCM practice and education.

This report presents a technical implementation of a knowledge-based reasoning system designed to make TCM diagnostic logic explicit, navigable, and inspectable within a computational environment. The focus of this document is on system design and applied usage rather than theoretical modeling or clinical validation.

2. Background and Motivation

2.1 Characteristics of TCM Diagnostic Reasoning

TCM diagnostic reasoning typically involves the following elements:

- Identification of symptoms and observable signs
- Interpretation through pathogenic mechanisms (e.g., deficiency, excess, heat, cold)
- Differentiation of diagnostic patterns (證型)
- Selection of therapeutic principles

These steps are interconnected and often revisited iteratively as new information becomes available.

2.2 Motivation for a Knowledge-Based Approach

Data-driven models can be effective in pattern recognition tasks but often obscure intermediate reasoning steps. In contrast, a knowledge-based approach allows diagnostic relationships to be explicitly represented and examined. This makes such systems particularly suitable for educational contexts, exploratory reasoning, and decision-support applications where interpretability is essential.

3. System Overview

3.1 Design Objectives

The system is designed with the following objectives:

1. Preserve the conceptual structure of TCM reasoning
2. Enable explicit, step-by-step inference
3. Support multiple reasoning paths without enforcing a single conclusion
4. Remain accessible through a standard web interface

3.2 Architectural Overview

The system consists of three primary components:

- **Knowledge Layer:** Structured representations of TCM concepts
- **Reasoning Layer:** Rule- and graph-based inference mechanisms
- **Interface Layer:** Interactive web-based visualization and navigation

4. Knowledge Representation

4.1 Knowledge Units

Each knowledge unit corresponds to a discrete TCM concept, including:

- Symptoms (e.g., pain, fatigue, digestive discomfort)
- Mechanisms (e.g., qi deficiency, damp accumulation)
- Patterns (e.g., spleen qi deficiency pattern)
- Therapeutic principles (e.g., tonify qi, resolve dampness)

These units are encoded as nodes within a unified knowledge graph.

4.2 Relationships and Structure

Directed relationships encode clinically meaningful associations, such as:

- Symptom → Mechanism
- Mechanism → Pattern
- Pattern → Therapeutic principle

This structure allows reasoning processes to traverse multiple conceptual layers while maintaining traceability.

5. Reasoning Methodology

5.1 Reasoning Workflow

A typical reasoning workflow proceeds as follows:

1. The user inputs one or more symptoms.

2. The system retrieves associated mechanisms based on predefined relationships.
3. Mechanisms are aggregated to suggest candidate patterns.
4. Patterns are linked to corresponding therapeutic principles.

At each stage, multiple reasoning paths may coexist and are presented transparently to the user.

5.2 Interpretability and Traceability

All inferences are accompanied by explicit links to the underlying knowledge relationships that justify them. This enables users to inspect how conclusions are reached and to compare alternative diagnostic interpretations.

6. Implementation

6.1 Platform and Deployment

The system is implemented as a web-based application and deployed for public access. A live demonstration is available at:

https://neotcm.netlify.app

The application is accessible through standard web browsers without the need for specialized software or hardware.

6.2 Interaction Design

Key interaction features include:

- Incremental symptom input and filtering
- Bidirectional navigation between related concepts
- Visualization of reasoning chains
- Support for exploratory, non-linear reasoning

The interface is designed to facilitate understanding of reasoning structure rather than to deliver automated diagnostic outputs.

7. Application Case Studies

7.1 Case Study 1: Digestive Symptoms

Input: Epigastric discomfort, reduced appetite
System Behavior:
The system associates symptoms with spleen-related mechanisms and presents candidate patterns involving qi deficiency and dampness, along with explanatory links.

7.2 Case Study 2: Fatigue-Related Presentation

Input: Chronic fatigue, shortness of breath on exertion
System Behavior:
Multiple mechanisms related to qi deficiency are identified, allowing comparison between overlapping pattern interpretations.

7.3 Case Study 3: Comparative Reasoning

Input: Overlapping symptom sets
System Behavior:
The system highlights divergence points in reasoning paths, enabling users to compare competing diagnostic explanations.

8. Core Technical Contributions

This work makes the following core technical contributions:

1. **A unified knowledge graph schema for TCM reasoning.**
   Core TCM concepts are formalized within a single, coherent knowledge graph that preserves traditional conceptual relationships while enabling computational traversal and inference.

2. **A rule- and graph-based reasoning engine emphasizing interpretability.**
   Reasoning is performed through explicit rules and graph traversal rather than statistical prediction, ensuring that all inferred results remain transparent and traceable.

3. **An open, web-deployable demonstration for educational and research use.**
   The system is implemented as an openly accessible web application, supporting exploratory learning, system demonstration, and applied research without deployment barriers.

9. Discussion

9.1 Strengths

- Explicit representation of diagnostic reasoning
- High interpretability and traceability
- Applicability to education and decision-support contexts

9.2 Limitations

- The system does not replace clinical judgment
- Knowledge coverage depends on manual curation
- No probabilistic weighting is applied in the current implementation

10. Conclusion

This technical report documents the development and application of a knowledge-based reasoning system for Traditional Chinese Medicine. By emphasizing explicit knowledge representation and interpretable reasoning, the system demonstrates how computational tools can support traditional diagnostic logic without obscuring its conceptual foundations. The implementation provides a practical reference for future work in applied TCM reasoning systems and related educational or decision-support applications.

End of Technical Report