Mark Sheet   Marker …………..

Marker checks: Canvas sighted: ☐   *File dates:* ☐

Essential Requirements	Tick box ☐ if requirement met	Y/N
index.html	– validated HTML5 ☐ and XML ☐ \<head\> with all meta tags, title, author ☐ – nav menu that links ☐ logo/graphic ☐	
jobs.html	– validated HTML5 ☐ and XML ☐ nav menu ☐ appropriate levels of headings ☐ – meaningful content ☐ > ~150 words ☐ image ☐ list ☐ \<footer\> ☐	
apply.html	– validated HTML5 ☐ and XML ☐ nav menu ☐ form (at least different four form controls) ☐ specified data echoed back from server ☐ some HTML5 data checking ☐	
about.html	– validated HTML5 ☐ and XML ☐ nav menu ☐ – dl list ☐ timetable ☐ photo ☐ email link ☐	
style.css	– validated **e**xternal CSS ☐ single file CSS applied to all HTML pages ☐ – consistent typological style applied to all pages ☐ some CSS layout applied ☐ Selectors used: element ☐,#id ☐,.class ☐	
Deployed to Mercury ☐		
Subtotal (all Y)		

Specified Requirements Place ☐ or © in box - 2 marks each tick	Comment	Mark

index.html
 HTML *(deduct 2 marks up to -10 for each HTML5 validation error)*
     Menu that links (consistent menu on all pages) ☐
     Header with appropriate context including title ☐ Footer ☐
 CSS: Background graphic ☐
 Menu appropriately formatted with background colour ☐
		/10

jobs.html
 HTML *(deduct 2 marks up to -20 for each HTML5 validation error)*
     Headings (at least contiguous 2 levels) ☐
     Ordered list ☐, Unordered list ☐, 2+ Sections ☐, Aside ☐
 CSS: Aside 25% viewport width ☐, floats right ☐, coloured border ☐
     \<h1\> font variant, size family set ☐ Footer full page width ☐
		/20

apply.html *(deduct 2 marks up to -26 for each HTML5 validation error)* *One mark only if input has no html check* Text input with HTML format checking for: job ref no (=5 char) ☐, names (<20 char) ☐, address (<40 char) ☐, postcode (=4 digits) ☐, email ☐, phone number (8 to 12 digits) ☐ Appropriate input for date ☐ Radio buttons for gender with fieldset ☐ Dropdown box for state☐ Skills check boxes ☐ Text area ☐ Labels linked with 'for' (test by 'clicking' on label) ☐ Data for all inputs returned from server correctly ☐		/26
about.html *(deduct 2 marks up to -16 for each HTML5 validation error)* definitions lists ☐ lists single line common width ☐ photo in figure ☐ border as specified ☐ float right ☐ timetable ☐ timetable format as specified ☐ email link works ☐		/16
CSS (general) *(deduct 1 markup to -20 for each CSS3 validation error)* Appropriate use of selectors: grouping ☐, context ☐, pseudo ☐ Fluid page flow (relative dimensions) ☐		/8
Subtotal		**/80**

Enhancements to Specified Requirements *listed and linked* from enhancements.html
Maximum of 2 Enhancements will be assessed (put your best ones at the top of the list). Up to 10 marks are available per feature. Poorly implemented or trivial enhancements may receive less or zero marks.

Feature Name	Described	Linked to where implemented on your Web site	Source (if applicable)	Mark
	Y/N	Y/N	Y/N/na	/10
	Y/N	Y/N	Y/N/na	/10
Total Additions				**/20**

Other Deductions based on demonstration, documentation, code and file inspection

Requirement	Max Deduction if not met	Deduct
Page design		
- Poorly designed structure	-4	
- Appropriate contrast in colours	-2	
- Appropriate use of fonts	-2	
- Consist application of style across pages	-2	
- Appropriate application of styles (e.g. different styles for menu)	-2	
Content		
- Job descriptions have sufficient quantity (200 words +)	-4	
- Job descriptions is sufficient quality	-4	
- About.html content meets spec	-4	
- Images (including portrait) present and appropriate file size	-4	
HTML		
- Valid XML -	(-2 / error)**up to -10**	
- Meta-data follows in-house standard	-3	
- HTML has no embedded Style markup CSS is fully separated from HTML	-4	
- No deprecated elements/attributes used	-3	
- No inappropriate use of HTML semantics (e.g. use of <div> when <section> <article> should be used)	-4	
- HTML does not follow usability standards (e.g. alt on images)	-3	
- Comments adequate	-3	
CSS		
- No redundant CSS or unused selectors	**-3**	
- Appropriate header comments (match in-house standard)	-3	
- Appropriate use of selectors (e.g. Class versus ID)	-3	
- Appropriate line comments	-3	
Web site		
- All third party content acknowledged properly*	4	
- Directory Structure as defined above	-4	
Total Deductions		

* Note: Failure to acknowledge third party code or content *at all* is plagiarism and may result in zero marks for this assessment or other penalties in accord with Swinburne policy.

A final assignment mark will *not* be provided during the demonstration. All code is inspected after the demonstration by your tutor before a final mark is allocated.

Comments: ………………………………………………………………………………………