



DP technique

Dynamic Programming — Exam Notes

1. What is Dynamic Programming?

- A **technique** for solving problems with:
 - **Optimal substructure**: the solution to a problem can be built from solutions to its subproblems.
 - **Overlapping subproblems**: the same subproblem occurs many times in recursion.
 - Always corresponds to solving a **DAG of states**:
 - Each state = a subproblem.
 - Edges = dependencies.
-

2. Modeling DP Problems

When you see a problem:

1. **Identify the state(s)**: What parameters uniquely describe a subproblem?
 2. **Base cases**: When does the answer become trivial (0, 1, or empty)?
 3. **Recurrence**: Express state (...) in terms of smaller states.
 4. **Evaluation order**: Either top-down (recursion + memoization) or bottom-up (fill table).
 5. **Traceback**: If you need the actual solution, not just its value, store choices and retrace.
-

3. Longest Common Subsequence (LCS)

Problem: Find the longest subsequence common to both strings.

- **State**: (i, j) = LCS length of first i chars of X and first j chars of Y .
- **Base case**: If $i=0$ or $j=0 \rightarrow 0$.
- **Recurrence**:
 - If $X[i-1] == Y[j-1]$: $f(i, j) = f(i-1, j-1) + 1$
 $f(i, j) = f(i-1, j-1) + 1$ if $X[i-1] == Y[j-1]$ else $f(i, j) = \max(f(i-1, j), f(i, j-1))$
 - Else: $f(i, j) = \max(f(i-1, j), f(i, j-1))$
- **Table**: 2D grid, rows = X , cols = Y , filled left-to-right.
- **Complexity**: $O(|X| \cdot |Y|)$ time and memory.

Traceback for LCS

- Store parent pointers or retrace decisions.
 - Diagonal move → characters matched (add to LCS).
 - Up/left move → skip one character.
 - Multiple optimal paths → multiple valid LCS's.
-

4. 0/1 Knapsack

Problem: Maximize total value within capacity W , given n items with weights/values.

- **State:** $f(i, w)$ = max value using first i items with capacity w .
- **Base cases:** $f(0, w) = 0$, $f(i, 0) = 0$.
- **Recurrence:**
 - If $w_i > w$: $f(i, w) = f(i-1, w)$
 $f(i, w) = f(i-1, w)$
 - Else: $f(i, w) = \max(f(i-1, w), f(i-1, w-w_i) + v_i)$
 $f(i, w) = \max(f(i-1, w), f(i-1, w-w_i) + v_i)$
- **Table:** Rows = items, cols = capacities.
- **Complexity:** $O(nW)$ time, $O(nW)$ memory.

Traceback for Knapsack

- Start bottom-right (n, W) .
 - If value came from $f(i-1, w)$ → item not taken.
 - If value came from $f(i-1, w-w_i) + v_i$ → item taken, reduce w .
 - Repeat until $i=0$.
-

5. Memory Optimization

- Many DP tables only need the **previous row** → reduce memory.
 - Example (Knapsack):
 - Instead of $dp[i][w]$, store only $dp[w]$ (1D).
 - Iterate capacities **backwards** so you don't overwrite values needed later.
 - Complexity:
 - Time: unchanged.
 - Space: reduced from $O(nW)$ → $O(W)$.
 - Trade-off: traceback becomes difficult because intermediate rows are discarded.
-

6. General DP Notes

- **Top-Down vs Bottom-Up:** both give same result.
 - Top-down = recursion + memoization (lazy, only needed states computed).

- Bottom-up = table (iterative, predictable order).
- **Traceback:** general technique to reconstruct solutions.
- **All DP problems:** can be visualized as solving a DAG of states.
- **Complexity pattern:**
 - Time = (#states) × (time per recurrence).
 - Space = (#states stored).

7. Example Complexity Summaries

- **LCS:** $O(mn)O(mn)O(mn)$ time, $O(mn)O(mn)O(mn)$ memory (can be optimized to $O(\min(m,n))O(\min(m,n))O(\min(m,n))$ for length only).
- **Knapsack:** $O(nW)O(nW)O(nW)$ time, $O(nW)O(nW)O(nW)$ memory (optimized to $O(W)O(W)O(W)$).
- **Coin Change:** $O(X \mid C \mid)O(X \mid C \mid)O(X \mid C \mid)$ where x = amount, c = coins.

Item	Value $v_{i \mid v_i}$	Weight $w_{i \mid w_i}$
1	60	2
2	100	3
3	120	4

Final DP Table

$i \backslash w$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	60	60	60	60
2	0	0	60	100	100	160
3	0	0	60	100	120	160