

# Optimal Adaptive Control of Cascading Power Grid Failures

Emily Duncan, Preston Cooper

April 1, 2014

**Based on:** Daniel Bienstock. "Optimal adaptive control of cascading power grid failures"

# Introduction

- ▶ Major blackouts caused by cascading power grid failures have renewed interest in problems related to grid vulnerabilities
- ▶ Control actions must be taken in order to prevent the collapse of an entire grid
- ▶ Optimization can lead to a solution to terminate cascading behaviour while maximizing demand satisfied

# Cascading Power Grid Failures

- ▶ Cascading behaviour is the process by which components of the grid sequentially become inoperative
- ▶ Components of the grid which are especially vulnerable are power lines
- ▶ The simultaneous outage of a set of power lines and buses can lead to cascading behaviour
- ▶ In catastrophic events these cascades "snowball" until the entire grid collapses

# Optimization Problem

- ▶ The optimization problem is to stop the cascade after a fixed number of rounds of outages while maximizing the total demand satisfied
- ▶ The goal is to compute an optimal control which redistributes demand as a function of variables of the state of the grid
- ▶ It is also important for the control to be computed in real time (ideally less than 1 hour)
- ▶ In this paper the majority of algorithms used to compute optimal control implemented first-order methods

# Data

- ▶ Simulations were run using data acquired from a segment of the U.S. Eastern Interconnect
  - ▶ 15000 buses
  - ▶ 23000 lines
  - ▶ 2000 generators
  - ▶ 6000 load buses
- ▶ \*\*Important point 5000 of the line flow limits were 0 indicating data errors or missing data\*\*

# Simulation Results

- ▶ Simulations were conducted to illustrate the comparison between the cases
  - ▶ No control implemented
  - ▶ Simple control methods implemented

# Simulation Results

Table 1: *Cascade evolutions*

| r  | No control |     |     |     | c20      |     |     |     |
|----|------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|
|    | $\kappa$   | O   | I   | Y   | $\kappa$ | O   | I   | Y   |
| 1  | 40.96      | 86  | 1   | 100 | 40.96    | 86  | 1   | 100 |
| 2  | 8.60       | 187 | 8   | 99  | 8.60     | 165 | 8   | 96  |
| 3  | 55.51      | 365 | 20  | 98  | 61.74    | 303 | 17  | 96  |
| 4  | 67.14      | 481 | 70  | 95  | 66.63    | 408 | 44  | 94  |
| 5  | 94.61      | 692 | 149 | 93  | 131.08   | 492 | 94  | 93  |
| 6  | 115.53     | 403 | 220 | 91  | 112.58   | 416 | 146 | 90  |
| 7  | 66.12      | 336 | 333 | 89  | 99.62    | 326 | 191 | 78  |
| 8  | 47.83      | 247 | 414 | 87  | 60.95    | 227 | 248 | 77  |
| 9  | 7.16       | 160 | 457 | 85  | 32.50    | 72  | 279 | 76  |
| 10 | 7.06       | 245 | 542 | 84  | 9.50     | 43  | 292 | 76  |
| 11 | 37.55      | 195 | 606 | 83  | 45.28    | 35  | 303 | 76  |
| 12 | 13.04      | 98  | 646 | 82  | 11.60    | 10  | 306 | 76  |
| 13 | 22.61      | 128 | 688 | 82  | 3.88     | 6   | 310 | 75  |
| 14 | 10.64      | 107 | 715 | 81  | 1.46     | 4   | 312 | 75  |
| 15 | 5.03       | 64  | 721 | 81  | 1.34     | 1   | 312 | 75  |
| 16 | 84.67      | 72  | 743 | 80  | 1.13     | 1   | 312 | 75  |
| 17 | 32.15      | 52  | 756 | 80  | 1.38     | 2   | 312 | 75  |
| 18 | 6.50       | 43  | 763 | 80  | 1.26     | 1   | 312 | 75  |
| 19 | 9.97       | 85  | 812 | 80  | 0.99     | 0   | 312 | 75  |
| 20 | 32.34      | 39  | 812 | 2   | 0.99     | 0   | 312 | 75  |

# Optimization Methods

- ▶ The general method uses first-order algorithms (steepest ascent)
- ▶ Algorithms used were based on the following template
- ▶ This procedure should be viewed as a local search method

**Procedure 6.1** *First-order algorithm*

**Input:** a control vector  $(c, b, s)$ .

**For**  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  **do**

1. Estimate  $g = \nabla \tilde{\Theta}^R(c, b, s)$ .
2. Choose “step-size”  $\mu \geq 0$  and update control to  $(c, b, s) + \mu(g_c, g_b, g_s)$ .
3. If  $\mu$  is small enough, stop.



# Things to Consider

- ▶ The control vector is not differentiable so it should be considered an approximate
- ▶ The control vector is not convex so the steepest ascent method may not converge to a global optima
- ▶ This procedure is too slow

# Grid Search

- ▶ Standard enumerative two-dimensional search
- ▶ Carried out one parameter at a time
- ▶ Can produce good control vectors
- ▶ Room for improvement by widening the search

# Gradient/Segmented Search

- ▶ The control vector computed by the grid search can be used to start the general gradient search
- ▶ In high dimensional cases the general gradient search can be slow
- ▶ Segmented search is used in place of gradient search since it is much faster and can be extended to full gradient search without much difficulty
- ▶ Segmented search groups buses together based on demand (highest demand buses grouped together, then next highest demand grouped together etc.)

# Grid Search vs. Gradient/Segmented Search

- ▶ In several cases the gradient search solution shows significant improvement over the grid search solution
- ▶ Gradient search control allows more outages in initial rounds but these outages are monotonically decreasing
- ▶ By round 5 the gradient search has less outages than the grid search
- ▶ The gradient search control maintains a high demand yield while still allowing outages to occur

# Grid Search vs. Gradient/Segmented Search

Table 7: *Controlled cascade evolutions*

| Round | Grid-search |        |       |       | Gradient-search |        |       |       |
|-------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|-----------------|--------|-------|-------|
|       | $\kappa$    | faults | comps | yield | $\kappa$        | faults | comps | yield |
| 1     | 3.79        | 126    | 1     | 45.37 | 172.22          | 1629   | 32    | 60.72 |
| 2     | 33.49       | 32     | 1     | 45.37 | 97.44           | 1079   | 293   | 54.26 |
| 3     | 7.44        | 26     | 2     | 45.27 | 59.97           | 282    | 401   | 49.87 |
| 4     | 6.69        | 82     | 4     | 45.27 | 21.88           | 89     | 459   | 48.67 |
| 5     | 4.95        | 72     | 9     | 45.23 | 2.74            | 55     | 468   | 47.74 |
| 6     | 1.99        | 28     | 13    | 45.23 | 13.27           | 10     | 471   | 47.72 |
| 7     | 1.54        | 16     | 13    | 45.23 | 1.01            | 14     | 478   | 47.41 |
| 8     | 1.00        | 16     | 13    | 29.27 | 1.00            | 1      | 478   | 46.97 |

# Future Work

- ▶ Derivative-free optimization and methods that incorporate second-order information can potentially be adapted to this problem
- ▶ Stochastic optimization
  - ▶ Specifically using stochastic gradients

# Summary

- ▶ What is the application?
  - ▶ Calculating a control vector which effectively terminates cascading power grid failures while maximizing the demand supply yield
- ▶ Why is optimization desired?
  - ▶ During a power grid failure it is important to not only stop the collapse of the grid but to also maintain supply to as many users as possible
- ▶ What optimization algorithm was used?
  - ▶ Modified first-order (steepest-ascent) method
  - ▶ Using grid and gradient search

# Summary

- ▶ Did it work?
  - ▶ To a certain extent
  - ▶ Control vectors that were computed prevented collapse of the power grid while maintaining high demand yields
- ▶ Are you sure?
  - ▶ No
  - ▶ Alternative optimization methods may produce better solutions
  - ▶ Further research using other methods should be conducted on this problem



Thank you!