

Student Information

Full Name : Talha Eroğlu

Id Number : 2380392

Q. 1

1. $\neg(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow p)$
2. $\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow p) \longrightarrow$ Using De Morgans' second law for left hand side proposition
3. $\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \longrightarrow$ Using definition of implication and Commutative law
4. $\equiv ((\neg p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)) \wedge ((p \vee q) \rightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q)) \longrightarrow$ Using definition of double implication
5. $\equiv ((p \wedge q) \vee (p \vee q)) \wedge ((\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \vee \neg q)) \rightarrow$ Using def. of implication and De Morgan's law.
6. $\equiv [((p \wedge q) \vee p) \vee ((p \wedge q) \vee q)] \wedge [((\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee \neg p) \vee ((\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee \neg q)] \longrightarrow$ Using Distributive law
7. $\equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q) \longrightarrow$ Using Absorption law for all 4 compound proposition
8. As can be seen from statement 7, the first proposition given is equal to the second.

Q. 2

- 2.a) $\forall a, b, z, y (a \neq b) [(I(a, y) \wedge I(b, y)) \rightarrow (E(a, z) \wedge \neg E(b, z))]$
- 2.b) $\exists x \forall y \forall z (z \neq x) (I(x, y) \wedge S(x, x) \wedge \neg S(x, z))$
- 2.c) $\forall k, t (t = \text{medicine}) \neg \exists (x, y, z) [I(x, t) \wedge I(y, t) \wedge I(z, t) \wedge A(x, J(k, t)) \wedge A(y, J(k, t)) \wedge A(z, J(k, t)) \wedge x \neq y \wedge y \neq z \wedge x \neq z]$

Q. 3

Proof1 for first question: $\neg p, p \vee q \vdash q$

1.	$\neg p$	premise
2.	$p \vee q$	premise
<hr/>		
3.	p	assumption
4.	\perp	$\neg e$ 1,3
5.	q	$\perp e$ 4
<hr/>		
6.	q	assumption
7.	q	$\vee e$ 2, 3-5, 6-6

Proof2 for first question: $\neg p, p \vee \neg q \vdash \neg q$

1.	$\neg p$	premise
2.	$p \vee \neg q$	premise
<hr/>		
3.	p	assumption
4.	\perp	$\neg e$ 1,3
5.	$\neg q$	$\perp e$ 4
<hr/>		
6.	$\neg q$	assumption
7.	$\neg q$	$\vee e$ 2, 3-5, 6-6

1.

$$p \vee \neg q, p \vee r \vdash (r \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$$

1.	$p \vee \neg q$	premise
2.	$p \vee r$	premise
3.	$\neg p$	assumption
4.	r	proof1 2,3
5.	$\neg q$	proof2 1,3
6.	$(r \wedge \neg q)$	$\wedge i$ 4,5
7.	$\neg p \rightarrow (r \wedge \neg q)$	$\rightarrow i$ 3-6
8.	$r \rightarrow q$	assumption
9.	$\neg p$	assumption
10.	$r \wedge \neg q$	$\rightarrow e$ 7,9
11.	r	$\wedge e$ 10
12.	q	$\rightarrow e$ 8,11
13.	$\neg q$	$\wedge e$ 10
14.	\perp	$\neg e$ 12,13
15.	p	$\neg i$ 9-14
16.	$(r \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$	$\rightarrow i$ 8-15

2.

$$\vdash ((q \rightarrow p) \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$$

	1. $(q \rightarrow p) \rightarrow q$	assumption
	2. $q \vee \neg q$	lemma
	3. q	assumption
	4. q	copy 3
	5. $\neg q$	assumption
	6. q	assumption
	7. \perp	$\neg e$ 5,6
	8. p	$\perp e$ 7
9. $q \rightarrow p$		$\rightarrow i$ 6-8
10. q		$\rightarrow e$ 1,9
11. q		$\vee e$ 2, 3-4, 5-10
12. $((q \rightarrow p) \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q$		$\rightarrow i$ 1-11

Q. 4

1.

$$\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \vdash \exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$$

1.	$\neg \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	premise
2.	$\neg \exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$	assumption
3.	x_0	fresh name
4.	$\neg(P(x_0) \wedge \neg Q(x_0))$	assumption
5.	$P(x_0)$	assumption
6.	$\neg Q(x_0)$	assumption
7.	$P(x_0) \wedge \neg Q(x_0)$	$\wedge i$ 4,5
8.	\perp	$\neg e$ 3,6
9.	$\neg \neg Q(x_0)$	$\neg i$ 5-7
10.	$Q(x_0)$	$\neg \neg e$ 9
11.	$P(x_0) \rightarrow Q(x_0)$	$\rightarrow i$ 5-10
12.	$P(x_0) \rightarrow Q(x_0)$	copy 11
13.	$\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	$\forall i$ 3-12
14.	\perp	$\neg e$ 1,13
15.	$\neg \neg \exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$	$\neg i$ 2-14
16.	$\exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$	$\neg \neg e$ 15