CMPUT 657 Heuristic Search Assignment 1 Report Part 2

Talha Ibn Aziz Student ID: 1669108

February 15, 2021

Enhancements

The search mode 2 utilizes the reduction of memory consumption due to use of bit-wise operations and optimized representation of states to improve the Transposition Table performance. The given memory limit is 200 MB, which allows the use of larger Transposition Tables as the table takes up the largest amount of memory of the entire program.

Considering that all the white pieces of the board can be represented using only one unsigned long long integer (8 bytes), the total required memory for storing the board states in an increased transposition table of size 6000119 can be approximated as follows:

$$memory = 6000119 \times (8 + 8 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4) = 186,003,689 \ bytes$$

= 181,644 $kilobytes = 177.387 \ megabytes$

Here, the size 6000119 is selected as it is the highest possible prime number that can be selected without crossing the 200 MB memory limit. A prime number works better when performing a modulus and storing in the attained index value. The size is multiplied by different values representing the state parameters including information of white pieces (8 bytes), information of black pieces (8 bytes), best score (4 bytes), height of search tree (4 bytes), generation counter (4 bytes), best move, flag, and turn information (4 bytes when combined). Including other variables of the program and the transposition table of the mentioned size, the memory taken by the program while running is 190 MB (approx.). The remaining 10 MB is kept as a safeguard so that the memory limit is not exceeded. Besides change in memory, the comparison and storing of states is also faster.

Although, the bit-wise operation enhancement is part of Deliverables Part 2, it is difficult to exclude it from search mode 1 as the memory limit is exceeded when the entire transposition table is represented using character array states of same size. Therefore, the search mode 1 is implemented using the same transposition table and only the smaller sized indexes are accessed.

Furthermore, the initial search window of $-\infty$ and ∞ , which is represented by -70 and 70 in my program is changed in search mode 2. Initially I used the limits (-70,70) as the maximum achievable score in an 8×8 board is 64 (without any obstacles). However, if there are obstacles, the maximum and minimum achievable score changes, and if any opposite piece count is somehow decreased to zero, the search window changes even more. I have decreased the window size accordingly when searching for the best possible move in search mode 2.

Experimental Section

The two search modes applied in the same state are show in figures 1a and 1b for mode 1 and mode 2, respectively. For the shallow-depth searches, there is no apparent change in terms of performance (depth 1, 2,...,5). However, the improvement becomes evident from depth 4 and onwards. In search mode 2 the Alpha-Beta calls decrease by only about $\frac{1}{2000}$ th for search depth 6 (Table 1). The calls decrease much more further into the search tree, and decreases by about half at depth 10. The improvement in Alpha-Beta calls also improves the time required for each iterative deepening step making the search about 44% faster at depth 10.

The transposition table queries keep decreasing at each step due to lesser calls being made. On the contrary, even though queries are decreased, query matches are increased significantly due to larger transposition table size. The tree-cuts created due to transposition table matching also increases a lot at each step. Therefore, the slight increase in transposition table size improved the performance by manifolds.

Table 1: Improvement in terms of parameters for Search Mode 2 $\,$

Depth	Time (s)	Alpha-Beta Calls	TTQ (-ve)	TTF (+ve)
6	0.0	10	0	10
7	0.0	8,720	90	563
8	0.2	140,612	9,361	24,069
9	2.0	8,423,799	205,422	398,921
10	69	301,463,842	15,592,824	10,514,936

Search Mode changed to 1										
g Searcl	h [epth:	10 Search T	ime Limit: 1	1000					
CTM D	DD	Time	Calls	Speed	TTQ	TTF	TTC	CBF	[P-Variation] Val	ı
WHT	1	0.0	15		1	0	0		[a8a7] 1	ı
WHT	2	0.0	42		16	1	0	1.0	[a8a7 h8h7] 0	
WHT	3	0.0	375		58	16	0	1.0	[a8a7 h8h7 a8b8] 1	ı
WHT	4	0.0	905		433	186	130	1.0	[a8a7 h8h7 a8b8] 0	ı
WHT	5	0.0	7562		1377	704	414	1.7	[a8a7 h8h7 a8b8] 1	ı
WHT	6	0.0	18582	1237150.5	8307	4631	3846	1.5	[a8a7 h8h7 a8b8] 0	ı
WHT	7	0.3	893851	3010106.1	96823	33272	29329	10.1	[a8a7 h8h7 a8b8] 1	ı
WHT	8	1.3	3164324	2457578.5	641670	214464	202122	6.0	[a8a7 h8g7 a8b8] -1	ı
\mathbf{W} HT	9	11.1	41788330	3774720.8	3598581	805191	763027	13.4	[a8b7 h8g8 b7c7] 3	ı
WHT :	10	156.0	60530580	3879457.2	54592761	2163975	2047711	10.7	7 [a8b7 h8g8 b7c7] -2	
move: a8b7										

(a) Search Mode 1

Sear	rch I	Mode cl	hanged to 2							
Sea	rch I	Depth:	10 Search Ti	ime Limit:	1000					
CTM	DDD	Time	Calls	Speed	TTQ	TTF	TTC	CBF	[P-Variation]	Val
WHT	1	0.0	15		1	0	0		[a8a7]	1
WHT	2	0.0	42		16	1	0	1.0	[a8a7 h8h7]	0
WHT	3	0.0	375	24747.6	58	16	0	1.0	[a8a7 h8h7 a8b8]	1
WHT	4	0.0	905	59724.1	433	186	130	1.0	[a8a7 h8h7 a8b8]	0
WHT	5	0.0	7562	499043.1	1377	704	414	1.7	[a8a7 h8h7 a8b8]	1
WHT	6	0.0	18572	602204.9	8307	4641	3856	1.5	[a8a7 h8h7 a8b8]	0
WHT	7	0.3	885131	3338907.4	96733	33835	29776	10.1	[a8a7 h8h7 a8b8]	1
WHT	8	1.1	3023712	2845372.6	632309	238533	223933	6.2	[a8a7 h8g7 a8b8]	-1
WHT	9	9.1	33364531	3671620.3	3393159	1204112	1073466	13.2	[a8b7 h8g8 b7c7]	3
WHT	10	87.0	303841963	3494270.3	38999937	12678911	12136759	10.6	[a8b7 h8g8 b7c7]	-2
move	e: a	8b7								

(b) Search Mode 2

Figure 1: Search Results for different search modes applied on the same state