QM Assignment #3

getwd()

#1 Solve the problem using lpsolve, or any other equivalent library in R.

```
library(lpSolve)
library(lpSolveAPI)
lprec <- read.lp("QM#2Solution.lp")</pre>
solve(lprec)
## [1] 0
get.objective(lprec)
## [1] 696000
get.variables(lprec)
## [1] 516.6667 177.7778
                          0.0000 0.0000 666.6667 166.6667
                                                               0.0000
0.0000
## [9] 416.6667
get.constraints(lprec)
  [1] 6.944444e+02 8.333333e+02 4.166667e+02 1.300000e+04 1.200000e+04
##
         5.000000e+03 5.166667e+02 8.444444e+02 5.833333e+02 -2.037268e-10
## [6]
## [11] 0.000000e+00
X <- "QM#2Solution.lp"
```

2 Identify the shadow prices, dual solution, and reduced costs

```
#Get shadow prices
get.sensitivity.rhs(lprec)
## $duals
          0.00
                  0.00
                          0.00
                                12.00
                                        20.00
                                                60.00
                                                                 0.00
## [1]
                                                         0.00
0.00
         -0.08
                  0.56
                          0.00
                                  0.00 -24.00 -40.00
                                                         0.00
                                                                 0.00 -
## [10]
360.00
## [19] -120.00
                  0.00
## $dualsfrom
## [1] -1.000000e+30 -1.000000e+30 -1.000000e+30 1.122222e+04 1.150000e+04
## [6] 4.800000e+03 -1.000000e+30 -1.000000e+30 -1.000000e+30 -2.500000e+04
## [11] -1.250000e+04 -1.000000e+30 -1.000000e+30 -2.22222e+02 -1.000000e+02
## [16] -1.000000e+30 -1.000000e+30 -2.000000e+01 -4.44444e+01 -1.000000e+30
##
```

```
## $dualstill
## [1] 1.000000e+30 1.000000e+30 1.000000e+30 1.388889e+04 1.250000e+04
## [6] 5.181818e+03 1.000000e+30 1.000000e+30 1.000000e+30 2.500000e+04
## [11] 1.250000e+04 1.000000e+30 1.000000e+30 1.111111e+02 1.000000e+02
## [16] 1.000000e+30 1.000000e+30 2.500000e+01 6.666667e+01 1.000000e+30
#Get dual solution
get.dual.solution(lprec)
                          0.00
## [1]
          1.00
                0.00
                                  0.00
                                         12.00
                                                 20.00
                                                       60.00
                                                                  0.00
0.00
## [10]
                          0.56
                                  0.00
                                          0.00 -24.00 -40.00
                                                                  0.00
          0.00
                 -0.08
0.00
## [19] -360.00 -120.00
                          0.00
#Get reduced costs
get.sensitivity.obj(lprec)
## $objfrom
## [1] 3.60e+02 3.45e+02 -1.00e+30 -1.00e+30 3.45e+02 2.52e+02 -1.00e+30
## [8] -1.00e+30 2.04e+02
## $objtill
## [1] 4.60e+02 4.20e+02 3.24e+02 4.60e+02 4.20e+02 3.24e+02 7.80e+02
4.80e+02
## [9] 1.00e+30
```

#3 identify the sensitivity of the above prices and costs. That is, specify the range of shadow prices and reduced cost within which the optimal solution will not change.

```
# The range of the shadow prices within the optimal solution that will not change are the valid ranges of -1.000000e+30 to 5.181818e+03. The shadow price for constraint one to three is zero. The range of reduced costs is -1.00e+30 to 4.60e+.02.
```

#4 Formulate the dual of the above problem and solve it. Does the solution agree with what you observed for the primal problem?

```
library(lpSolveAPI)
library(lpSolve)
lprec <- read.lp("3RSTUDIO.lp")
solve(lprec)
## [1] 0
get.objective(lprec)
## [1] 698000.4
get.variables(lprec)
## [1] 0.0 0.0 0.0 12.0 24.0 49.0 0.0 0.0 12.0 0.0 0.4</pre>
```

```
get.constraints(lprec)
## [1] 420 360 336 480 360 300 680 435 300
Y <- "QM#2Solution.lp"</pre>
```

#this solution somewhat agrees with what I observed in the original primal problem. When I originally solved the primal problem, I got 696,000. When I solved the duality function, I got 698,000.4. The reason why they are 2,000.2 apart is due to the additional Y10 and Y11 constraints. This is why there is a price difference.