

# The short presentation about UPB ,UBB,CES,GES

talipjan

March 9, 2020

The important definitions in my presentation:

**1 Before Start my presentation i think it is necessary to recall some elementary definitions which is relative to my main topic in this slide.**

**1.1 The fully product states: let say  $C$  is  $N$ -dimensional Hilbert space and we can use the vector  $|\alpha\rangle$**

which has  $N$  components could express one certain state. we could also write this state in the following form:  $|\alpha\rangle_{A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_N} = |\alpha\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |\alpha\rangle_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes |\alpha\rangle_{A_N}$  is called fully product state. where  $A_1, \dots, A_N$  subspaces of Hilbert space  $C$  and might have same dimension or may not. if could write in this form give state is called entangled state. our main tool is entanglement state

**1.1.1 The unextendible product bases: we have a set of fully product vectors  $U = \{|\alpha\rangle_i \equiv |\alpha\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |\alpha\rangle_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes |\alpha\rangle_{A_i}\}_{i=1}^u$**

, where  $|\alpha\rangle \in A_{d_1, \dots, d_N}$  span a proper subspace  $H_{d_1, \dots, d_N}$  which means that any vectors in this subspace could express by any linear combination with vector  $|\alpha\rangle \in A_{d_1, \dots, d_N}$ . meanwhile, the complement of the  $U$  no any fully product vectors. beware of the value of  $u$  which is a little notation in set  $U$ . problem will start in there (joke). it may roughly could say the number of fully product vectors number. there is no general formula for configuration the number of the vectors which we consider in above. but we will be find

minimum size of set  $U$ . next step, in set  $U$  these vectors are called orthogonal unextendible product basis (if they are mutually orthogonal each other) and non-orthogonal product basis (if not have mutually orthogonality property), respectively.

Example: In  $(C^3)^3$  case, total number of basis vector is 27. but the minimum possible of vectors in a UPB  $S \subseteq C^{d_1} \otimes \dots \otimes C^{d_p}$  is 7. there is have 20 missing states we have to consider. and it is possible to find more orthonormal basis which for create a UPB.

In my assignment is looking for the UPB in  $(C^2)^3$ , of course, there is have a set  $B = \{|000\rangle, |1\epsilon\epsilon\rangle, |\epsilon 1\epsilon\rangle, |\epsilon\epsilon 1\rangle\}$ , which means that these 4 orthonormal basis are mutually orthogonal each other. and they can be write in the fully product form. meanwhile the complement of  $B$  don't exit any fully product vectors orthogonal to all members in  $B$ . of course, the question will rising in here. is there any fully product vectors in we will create orthogonal to these four vectors and guarantee there is no any more such vector? if yes, then find it. if no then prove it of non existence.

## 1.2 The completely entangled subspace (CES):

The completely entangled subspace (CES): A subspace  $C \subset H_1 \dots H_N$  and every states in this subspaces are entangled, then we call this subspace is completely entanglement subspace. of course any subspaces of  $C \subset H_1 \dots H_N$  will CES or no? how to define the size of CES? before the answer this question I would like to give one more definition about GES.

## 1.3 The genuinely entangled subspaces (GES):

The genuinely entangled subspace: Let say a subspace  $\Omega \subset H_1 \dots H_N$  the all states in this subspace also entangled. It looks same definition as CES, but no. when we consider GES beware about the states in GES. the every states in the form:  $|\Psi\rangle_{d_1 \dots d_N} \neq |\Psi\rangle_{s_1} \otimes |\Psi\rangle_{s_1^-} \dots \otimes |\Psi\rangle_{s_N} \otimes |\Psi\rangle_{s_N^-}$  for any bipartite cut  $S|S^-$ .

where  $S$  is a subset of  $D$  and  $S^- := D \setminus S$ .

now the answer of the questions in about will coming: All of GES is CES, but opposite side not have valued.

### 1.3.1

The question is rising what is the relationship UPB, CES, GES?

As I extracting the knowledge from the papers which I studied before, when we looking for UPB in given case, CES, GES as a tool. for example in whole

space we want to pick some fully product vectors creat a UPB ,meanwhile the complement of this subspace(UPB) need to consider.if the complemt space of UPB ,if it shows that there is no more any fully product vector we could put in UPB anymore.then this is complement subspace is CES. but I think it is not safe at all for looking for UPB.

The UPB may construct in many way of course,but anyway one who when consider UPB always first consider aboout the complement of UPB is GES or not .

folowing is my assignment:In  $(C^2)^3$ ,looking for UPB(actually same is UBB)size of 5.

following is my calculation: all of the fully product vectors in  $(C^2)^3$ ,A,B,C represent  $C^2$  respectvelly.

$$|\Psi\rangle_{000} = |0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_B \otimes |0\rangle_C,$$

$$|\Psi\rangle_{111} = |1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_B \otimes |1\rangle_C$$

$$|\Psi\rangle_{0\epsilon 1} = |0\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_B \otimes |1\rangle_C$$

$$|\Psi\rangle_{0\epsilon^- 1} = |0\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_B \otimes |1\rangle_C$$

$$|\Psi\rangle_{10\epsilon} = |1\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle)_B \otimes (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_C$$

$$|\Psi\rangle_{10\epsilon^-} = |1\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle)_B \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_C$$

$$|\Psi\rangle_{\epsilon 10} = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_A \otimes (|1\rangle)_B \otimes |0\rangle_C$$

$$|\Psi\rangle_{\epsilon^- 10} = (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_A \otimes (|1\rangle)_B \otimes |0\rangle_C$$

where we simply write in  $\epsilon = |0\rangle + |1\rangle$  , $\epsilon^- = |0\rangle - |1\rangle$

in this case ,we chose state stoper is  $|w\rangle = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)^{\otimes 3}$ ,and it is not hard to see  $|\Psi\rangle_{000}, |\Psi\rangle_{111}, |\Psi\rangle_{0\epsilon 1}, |\Psi\rangle_{10\epsilon}$  is not orthogonal to stoper.

The fully product vectors  $|\Psi\rangle_{0\epsilon-1}$ ,  $|\Psi\rangle_{10\epsilon-}$ ,  $|\Psi\rangle_{\epsilon-10}$  with state stoper  $|w\rangle = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)^{\otimes 3}$  orthogonal each other ,creat a UPB.

My mition is new find a vector by any cut,could put in above four vectors together could creat an UPB.

new ,we have UPB is  $S=|000\rangle, |1\epsilon^-\epsilon\rangle, |\epsilon 1\epsilon^-\rangle, |\epsilon^-\epsilon 1\rangle$

My confuseing point is how to chose vectors for creat new UPB or from above we already finded four vectors?

step 1: A—BC cut

$$1).|\gamma\rangle = |\Psi\rangle_{000} - |\Psi\rangle_{0\epsilon 1}$$

$$=|0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_B \otimes |0\rangle_C - |0\rangle_A \otimes$$

$$=|0\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle_B \otimes |0\rangle_C - (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_B \otimes |1\rangle_C)$$

but this vector non- biseparable in this cut.

similarly,

$$|\delta\rangle = |\Psi\rangle_{000} - |\Psi\rangle_{0\epsilon-1}$$

$$=|0\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle_B \otimes |0\rangle_C - |0\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_B \otimes |1\rangle_C)$$

$$=|0\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle_B \otimes |0\rangle_C - (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_B \otimes |1\rangle_C)$$

but this vector non- biseparable in this cut.

$$|\zeta\rangle = |\Psi\rangle_{111} - |\Psi\rangle_{10\epsilon}$$

$$=|1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_B \otimes |1\rangle_C - |1\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle)_B \otimes (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_C$$

$$=|1\rangle_A \otimes (|1\rangle_B \otimes |1\rangle_C - |0\rangle_B \otimes (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_C)$$

similarly

$$|\eta\rangle = |\Psi\rangle_{111} - |\Psi\rangle_{10\varepsilon-}$$

$$=|1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_B \otimes |1\rangle_C - |1\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle)_B \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_C$$

$$=|1\rangle_A \otimes (|1\rangle_B \otimes |1\rangle_C - |0\rangle_B \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_C)$$

2).B|AC cut

$$|\vartheta\rangle = |\Psi\rangle_{000} - |\Psi\rangle_{10\varepsilon-}$$

$$=|0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_B \otimes |0\rangle_C - |1\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle)_B \otimes (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_C$$

$$=|0\rangle_B \otimes (|0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_C - |1\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_C)$$

similarly,

$$|\kappa\rangle = |\Psi\rangle_{000} - |\Psi\rangle_{10\varepsilon-}$$

$$=|0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_B \otimes |0\rangle_C - |1\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle)_B \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_C$$

$$=|0\rangle_B \otimes (|0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_C - |1\rangle_A \otimes (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_C)$$

similarly

$$|\lambda\rangle = |\Psi\rangle_{111} - |\Psi\rangle_{\varepsilon 10}$$

$$=|1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_B \otimes |1\rangle_C - (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_A \otimes (|1\rangle)_B \otimes |0\rangle_C$$

$$=|1\rangle_B \otimes (|1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_C - (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_A \otimes |0\rangle_C)$$

similarly

$$|\nu\rangle = |\Psi\rangle_{111} - |\Psi\rangle_{\varepsilon^{-}10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= |1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_B \otimes |1\rangle_C - (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_A \otimes (|1\rangle)_B \otimes |0\rangle_C \\
&= |1\rangle_B \otimes (|1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_C - (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_A \otimes |0\rangle_C)
\end{aligned}$$

3)  $C|AB$  cut  
have same results