

PRELIMINARIES: Finite-dimensional product Hilbert spaces, denoted  $H_{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_N} = C^{d_1} \otimes \dots \otimes C^{d_N}$  or  $H_{d^n} = C^d \otimes C^d \otimes \dots \otimes C^d$ . Subsystems are denoted  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n = A$  in the general multipartite case or  $A, B$ , for smaller systems. For pure states we use the traditional denotations:  $|\Psi\rangle, |\Psi\rangle$ , often adding subscripts corresponding to respective (groups of) parties, e.g.,  $|\Psi\rangle_{ABC}$ . We will use the standard basis for all the parties  $|i\rangle_{i=0}^d$  and the kets will be written as row vectors.

Entanglement. An  $n$ -partite pure state  $|\Psi\rangle_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n}$  is said to be fully product if it can be written as  $|\Psi\rangle_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} = |\Psi\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |\kappa\rangle_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes |\vartheta\rangle_n$ . Otherwise it is called entangled. Among entangled states a particularly interesting class is constituted by genuinely multipartite entangled (GME)

states, i.e., those which cannot be written as  $|\Psi\rangle_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} = |\Psi\rangle_S \otimes |\kappa\rangle_{\bar{S}}$  for any bipartite cut (bipartition)  $S|\bar{S}$  where  $S$  is a subset of the parties and  $\bar{S} = A \setminus S$ . In other words a GME state is not biproduct with respect to any bipartite cut of the parties. A canonical example of a GME state is the famous GHZ state  $|GHZ\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}(|000\dots 00\rangle + |111\dots 11\rangle)$ . A state  $|\Psi\rangle$  is called  $k$ -product if it is of the form  $|\Psi_{\otimes k}\rangle = |\Psi_1\rangle_{s_1} \otimes |\Psi_2\rangle_{s_2} \otimes \dots \otimes |\Psi_k\rangle_{s_k}$  where  $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 \dots \cup S_K = A$  is a  $k$ -partition. In the particular case  $k = n$ , the vector is fully product; when  $k = 2$  it is biproduct.

Completely and genuinely entangled subspaces. It is a subspaces containing only entangled states, so called completely entangled subspaces (CESs). It has been shown that their maximal achievable dimension for  $H_{d^n}$  is

$$D_{max}^{CES} = d^n + nd + n - 1 = (d^{n-1} + d^{n-2} + \dots + 1 - n)(d - 1)$$

] Definition 1. A subspace  $\varrho \subset H_{d_1, \dots, d_n}$  is called a genuinely entangled subspace (GES) of  $H_{d_1, \dots, d_n}$  if any  $|\Psi\rangle \in \varrho$  is genuinely multipartite entangled (GME). To obtain the maximal available dimension of a GES one needs to consider maximal dimensions of all bipartite GESs and take the smallest among them. It is then easy to see that for

$$D_{max}^{GES} = (d^n - 1)(d - 1)$$

An example of a two dimensional GES of  $H_{2^n}$  is given by the span of

the already mentioned GHZ state and the W state,  $|W\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(|000\dots 01\rangle + |000\dots 010\rangle + |100\dots 00\rangle)$ .

we have given few other constructions of GESs working in general multipartite scenarios attaining larger dimensions. In particular, one of these constructions gives a GES of dimension  $d^{n-2}(d - 1)$ . Let us recall it here, for simplicity considering  $H_{3^3}$ . Given is the set of vectors  $(a \in C) : (1, \alpha + \alpha^3, \alpha^2 + \alpha^6) \otimes (1, \alpha^3, \alpha^6) \otimes (1, \alpha, \alpha^2)$ . The subspace orthogonal to the span of these vectors is a twelve-dimensional GES. Choosing a set of twelve linearly independent vectors of the form above one obtains an example of a tripartite non-orthogonal unextendible product basis.

**our consideration** In our case we consider multiple qubit Hilbert spaces, i.e.,  $H_{2^3} := (C^2)^{\otimes 3}$

$$B = \{|\Psi\rangle \mid |\Psi\rangle = (1, a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2)_A \otimes (1, A + B\alpha + C^2)_B \otimes (1, x + y\alpha + Z\alpha^2)_C \mid$$

In our case, the condition that  $B$  is a GES is equivalent to saying that it is void of any biproduct vectors, i.e., we require vectors of the form  $|\Psi\rangle_S \otimes |\kappa\rangle_{\bar{S}}$ , for any bipartition  $S|\bar{S}$ , not to belong to  $B$ . In other words, there can be no such vectors orthogonal to the subspace spanned by the vector in  $B$  (in any bipartite cut)

First of all, we move main detail of discussion, give some direct calculation on it.  $B = \{|\Psi\rangle \mid |\Psi\rangle = (1, a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2)_A \otimes (1, A + B\alpha + C^2)_B \otimes (1, x + y\alpha + Z\alpha^2)_C \mid$

$$A|BC$$

$$AB: (1, a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2)_A \otimes (1, A + B\alpha + C^2)_B = (1, a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2, A + B\alpha + C^2, Aa + (Ba + Ab + Bc)\alpha + (Ca + Bb + Ac + Cc)\alpha^2 + (Cb + Bc)\alpha^3 + Cc\alpha^4)$$

Assume it is coordinates with respect  $\alpha$  linearly independent polynomials have dimension is 4. (depending on spanning property)

C:  $(1, x + y\alpha + Z\alpha^2)$  linearly independent polynomial dimension is 2. (depending on spanning property)

$A|BC$

BC:  $(1, A+B\alpha+C^2) \otimes (1, x+y\alpha+Z\alpha^2) = (1, A+B\alpha+C^2, x+y\alpha+Z\alpha^2, XA+(YA+XB+YC)\alpha+(ZA+YB+XC+ZC)\alpha^2+(ZB+YC)\alpha^3+ZC\alpha^4)$   
dim is 4

A:  $(1, a+b\alpha+c\alpha^2)$  dim is 2.

Second, let  $\bar{B}$  be a subspace whose orthogonal to span of B. and  $\bar{B}$  span by  $|\xi, \gamma\rangle = |\xi\rangle_S \otimes |\gamma\rangle_{\bar{S}} = (|\xi\rangle_0)_S \otimes (|\gamma\rangle_0, |\gamma\rangle_1)_{\bar{S}}$

we could easily say that, the tensor product of the corresponding pair vectors in these two sets are equal to zero. between two sets have bijective function relation. let say  $F: (C^2)^2 \rightarrow (C^2)^2$ , or taking it a step further, we could say that F is a transformation.

Definition: A linear transformation  $T: v \rightarrow Tv$  is said to be non-singular if  $T(v) = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0$  i.e.  $N(T) = 0$

Definition: A linear transformation  $T: V$  is said to be singular if some  $\exists v \in V$  s.t.  $v \neq 0$  and  $T(v) = 0$  i.e.  $N(T)$  contains at least one-zero element.

Definition: A linear transformation is an isomorphism if it is one-one and onto. i.e.  $T: V \rightarrow W$  is an isomorphism if

- (1) T is linear transformation.
- (2) T is one-one.
- (3) T is onto.

Then V and W are called isomorphic.

We write  $V \cong W$

THEOREM:  $V \cong W \Leftrightarrow \dim V = \dim W$  corresponding proof in slide in attachment. from the definition of linear transformation, we could say that

$F: (C^2)^2 \rightarrow (C^2)^2$  could generate a Matrix F. and matrix F is consist of

A, B, C if we choose a  $S|\bar{S}$  bipartition,  $S \cup \bar{S} = A$ , where local dimension of S and  $\bar{S}$  are 2, 4 respectively. the question will rise in here, which what about the order of bipartition cut?

the answer coming from Galois theory by Harlod .M. Edwards. page 47

-55. content: Basic Galois Theory: The Galois Group (permutation of the roots of polynomials)

for example we suppose  $S=B$  and  $\bar{S} = AC$ , which mean we have a permutation  $p(123)=213$ . it is easy to see that this order of permutation is represent a unique individual bipartition.

our main operation on our case starting from new. if we assume A biproduct vector  $|\xi, \gamma\rangle = |\xi\rangle_S \otimes |\gamma\rangle_{\bar{S}} = (|\xi\rangle_0)_S \otimes (|\gamma\rangle_0, |\gamma\rangle_1)_{\bar{S}}$  belong to  $\bar{B}$ , it should satisfy following equation:  $\langle \zeta, \gamma | \Psi \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \alpha$  where  $|\Psi\rangle \in B$

$A|BC$  cut

$$\langle \xi|_0 | (1, a+b\alpha+c\alpha^2) \rangle \otimes \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 | (1, A+B\alpha+C\alpha^2), (1, X+Y\alpha+Z\alpha^2) \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle \zeta|_0 \otimes (1, a+b\alpha+c\alpha^2) \otimes \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 | ((1, A+B\alpha+C\alpha^2), (1, X+Y\alpha+Z\alpha^2), AX+(BaX+AY+BZ)\alpha+(AZ+YB+XC+ZC)\alpha^2+(ZB+YC)\alpha^3+ZC\alpha^4) = 0$$

After sorting:

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (1 + A + XA) + \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (B + YA + XB + YC)\alpha + (\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (Z + ZA + YB + XC + ZC)\alpha^2 + \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (ZB + YC)\alpha^3 + \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (ZC\alpha^4)) = 0$$

since left side of all above equations is a polynomial degree 4 in variable  $\alpha$ . if we consider must be hold, then the coefficients are equal to zero. which means:

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (1 + A + XA) = 0$$

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (B + YA + XB + YC) = 0$$

$$(\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (Z + ZA + YB + XC + ZC) = 0$$

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (ZB + YC) = 0$$

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (ZC) = 0$$

$$E = \langle \gamma_1 | \begin{bmatrix} 1 + A + A + XA \\ B + YA + XB + Yc \\ Z + ZA + YB + XC + ZC \\ ZB + YC \\ ZC \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

if we assume  $|\gamma_0\rangle$  is a constant parameter, then every bipartition have 5 homogenous linear equations with 2 unknowns .and we could write these linear homogenous equation in matrix form.

$B|ACcut$

$$\langle \xi|_0 |(1, A + B\alpha + C\alpha^2)) \otimes \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 |(1, a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2), (1, X + Y\alpha + Z\alpha^2)) \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle \zeta|_0 \otimes (1, A + B\alpha + C\alpha^2) \otimes \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 ((1, a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2), (1, X + Y\alpha + Z\alpha^2), Xa + (Ya + Xb + Yc)\alpha + (Za + Yb + Xc + Zc)\alpha^2 + (Zb + Yc)\alpha^3 + Zc\alpha^4) = 0$$

After sorting:

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (1 + a + Xa) + \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (b + Ya + Xb + Yc)\alpha + (\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (Z + Za + Yb + Xc + Zc)\alpha^2 + \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (Zb + Yc)\alpha^3 + \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (Zc\alpha^4)) = 0$$

since left side of all above equations is a ploynomila degree 4 in variable  $\alpha$ .if we consider must be hold ,then the coefficients are equal to zero.which means :

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (1 + a + Xa) = 0$$

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (b + Ya + Xb + Yc) = 0$$

$$(\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (Z + Za + Yb + Xc + Zc) = 0$$

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (Zb + Yc) = 0$$

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (Zc) = 0$$

$$E = \langle \gamma_1| \begin{bmatrix} 1 + a + Xa \\ b + Ya + Xb + Yc \\ Z + Za + Yb + Xc + Zc \\ Zb + Cc \\ Zc \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

if we assume  $|\gamma_0\rangle$  is a constant parameter, then every bipartition have 5 homogenous linear equations with 2 unknowns .and we could write these linear homogenous equation in matrix form.

$C|ABcut$

$$\langle \xi|_0 |(1, X + Y\alpha + Z\alpha^2)) \otimes \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 |(1, a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2), (1, A + B\alpha + C\alpha^2)) \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle \zeta|_0 \otimes (1, X + Y\alpha + Z\alpha^2) \otimes \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 ((1, a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2), (1, A + B\alpha + C\alpha^2), Aa + (Ba + Ab + Bc)\alpha + (Ca + Bb + Ac + Cc)\alpha^2 + (Cb + Bc)\alpha^3 + Cc\alpha^4) = 0$$

After sorting:

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (1 + a + Aa) + \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (b + Ba + Ab + Bc)\alpha + (\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (C + Ca + BB + Ac + Cc)\alpha^2 + \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (Cbb + Bc)\alpha^3 + \langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (Cc\alpha^4)) = 0$$

since left side of all above equations is a ploynomila degree 4 in variable  $\alpha$ .if we consider must be hold ,then the coefficients are equal to zero.which means :

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (1 + a + Aa) = 0$$

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (b + Ba + Ab + Bc) = 0$$

$$(\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 * (C + Ca + BB + Ac + Cc) = 0$$

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (Cbb + Bc) = 0$$

$$\langle \gamma_0, \gamma|_1 (Cc) = 0$$

if we assume  $|\gamma_0\rangle$  is a constant parameter, then every bipartition have 5 homogenous linear equations with 2 unknowns .and we could write these linear homogenous equation in matrix form.

$$E = \langle \gamma_1| \begin{bmatrix} b + Ba + Ab + Bc \\ C + Ca + Bb + Ac + Cc \\ Cb + Bc \\ Cc \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

and this kind of matrix does not exit for satisfying  $\langle \zeta, \gamma| |\Psi\rangle = 0 \quad \forall \alpha$  where

$|\Psi\rangle \in B$  and let  $\bar{B}$  be a GES, it is requires that the system only has the

trivial souldion. and it will happen when the matirx E of the sytem is full rank.  $r(E)=5$ , for all  $\langle \gamma_1|$  is not same time equal to zero. this scenerio is same to in all bipartitaion cases. from above ,it could give an following theoreom

**Theorem:** Assume  $\bar{B}$  is the subspace of  $H_{2^3} \subset (C^2)^n$  orthogonal to spane of the vectors in B. then  $\bar{B}$  is a GES, and dimation is 3 ,satisfying this condition the matrix E for any bipartitation have full rank with respect any  $\gamma$ .

If we extend this situation to in general cases, then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem:** Assume  $\bar{B}$  is the subspace of  $H_{2^n} \subset (C^2)^n$  orthogonal to span

of the vectors in B. then  $\bar{B}$  is a GES, and dimension is  $2^{n-1} - 1$ , satisfying this condition the matrix E for any bipartition have full rank with respect

any  $\gamma$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let the vectors spanning the subspace orthogonal to GES are given by following:

A|BC

AB:  $(1, a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2)_A \otimes (1, A + B\alpha + C\alpha^2)_B = (1, a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2, A + B\alpha + C^2, Aa + (Ba + Ab + Bc)\alpha + (Ca + Bb + Ac + Cc)\alpha^2 + (Cb + Bc)\alpha^3 + Cc\alpha^4)$ , we could assign values for coefficients and rewrite it in the form :

$$(1, \alpha)_A \otimes (1, \alpha)_B (1, \alpha)_C \quad \forall \alpha \in C$$

$$(1, \alpha)_A \otimes (1, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha^2)_{BC} \quad \forall \alpha \in C$$

BC span 3 dimensional vector space,

$$(1, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)_{ABC}$$

set of 4 linearly independent polynomials. which implies:

$$u = \dim \text{span} B$$

obviously, it is possible to choose  $u$  values of  $\alpha$  so that the set

$$\bar{B} = \{|\Psi(\alpha_i)\rangle\}_{i=1}^4 \quad \forall \alpha \in C$$

fix vectors of  $\alpha$

$$(1, \alpha_1)_A \otimes (1, \alpha_1)_B \otimes (1, \alpha_1)_C$$

$$(1, \alpha_2)_A \otimes (1, \alpha_2)_B \otimes (1, \alpha_2)_C$$

$$(1, \alpha_3)_A \otimes (1, \alpha_3)_B \otimes (1, \alpha_3)_C$$

$$(1, \alpha_4)_A \otimes (1, \alpha_4)_B \otimes (1, \alpha_4)_C$$

we need to check is there a vector in any bipartition orthogonal to all the

vectors above.

B|AC bipartition

AC:  $(1, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha^2)$  span 3 dimension vector space

$(1, \alpha, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)_{ABC}$  set of 4 linearly independent polynomials.

$$(1, 0)_A \otimes (1, 0)_B \otimes (1, 0)_C = (1, \alpha)_A \otimes (1, 0, 0, 1)_{BC}$$

$$(1, 1)_A \otimes (1, 1)_A \otimes (1, 1)_C = (1, 1)_B \otimes (1, 1, 1, 1)_{BC}$$

$$(1, -1)_A \otimes (1, -1)_A \otimes (1, -1)_C = (1, -1)_B \otimes (1, -1, -1, 1)_{BC}$$

$$(1, 2)_A \otimes (1, 2)_A \otimes (1, 2)_C = (1, 2)_B \otimes (1, 2, 2, 4)_{BC}$$

It is not hard to see that the vector  $(2, -1)_B \otimes (0, 1, -1, 0)_{AC}$  orthogonal to all above vectors.

when we give some specific value for  $\alpha$ , and the dimension of orthocomplement of  $\text{span} \bar{B}$  are different value. and the different possibility of value for coefficients in coordinates is  $3^9$

