Two.II Linear Independence

Linear Algebra, edition four
Jim Hefferon

http://joshua.smcvt.edu/linearalgebra



Linear independence

1.4 Definition In any vector space, a set of vectors is linearly independent if none of its elements is a linear combination of the others from the set. Otherwise the set is linearly dependent.

Linear independence

1.4 Definition In any vector space, a set of vectors is linearly independent if none of its elements is a linear combination of the others from the set. Otherwise the set is linearly dependent.

Observe that, although this way of writing one vector as a combination of the others

$$\vec{s}_0 = c_1 \vec{s}_1 + c_2 \vec{s}_2 + \dots + c_n \vec{s}_n$$

visually sets off \vec{s}_0 , algebraically there is nothing special about that vector in that equation. For any \vec{s}_i with a coefficient c_i that is non-0 we can rewrite to isolate \vec{s}_i .

$$\vec{s}_i = (1/c_i)\vec{s}_0 + \dots + (-c_{i-1}/c_i)\vec{s}_{i-1} + (-c_{i+1}/c_i)\vec{s}_{i+1} + \dots + (-c_n/c_i)\vec{s}_n$$

When we don't want to single out any vector we will instead say that $\vec{s}_0, \vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n$ are in a *linear relationship* and put all of the vectors on the same side.

1.5 Lemma A subset S of a vector space is linearly independent if and only if among its elements the only linear relationship $c_1\vec{s}_1+\cdots+c_n\vec{s}_n=\vec{0}$ is the trivial one, $c_1=0,\ldots,\,c_n=0$ (where $\vec{s}_i\neq\vec{s}_j$ when $i\neq j$).

1.5 Lemma A subset S of a vector space is linearly independent if and only if among its elements the only linear relationship $c_1\vec{s}_1+\cdots+c_n\vec{s}_n=\vec{0}$ is the trivial one, $c_1=0,\ldots,\,c_n=0$ (where $\vec{s}_i\neq\vec{s}_j$ when $i\neq j$).

Proof If S is linearly independent then no vector $\vec{s_i}$ is a linear combination of other vectors from S so there is no linear relationship where some of the \vec{s} 's have nonzero coefficients.

If S is not linearly independent then some $\vec{s_i}$ is a linear combination $\vec{s_i} = c_1 \vec{s_1} + \dots + c_{i-1} \vec{s_{i-1}} + c_{i+1} \vec{s_{i+1}} + \dots + c_n \vec{s_n}$ of other vectors from S. Subtracting $\vec{s_i}$ from both sides gives a relationship involving a nonzero coefficient, the -1 in front of $\vec{s_i}$.

So to decide if a list of vectors $\vec{s}_0, \ldots, \vec{s}_n$ is linearly independent, set up the equation $\vec{0} = c_0 \vec{s}_0 + \cdots + c_n \vec{s}_n$, and calculate whether it has any solutions, other than the trivial one where all coefficients are zero.

Example This set of vectors in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 is linearly independent.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The only solution to this equation

$$c_1\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}+c_2\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

is trivial $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 0$.

Example This set of vectors in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 is linearly independent.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The only solution to this equation

$$c_1\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}+c_2\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

is trivial $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 0$.

Example In the vector space of cubic polynomials

 $\mathfrak{P}_3=\{a_0+a_1x+a_2x^2+a_3x^3\mid a_i\in\mathbb{R}\}$ the set $\{1-x,1+x\}$ is linearly independent. Setting up the equation $c_0(1-x)+c_1(1+x)=0$ and considering the constant term and linear term, leads to this system

$$c_0 + c_1 = 0$$
$$-c_0 + c_1 = 0$$

which has only the trivial solution.

Example The nonzero rows of this matrix form a linearly independent set.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We showed in Lemma One.III.2.5 that in any echelon form matrix the nonzero rows form a linearly independent set.

Example The nonzero rows of this matrix form a linearly independent set.

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & -3 & 1/2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

We showed in Lemma One.III.2.5 that in any echelon form matrix the nonzero rows form a linearly independent set.

Example This subset of \mathbb{R}^3 is linearly dependent.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

One way to see that is to spot that the third vector is twice the first plus the second. Another way is to solve the linear system

$$c_1 - c_2 + c_3 = 0$$

 $c_1 + c_2 + 3c_3 = 0$
 $3c_1 + 6c_3 = 0$

and note that it has more than just the solution $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$.

1.2 Lemma Where V is a vector space, S is a subset of that space, and \vec{v} is an element of that space, $[S \cup {\{\vec{v}\}}] = [S]$ if and only if $\vec{v} \in [S]$.

1.2 Lemma Where V is a vector space, S is a subset of that space, and \vec{v} is an element of that space, $[S \cup \{\vec{v}\}] = [S]$ if and only if $\vec{v} \in [S]$.

Example The book has the proof; here is an illustration. The span of this set is the xy-plane.

$$P = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$$

If we expand the set by adding a vector $\{\vec{p}_1,\vec{p}_2,\vec{q}\}$ then there are two possibilities.

$$P_0 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \} \qquad P_1 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \}$$

If the new vector is already in the starting span $\vec{q} \in [P]$ then the span is unchanged $[P_0] = [P]$. But if the new vector is outside the starting span $\vec{q} \notin [P]$ then the span grows $[P_1] \supsetneq [P]$.

1.3 Corollary For $\vec{v} \in S$, omitting that vector does not shrink the span $[S] = [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$ if and only if it is dependent on other vectors in the set $\vec{v} \in [S]$.

Example These two subsets of \mathbb{R}^3 have the same span

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4\\5\\6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7\\8\\9 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \qquad \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4\\5\\6 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

because in the first set $\vec{v}_3 = 2\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1$.

1.3 Corollary For $\vec{v} \in S$, omitting that vector does not shrink the span $[S] = [S - \{\vec{v}\}]$ if and only if it is dependent on other vectors in the set $\vec{v} \in [S]$.

Example These two subsets of \mathbb{R}^3 have the same span

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4\\5\\6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7\\8\\9 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \qquad \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4\\5\\6 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

because in the first set $\vec{v}_3 = 2\vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1$.

1.14 Corollary A set S is linearly independent if and only if for any $\vec{v} \in S$, its removal shrinks the span $[S - \{v\}] \subseteq [S]$.

Example This is a linearly independent subset of \mathcal{P}_3

$$S = \{1 + x, 1 - x, x^2\}$$

Removal of any element, such as if we remove 1-x to get $\hat{S} = \{1+x, x^2\}$, will make the span smaller: $[\hat{S}] \subseteq [S]$.

1.15 Lemma Suppose that S is linearly independent and that $\vec{v} \notin S$. Then the set $S \cup {\vec{v}}$ is linearly independent if and only if $\vec{v} \notin [S]$.

Example The book has the proof; here is an illustration. Consider this linearly independent subset of \mathcal{P}_2 .

$$S = \{1 - x, 1 + x\}$$

Its span [S] is the set of linear polynomials $\{\alpha+bx\mid\alpha,b\in\mathbb{R}\}.$ (To check: consider $\alpha+bx=r_1(1-x)+r_2(1+x),$ which gives a linear system with equations $r_1+r_2=\alpha$ and $-r_1+r_2=b,$ having the solution $r_2=(1/2)\alpha+(1/2)b$ and $r_1=(1/2)\alpha-(1/2)b.)$

Here are two supersets.

$$S_1 = S \cup \{2 + 2x\}$$
 $S_2 = S \cup \{2 + x^2\}$

1.15 Lemma Suppose that S is linearly independent and that $\vec{v} \notin S$. Then the set $S \cup {\vec{v}}$ is linearly independent if and only if $\vec{v} \notin [S]$.

Example The book has the proof; here is an illustration. Consider this linearly independent subset of \mathcal{P}_2 .

$$S = \{1 - x, 1 + x\}$$

Its span [S] is the set of linear polynomials $\{\alpha+bx\mid \alpha,b\in\mathbb{R}\}$. (To check: consider $\alpha+bx=r_1(1-x)+r_2(1+x)$, which gives a linear system with equations $r_1+r_2=\alpha$ and $-r_1+r_2=b$, having the solution $r_2=(1/2)\alpha+(1/2)b$ and $r_1=(1/2)\alpha-(1/2)b$.)

Here are two supersets.

$$S_1 = S \cup \{2 + 2x\}$$
 $S_2 = S \cup \{2 + x^2\}$

On the left, adding a linear polynomial just adds "repeat information" so $[S_1] = [S]$ and S_1 is linearly dependent.

The right, with "new information," enlarges the span $[S_2]=\mathcal{P}_2\supsetneq[S]$ and the new set S_2 is also linearly independent. (To check this, use $a+bx+cx^2=r_1(1-x)+r_2(1+x)+r_3(2+x^2)$ to get a linear system with solution $r_3=c,\ r_2=(1/2)a+(1/2)b$ and $r_1=(1/2)a-(1/2)b-c.$)

1.17 *Corollary* In a vector space, any finite set has a linearly independent subset with the same span.

The book has a proof. Instead, consider the example on the next slide.

Example Consider this subset of \mathbb{R}^2 .

$$S = {\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_2, \vec{s}_3, \vec{s}_4, \vec{s}_5} = {\left(\frac{2}{2}, \left(\frac{3}{3}\right), \left(\frac{1}{4}\right), \left(\frac{0}{-1}\right), \left(\frac{1}{-1}\right)\right\}}$$

The linear relationship

$$r_1\begin{pmatrix}2\\2\end{pmatrix} + r_2\begin{pmatrix}3\\3\end{pmatrix} + r_3\begin{pmatrix}1\\4\end{pmatrix} + r_4\begin{pmatrix}0\\-1\end{pmatrix} + r_5\begin{pmatrix}1\\-1\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

gives a system of equations.

$$2r_1 + 3r_2 + r_3 + r_5 = 0 \xrightarrow{-\rho_1 + \rho_2} 2r_1 + 3r_2 + r_3 + r_5 = 0$$

$$2r_1 + 3r_2 + 4r_3 - r_4 - r_5 = 0 \xrightarrow{+\beta_1 + \beta_2} 2r_1 + 3r_2 + r_3 + r_5 = 0$$

Parametrize by expressing the leading variables r_1 and r_3 in terms of the free variables.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_2 + \begin{pmatrix} -1/6 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_4 + \begin{pmatrix} -5/6 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} r_5 \mid r_2, r_4, r_5 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Parametrize by expressing the leading variables r_1 and r_3 in terms of the free variables.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_2 + \begin{pmatrix} -1/6 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} r_4 + \begin{pmatrix} -5/6 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} r_5 \mid r_2, r_4, r_5 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Set $r_5 = 1$ and $r_2 = r_4 = 0$ to get $r_1 = -5/6$ and $r_3 = 2/3$,

$$-\frac{5}{6} \cdot \binom{2}{2} + 0 \cdot \binom{3}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \binom{1}{4} + 0 \cdot \binom{0}{-1} + 1 \cdot \binom{1}{-1} = \binom{0}{0}$$

showing that \vec{s}_5 is in the span of the set $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$.

Similarly, setting $r_4 = 1$ and the other parameters to 0 shows \vec{s}_4 is in the span of the set $\{\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_3\}$. Also, setting $r_2 = 1$ and the other parameters to 0 shows \vec{s}_2 is in the span of the same set.

So without shrinking the span we can omit the vectors \vec{s}_2 , \vec{s}_4 , \vec{s}_5 associated with the free variables. The set of vectors associated with the leading variables, $\{\vec{s}_1,\vec{s}_3\}$, is linearly independent and so we cannot omit any members without shrinking the span.

1.19 Corollary A subset $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$ of a vector space is linearly dependent if and only if some \vec{s}_i is a linear combination of the vectors \vec{s}_1 , ..., \vec{s}_{i-1} listed before it.

1.19 Corollary A subset $S = \{\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_n\}$ of a vector space is linearly dependent if and only if some \vec{s}_i is a linear combination of the vectors \vec{s}_1 , ..., \vec{s}_{i-1} listed before it.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof} & \text{Consider } S_0 = \{\}, \ S_1 = \{\vec{s_1}\}, \ S_2 = \{\vec{s_1}, \vec{s_2}\}, \ \text{etc. Some index } i \geqslant 1 \ \text{is} \\ \text{the first one with } S_{i-1} \cup \{\vec{s_i}\} \ \text{linearly dependent, and there } \vec{s_i} \in [S_{i-1}]. \end{array}$

QED

Linear independence and subset

1.20 *Lemma* Any subset of a linearly independent set is also linearly independent. Any superset of a linearly dependent set is also linearly dependent.

Proof Both are clear.

QED

Linear independence and subset

1.20 Lemma Any subset of a linearly independent set is also linearly independent. Any superset of a linearly dependent set is also linearly dependent.

Proof Both are clear.

QED

This table summarizes the cases.

	$\hat{S} \subset S$	$\hat{S} \supset S$
S independent	Ŝ must be independent	Ŝ may be either
S dependent	Ŝ may be either	Ŝ must be dependent

An example of the lower left is that the set S of all vectors in the space \mathbb{R}^2 is linearly dependent but the subset \hat{S} consisting of only the unit vector on the x-axis is independent. By interchanging \hat{S} with S that's also an example of the upper right.