

# Peer Review of SRS Inspection

This document is a great summary, but we believe that its content is quite abstract and general, lacks the exact improvement suggestions. Therefore, as a client, we would have no clue what exactly is to be modified in order to satisfy the reviewer's expectations. The document is well-formatted, nice to look at. The sections are separated logically. The used language is correct both in style & grammatically.

## *Strengths*

The Evaluation part perfectly takes the role of summarization, shows the weaknesses in general, and the format is also nice. The table format is very readable and gives a high level overview about the inspection experience. The conclusion is also a strong part, our team's document lacks something like this.

## *Suggestions for improvement*

The Found Defects section should go much deeper into details. The location of the occurrence would be nice to have. The same applies to Evaluation table: it should probably mark the related paragraphs regarding the problem. Furthermore, the review does not precisely specify what document is the subject of inspection.

The idea of categorical compliant levels is a brilliant idea, however, it would be also nice to know the other options from the scale the degrees were given.