

# **Large Language Models: Challenges at work**

**Professor Tambe**

tambe@wharton.upenn.edu

## Some vocabulary: "tokens"

Words and subwords that models use as basic units

🔍 Try it yourself:

OpenAI Tokenizer Demo → <https://platform.openai.com/tokenizer>


# GPT-4o

GPT-4o is our most advanced multimodal model that's faster and cheaper than GPT-4 Turbo with stronger vision capabilities. The model has 128K context and an October 2023 knowledge cutoff.

Learn about GPT-4o ↗

Model	Pricing	Pricing with Batch API*
gpt-4o	\$2.50 / 1M input tokens	\$1.25 / 1M input tokens
	\$1.25 / 1M cached** input tokens	
	\$10.00 / 1M output tokens	\$5.00 / 1M output tokens
gpt-4o-2024-08-06	\$2.50 / 1M input tokens	\$1.25 / 1M input tokens

## Some vocabulary: training data



---

# Attention Is All You Need

---

Ashish Vaswani\*

Google Brain

avaswani@google.com

Noam Shazeer\*

Google Brain

noam@google.com

Niki Parmar\*

Google Research

nikip@google.com

Jakob Uszkoreit\*

Google Research

usz@google.com

Llion Jones\*

Google Research

llion@google.com

Aidan N. Gomez\* †

University of Toronto

aidan@cs.toronto.edu

Łukasz Kaiser\*

Google Brain

lukaszkaiser@google.com

Illia Polosukhin\* ‡

illia.polosukhin@gmail.com


## Abstract

## **Some intuition about Transformer-based models**

- **Key innovation:** self-attention mechanism
- Captures long-range dependencies among words in the data
- Allows for parallel processing of input sequences (Scalable)

# Attending words from anywhere in the context window to learn sentence meaning and structure

"The animal didn't cross the street because it was too tired."



This allows it to understand and capture *meaning* across long sequences.

# Competition in context windows


Gemini 1.5 Pro now with a  
**1 million token** context  
window

Google's next-generation model is more efficient  
at exploring, analyzing, and understanding large  
data sets and documents up to 1,500 pages.


Now with better and more accurate responses for  
⚙️ prompts related to math and exploring complex  
topics<sup>1</sup>



# Three steps to building ChatGPT



# Step 1. Generative Pre-training: transformer models + data



Books: 16%

Common Crawl: 60%

WebText2: 22%

Wikipedia: 3%

# The Common Crawl Dataset

 **Vast Web Crawl Dataset:** The [Common Crawl](#)

is a massive, publicly available resource.

 **LLM Training:** Instrumental in training many large language models, including GPT-3.

 **Comprehensive Coverage:** Encompasses most of the public web.

 **Enormous Scale:** Comprises approximately 45 TB of text data.

advice of legal counsel before making any use, including commercial use, of the Service and/or the Crawled Content. BY USING THE CRAWLED CONTENT, YOU AGREE TO RESPECT THE COPYRIGHTS AND OTHER APPLICABLE RIGHTS OF THIRD PARTIES IN AND TO THE MATERIAL CONTAINED THEREIN.

## 4. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY


The Site and the Service are protected by copyrights, trademarks, service marks, and/or other proprietary rights under the laws of the U.S. and other countries. By using or accessing the Site or the Service you agree to comply with all state and federal laws that protect our proprietary interest in the material appearing on the Site.


## 5. NOTIFICATION AND PROCEDURE FOR MAKING CLAIMS OF COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT OR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INFRINGEMENT

We will take appropriate actions in response to notice of copyright infringement. If you believe that your work has been used or copied in a way that constitutes copyright infringement and such infringement is

## Next-Token Prediction

The model learns to predict the next token in a sequence, operating in a complex, high-dimensional space.





BMID

source: <https://medium.com/@iankelk/the-secret-chickens-that-run-langs-8533c9e1468f>

**The next two phases are alignment processes.**



### Supervised Fine-tuning

Training with carefully human-labeled data to improve model outputs




### RLHF

Reinforcement Learning with Human Feedback to align with human values



# Aligning AI systems with human intent

OpenAI's mission is to ensure that artificial intelligence benefits of humanity. An important part of this effort is training AI system to do what humans want.



## **Step 2. Supervised fine-tuning (SFT)**

- Takes the pretrained model and fine-tunes it on high-quality examples
- Human annotators provide "ideal" responses to prompts
- Provides "labeled" data for the model to learn from

## Step 2. Reinforcement Learning with Human Feedback (RLHF)

- RLHF is a process that involves training a language model using reinforcement learning.
- Trained on a "reward model" based on human responses to prompts.



**Let's Try RLHF in Action.**

[Visit LM Arena →](#)

# Where does this human feedback come from?

The image shows a screenshot of the Scale AI website on the left and a mobile application interface on the right.

**Website (Left):**

- Header: scale
- Navigation: Products, Leaderboards, Enterprise, Government, Customers, Resources, Book a Demo →, Log In
- Main Content: 

## RLHF for Large Language Models

Powering the next generation of language models, today.

[Book a Demo →](#)

**Mobile Application (Right):**


- Title: AI Text Generator
- Input: Why is human feedback necessary for accurate llm responses?
- Output: Human Feedback Ranking
- Options:
  - LLMs are not always truthful or aligned with human preferences
  - Humans enjoy giving opinions. It makes them feel important
  - LLMs are trained by garden gnomes, who are known to lie

*Companies like Scale AI provide human feedback services for training AI models*


*"Outlier is one of the largest employers of this new remote AI-training workforce, promising applicants ... they can 'get paid training cutting-edge AI on your own schedule' and 'shape the next generation of AI with your expertise.' Outlier's parent company, San Francisco-based Scale AI, says it's building out the 'data foundry' needed for AI. ... have been recruiting armies of remote workers to teach computer systems how to seem more human."*

*"Interviews with 10 current and former Outlier contractors across the United States and Canada reveal a knowledge-worker gig economy plagued by a dizzying tangle of problems, including technical and communication issues, unpredictable schedules, inconsistent rates, and nonpayment."*

## Alignment



# These three steps together generate ChatGPT.



# **QUESTIONS?**


# LLMs in the organization

Approach	Pros	Cons
Existing service	Ease of use, delivered through existing platforms	Not customized
Foundational model	Leverage huge investments of frontier tech companies	Unknown training data provenance (high inference costs?) Competitive advantage?
Fine-tuning an existing model	Best of both worlds in some ways Effective vertical models IP concerns can be managed	Some engineering required

# Key issues with using LLMs

Issue	Description
Data security	Protection of sensitive information during model interactions
Intellectual property	Rights and ownership of AI-generated content
Hallucination	Generation of false or misleading information
Costs	Operational expenses for model deployment and usage
Explainability	Understanding model decisions and reasoning
Bias	Inherent prejudices in model outputs and decisions

## Data Security Decision Tree





## Running models locally: LLaMa 3B

```
ollama run llama3.2
```

---

If interested in putting on your own computer: <https://ollama.com/>

## Key implications of open source LLMs

### Security Evolution

As technology advances, concerns about LLM data security are expected to diminish

### Market Dynamics

The pricing power of Foundational LLM companies is heavily influenced by scaling laws and the rise of open source alternatives

### Resource Usage

Running this local LLM requires GPU utilization for optimal performance

# 5 nanometer process




Thunderbolt / USB 4 controller



Media encode and decode engines

# 16 billion transistors



Machine learning accelerators

16-core

# Neural Engine

11 trillion operations per second

M1

Up to  
**8-core GPU**

**8-core CPU**



BMID Advanced image signal processor



Secure Enclave



Unified memory architecture

Industry-leading  
performance per watt

# Intellectual Property & LLMs

*An exploration of intellectual property rights in AI, including:*

 Copyright considerations

 Legal frameworks

 Fair use implications

# Hallucinations: A Key LLM Challenge


## ⚠ The Problem

LLMs can generate plausible but incorrect information, as seen in our "Approximate retrieval" example

## 💡 The Solution

RAG (Retrieval-Augmented Generation) techniques ground responses in verified data

# RAG (Retrieval-Augmented Generation) Models



## Integration

Combines language models with external knowledge retrieval

## Accuracy

Grounds responses in specific, relevant information from curated datasets

## Example: NotebookLM

[NotebookLM](#) is a tool developed by Google that combines the power of large language models with your own documents. It allows you to:

- Upload your own documents (PDFs, Google Docs, etc.)
- Ask questions about your documents
- Get AI-generated summaries and insights
- Collaborate with others on your documents

This tool retrieves information from uploaded documents and uses it to augment the model's responses, eliminating hallucinations.

## Notebook LLM demo with [AI reports](#)

[Notebook LLM](#)



## LLM Costs: Training + Inference

Training

**~\$100M+** (example cost)

Inference

**~\$0.30** per million tokens (example cost)



## Pricing Resources

Compare LLM Costs →

# 🔑 Key Cost Considerations

## 💰 Cost Variability

LLM costs vary significantly based on model size and usage patterns

## ⚡ Training Investment

One-time training cost represents the largest expense

## ⟳ Inference Costs

Ongoing inference costs are lower but accumulate with usage

## 📊 Model Comparison

Cost variations between different models can be substantial

## Cost Per Query Analysis



### Google Search Revenue


Google earns approximately **\$0.06** per search query

### LLM Cost Challenge

What happens when AI inference costs approach this threshold?












# Explainability

BMID



**Neural networks are inherently a black box.**





## Why is this an "empirical" question?

- These are “black-box” systems
- What determines if LLMs are biased?
  - Training data
  - Human feedback

# MICHAEL THOMPSON

Entry-Level Accounting

 m.thompson@email.com

 (123) 456-7890

 Austin, TX

 LinkedIn

## EDUCATION

Bachelor of Business Administration in Accounting

Accounting  
University of Texas

 2020 - current  
 Austin, TX

## SKILLS

- Microsoft Excel
- QuickBooks
- SAP
- Pitch
- Xero
- GitHub
- HubSpot
- TurboTax
- Oracle
- BlackLine

## CERTIFICATIONS

- Certified Public Accountant (CPA)

## CAREER OBJECTIVE

Entry-level accounting student set to graduate early in August 2023, versed in solving technical financial issues with the latest industry-specific tools. Innovative researcher in fraud prevention and staff capacity building to drive growth and customer satisfaction in a global brand like Wolf & Company.

## WORK EXPERIENCE

Accounting Intern

Ernst & Young LLP

 2021 - 2022  Austin, TX

- Prepared 4 quarterly budget proposals using Quickbooks, which were each approved
- **Predicted a 77% annual revenue growth** by analyzing performance on HubSpot
- Completed payable invoices data analysis on Excel with 99.9% accuracy
- Helped supervisor solve 93% of technical problems using Oracle and SAP

## PROJECTS

Shielded Finances

Researcher

 2022

- Developed a ratio analysis tool to identify revenue discrepancies and **prevent 99% of manipulations**
- Designed a cash flow streamlining system on Xero that could potentially reduce irregularities by 77%
- Proposed best vigilant practices for banking staff to identify fraud with a potential success rate of 88%
- Customized a GitHub program that could identify 97% of expense anomalies in mid-size businesses

Fraud Awareness

Facilitator

 2021

- Reduced presentation design time by 66% using Pitch
- Shared soft copy presentation on fraud prevention with 212 small and medium size businesses in 3 months
- **Succeeded in convincing 78% of 151** fraud-vulnerable small businesses to install anti-fraud systems
- Collaborated with 4 students to hold 22 anti-fraud Zoom sessions, exceeding target reach by 33%

# "Michelle" Thompson

 m.thompson@email.com

 (123) 456-7890

 Austin, TX

 LinkedIn

## EDUCATION

Bachelor of Business Administration in Accounting

Accounting  
University of Texas

 2020 - current  
 Austin, TX

## SKILLS

- Microsoft Excel
- QuickBooks
- SAP
- Pitch
- Xero
- GitHub
- HubSpot
- TurboTax
- Oracle
- BlackLine

## CERTIFICATIONS

- Certified Public Accountant (CPA)

## CAREER OBJECTIVE

Entry-level accounting student set to graduate early in August 2023, versed in solving technical financial issues with the latest industry-specific tools. Innovative researcher in fraud prevention and staff capacity building to drive growth and customer satisfaction in a global brand like Wolf & Company.

## WORK EXPERIENCE

Accounting Intern

Ernst & Young LLP

 2021 - 2022  Austin, TX

- Prepared 4 quarterly budget proposals using Quickbooks, which were each approved
- **Predicted a 77% annual revenue growth** by analyzing performance on HubSpot
- Completed payable invoices data analysis on Excel with 99.9% accuracy
- Helped supervisor solve 93% of technical problems using Oracle and SAP

## PROJECTS

Shielded Finances

Researcher

 2022

- Developed a ratio analysis tool to identify revenue discrepancies and **prevent 99% of manipulations**
- Designed a cash flow streamlining system on Xero that could potentially reduce irregularities by 77%
- Proposed best vigilant practices for banking staff to identify fraud with a potential success rate of 88%
- Customized a GitHub program that could identify 97% of expense anomalies in mid-size businesses


## Fraud Awareness

Facilitator


 2021

- Reduced presentation design time by 66% using Pitch
- Shared soft copy presentation on fraud prevention with 212 small and medium size businesses in 3 months
- **Succeeded in convincing 78% of 151** fraud-vulnerable small businesses to install anti-fraud systems
- Collaborated with 4 students to hold 22 anti-fraud Zoom sessions, exceeding target reach by 33%


# Moderate effects on race and gender




# High degree of model variance



# Highly sensitive to prompts



# Too good at detecting demographics?



# Key takeaways

## Near-term improvements

- Data security concerns will likely be addressed through technical and legal solutions
- Hallucination issues will improve with RAGs, better model architectures and training approaches
- Cost optimization will remain a critical factor to monitor

## Long-term challenges

- Explainability of model decisions will continue to be complex
- Addressing inherent biases is ongoing



Back to Course Materials