softmax

January 25, 2021

0.1 This is the softmax workbook for ECE C147/C247 Assignment #2

Please follow the notebook linearly to implement a softmax classifier.

Please print out the workbook entirely when completed.

We thank Serena Yeung & Justin Johnson for permission to use code written for the CS 231n class (cs231n.stanford.edu). These are the functions in the cs231n folders and code in the jupyer notebook to preprocess and show the images. The classifiers used are based off of code prepared for CS 231n as well.

The goal of this workbook is to give you experience with training a softmax classifier.

```
[1]: import random
  import numpy as np
  from cs231n.data_utils import load_CIFAR10
  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

  %matplotlib inline
  %load_ext autoreload
  %autoreload 2
```

```
[2]: def get CIFAR10_data(num_training=49000, num_validation=1000, num_test=1000,
      \rightarrownum_dev=500):
         11 11 11
         Load the CIFAR-10 dataset from disk and perform preprocessing to prepare
         it for the linear classifier. These are the same steps as we used for the
         SVM, but condensed to a single function.
         # Load the raw CIFAR-10 data
         cifar10_dir = '../cifar-10-batches-py' # You need to update this line
         X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = load_CIFAR10(cifar10_dir)
         # subsample the data
         mask = list(range(num_training, num_training + num_validation))
         X_val = X_train[mask]
         y_val = y_train[mask]
         mask = list(range(num_training))
         X_train = X_train[mask]
         y_train = y_train[mask]
```

```
mask = list(range(num_test))
    X_test = X_test[mask]
    y_test = y_test[mask]
    mask = np.random.choice(num_training, num_dev, replace=False)
    X_dev = X_train[mask]
    y_dev = y_train[mask]
    # Preprocessing: reshape the image data into rows
    X train = np.reshape(X train, (X train.shape[0], -1))
    X_val = np.reshape(X_val, (X_val.shape[0], -1))
    X test = np.reshape(X test, (X test.shape[0], -1))
    X_dev = np.reshape(X_dev, (X_dev.shape[0], -1))
    # Normalize the data: subtract the mean image
    mean_image = np.mean(X_train, axis = 0)
    X_train -= mean_image
    X_val -= mean_image
    X_test -= mean_image
    X_dev -= mean_image
    # add bias dimension and transform into columns
    X_train = np.hstack([X_train, np.ones((X_train.shape[0], 1))])
    X_val = np.hstack([X_val, np.ones((X_val.shape[0], 1))])
    X test = np.hstack([X test, np.ones((X test.shape[0], 1))])
    X_dev = np.hstack([X_dev, np.ones((X_dev.shape[0], 1))])
    return X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val, X_test, y_test, X_dev, y_dev
# Invoke the above function to get our data.
X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val, X_test, y_test, X_dev, y_dev =_
 →get_CIFAR10_data()
print('Train data shape: ', X_train.shape)
print('Train labels shape: ', y train.shape)
print('Validation data shape: ', X_val.shape)
print('Validation labels shape: ', y_val.shape)
print('Test data shape: ', X_test.shape)
print('Test labels shape: ', y_test.shape)
print('dev data shape: ', X_dev.shape)
print('dev labels shape: ', y_dev.shape)
Train data shape: (49000, 3073)
```

Train labels shape: (49000,)
Validation data shape: (1000, 3073)
Validation labels shape: (1000,)
Test data shape: (1000, 3073)
Test labels shape: (1000,)

```
dev data shape: (500, 3073) dev labels shape: (500,)
```

0.2 Training a softmax classifier.

The following cells will take you through building a softmax classifier. You will implement its loss function, then subsequently train it with gradient descent. Finally, you will choose the learning rate of gradient descent to optimize its classification performance.

```
[3]: from nndl import Softmax
```

```
[4]: # Declare an instance of the Softmax class.

# Weights are initialized to a random value.

# Note, to keep people's first solutions consistent, we are going to use a

¬random seed.

np.random.seed(1)

num_classes = len(np.unique(y_train))
num_features = X_train.shape[1]

softmax = Softmax(dims=[num_classes, num_features])
```

Softmax loss

```
[5]: ## Implement the loss function of the softmax using a for loop over # the number of examples

loss = softmax.loss(X_train, y_train)
```

[6]: print(loss)

2.3277607028048966

0.3 Question:

You'll notice the loss returned by the softmax is about 2.3 (if implemented correctly). Why does this make sense?

0.4 Answer:

Since all scores are initialized to 0, the loss is the natural log of the number of classes (10)-> 2.3

Softmax gradient

```
[7]: ## Calculate the gradient of the softmax loss in the Softmax class.

# For convenience, we'll write one function that computes the loss

# and gradient together, softmax.loss_and_grad(X, y)

# You may copy and paste your loss code from softmax.loss() here, and then

# use the appropriate intermediate values to calculate the gradient.
```

```
loss, grad = softmax.loss_and_grad(X_dev,y_dev)

# Compare your gradient to a gradient check we wrote.

# You should see relative gradient errors on the order of 1e-07 or less if you_

→ implemented the gradient correctly.

softmax.grad_check_sparse(X_dev, y_dev, grad)
```

```
numerical: 0.301892 analytic: 0.301892, relative error: 4.713473e-09 numerical: 0.412108 analytic: 0.412108, relative error: 1.306684e-07 numerical: -2.543329 analytic: -2.543329, relative error: 4.141162e-09 numerical: 1.474428 analytic: 1.474427, relative error: 2.611153e-08 numerical: 0.807006 analytic: 0.807006, relative error: 6.768935e-08 numerical: 2.075951 analytic: 2.075951, relative error: 2.178458e-08 numerical: 0.856041 analytic: 0.856040, relative error: 6.441477e-08 numerical: -1.398324 analytic: -1.398324, relative error: 1.355805e-08 numerical: -0.306065 analytic: -0.306065, relative error: 2.836512e-09 numerical: -4.762989 analytic: -4.762989, relative error: 1.079254e-08
```

0.5 A vectorized version of Softmax

To speed things up, we will vectorize the loss and gradient calculations. This will be helpful for stochastic gradient descent.

```
[8]: import time
```

```
[9]: ## Implement softmax.fast_loss_and grad which calculates the loss and gradient
          WITHOUT using any for loops.
     # Standard loss and gradient
     tic = time.time()
     loss, grad = softmax.loss_and_grad(X_dev, y_dev)
     toc = time.time()
     print('Normal loss / grad_norm: {} / {} computed in {}s'.format(loss, np.linalg.
     →norm(grad, 'fro'), toc - tic))
     tic = time.time()
     loss_vectorized, grad_vectorized = softmax.fast_loss_and_grad(X_dev, y_dev)
     toc = time.time()
     print('Vectorized loss / grad: {} / {} computed in {}s'.format(loss_vectorized, __
      →np.linalg.norm(grad_vectorized, 'fro'), toc - tic))
     # The losses should match but your vectorized implementation should be much
     \hookrightarrow faster.
     print('difference in loss / grad: {} /{} '.format(loss - loss_vectorized, np.
      →linalg.norm(grad - grad_vectorized)))
```

```
# You should notice a speedup with the same output.
```

```
Normal loss / grad_norm: 2.3410310932467624 / 356.1972823983476 computed in 0.08643984794616699s
Vectorized loss / grad: 2.3410310932467615 / 356.1972823983477 computed in 0.005819797515869141s
difference in loss / grad: 8.881784197001252e-16 /2.2939937255122995e-13
```

0.6 Stochastic gradient descent

We now implement stochastic gradient descent. This uses the same principles of gradient descent we discussed in class, however, it calculates the gradient by only using examples from a subset of the training set (so each gradient calculation is faster).

0.7 Question:


How should the softmax gradient descent training step differ from the sym training step, if at all?

0.8 Answer:

The training step is identical except the gradient calculation and loss will just be computed differently

```
iteration 0 / 1500: loss 2.3365926606637544
iteration 100 / 1500: loss 2.0557222613850827
iteration 200 / 1500: loss 2.0357745120662813
iteration 300 / 1500: loss 1.9813348165609888
iteration 400 / 1500: loss 1.9583142443981612
iteration 500 / 1500: loss 1.8622653073541355
iteration 600 / 1500: loss 1.8532611454359382
iteration 700 / 1500: loss 1.8353062223725827
iteration 800 / 1500: loss 1.829389246882764
iteration 900 / 1500: loss 1.8992158530357484
```

```
iteration 1000 / 1500: loss 1.97835035402523
iteration 1100 / 1500: loss 1.8470797913532633
iteration 1200 / 1500: loss 1.8411450268664082
iteration 1300 / 1500: loss 1.79104024957921
iteration 1400 / 1500: loss 1.8705803029382257
That took 5.022393703460693s
```



0.8.1 Evaluate the performance of the trained softmax classifier on the validation data.

training accuracy: 0.3811428571428571 validation accuracy: 0.398

0.9 Optimize the softmax classifier

You may copy and paste your optimization code from the SVM here.

```
[12]: np.finfo(float).eps
```

[12]: 2.220446049250313e-16

```
[13]: # ======
                 # YOUR CODE HERE:
       Train the Softmax classifier with different learning rates and
     #
         evaluate on the validation data.
     #
       Report:
     #
         - The best learning rate of the ones you tested.
         - The best validation accuracy corresponding to the best validation error.
     #
       Select the SVM that achieved the best validation error and report
         its error rate on the test set.
     rates = [1e-4, 1e-5, 1e-6, 1e-7, 1e-8, 1e-9, 1e-10]
    max_accuracy = (None, 0)
    for rate in rates:
        softmax.train(X_train, y_train, learning_rate=rate,
                      num iters=1500, verbose=False)
        y_val_pred = softmax.predict(X_val)
        acc = (rate,np.mean(np.equal(y_val, y_val_pred)))
        max_accuracy = max(max_accuracy, acc, key=lambda x: x[1])
    print(f"Best Learning Rate: {max accuracy[0]}\nValidation Set Accuracy:
     →{max_accuracy[1]}\nValidation Set Error:{1-max_accuracy[1]}")
    softmax.train(X_train, y_train, learning_rate=max_accuracy[0],
                      num_iters=1500, verbose=False)
    y_test_pred = softmax.predict(X_test)
    acc = np.mean(np.equal(y_test, y_test_pred))
    print("Test Set Error: ", 1-acc)
     # END YOUR CODE HERE
     # ----- #
```

Best Learning Rate: 1e-06 Validation Set Accuracy:0.405 Validation Set Error:0.595 Test Set Error: 0.608

[]: