

- (c) Powerful enough refers to if the neural network, parametrized by  $\phi$ , underlying  $q_\phi$  can accurately represent the true posterior distribution. i.e. if  $q_\phi(z|x) \approx p_\theta(z|x)$  sufficiently well ✓  
 $\exists \phi^* \text{ s.t. } \dots$   $z_k$   $z_k$  for  $k=1, \dots, K$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{\theta, \phi} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{VLB}_{\theta, \phi}^{(K)}(X_i) \\ & \stackrel{\substack{\text{close to} \\ \text{equality by} \\ \text{most powerful} \\ q_\phi \text{ is}}}}{\approx} \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}_{z_1, \dots, z_K \sim p_\theta(z|x)} \left[ \log \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{p_\theta(z|z_k) p_\theta(z_k)}{p_\theta(z_k|x)} \right] \\ & = \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^N \log \left( \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K p_\theta(z) \right) = \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^N \log p_\theta(z) \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2.(a) \quad \log p_\theta(X_i) &= \log \left( \mathbb{E}_{z \sim r_\lambda(z)} [p_\theta(X_i|z)] \right) \\ &= \log \left( \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_\phi(z|X_i)} \left[ \frac{p_\theta(X_i|z) r_\lambda(z)}{q_\phi(z|X_i)} \right] \right) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_\phi(z|X_i)} \left[ \log \left( \frac{p_\theta(X_i|z) r_\lambda(z)}{q_\phi(z|X_i)} \right) \right] \quad \text{by Jensen's inequality} \\ &= \text{VLB}_{\theta, \phi, \lambda}(X_i) \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

$$(b) \quad \nabla \text{VLB}(X_i) = (\nabla_\theta \text{VLB}(X_i), \nabla_\phi \text{VLB}(X_i), \nabla_\lambda \text{VLB}(X_i))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\theta \text{VLB}(X_i) &= \nabla_\theta \int \log \left( \frac{p_\theta(X_i|z) r_\lambda(z)}{q_\phi(z|X_i)} \right) q_\phi(z|X_i) dz \\ &= \int \nabla_\theta (p_\theta(X_i|z)) \frac{1}{p_\theta(X_i|z)} q_\phi(z|X_i) dz + 0 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_\phi(z|X_i)} \left[ \nabla_\theta (\log(p_\theta(X_i|z))) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla_\phi \text{VLB}(X_i) = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_\phi(z|X_i)} \left[ \left( \nabla_\phi \log q_\phi(z|X_i) \right) \log \left( \frac{p_\theta(X_i|z) r_\lambda(z)}{q_\phi(z|X_i)} \right) \right] \quad \text{by log-distribution trick for VAEs (HW10.1)}$$

$$\nabla_\lambda \text{VLB}(X_i) = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_\phi(z|X_i)} \left[ \nabla_\lambda (\log(r_\lambda(z))) \right] \quad \text{by same logic as } \nabla_\theta$$

So stochastic gradients of  $\text{VLB}_{\theta, \phi, \lambda}(X_i)$  can be computed by:

$$\nabla_{\theta, \phi, \lambda} \text{VLB}_{\theta, \phi, \lambda}(X_i) \approx \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \left( \nabla_\theta (\log(p_\theta(X_i|z_k))), \left( \nabla_\phi \log(z_k) \right) \log \left( \frac{p_\theta(X_i|z_k) r_\lambda(z_k)}{q_\phi(z_k|X_i)} \right), \nabla_\lambda (\log(r_\lambda(z_k))) \right) \quad \text{where } z_k \sim q_\phi(z|X_i)$$

$\uparrow$   
 number of elements  
 in a batch of  
 SA calculation

$z_{i,k} | X_i$   
 $z_k$  (sample for each  $X_i$  once)

2.(c)  $\nabla_{\theta}$  and  $\nabla_{\lambda}$  same as above ✓ (Expectation distribution  $q_{\phi}$  doesn't depend on  $\theta$  or  $\lambda$  so no need for the trick)

$\nabla_{\phi}$  evaluation changes if we use reparametrization vs. log-derivative trick:

$$\nabla_{\phi} \text{VLB}(X_i) = \nabla_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q_{\phi}(Z|X_i)} \left[ \log \left( \frac{p_{\theta}(X_i|Z) r_{\lambda}(Z)}{q_{\phi}(Z|X_i)} \right) \right]$$

Redo 2.(c)  $\text{VLB}_{\theta, \phi, \lambda}(X_i) = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q_{\phi}(Z|X_i)} [\log p_{\theta}(X_i|Z)]}_{\textcircled{1}} - \underbrace{D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(Z|X_i) \| r_{\lambda}(Z))}_{\textcircled{2}} + \mathbb{E}[\log(\frac{r_{\lambda}}{q_{\phi}})]$  (slide 89)

- $\textcircled{1} = \mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q_{\phi}(Z|X_i)} \left[ \log \left( (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-d/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (X_i - f_{\theta}(Z))^T \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} I \right) (X_i - f_{\theta}(Z)) \right) \right) \right]$   
 $= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \mathbb{E}_{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\phi}(X_i), \Sigma_{\phi}(X_i))} [\|X_i - f_{\theta}(Z)\|^2] - \frac{d}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2)$   
 $d = \dim(f_{\theta})$

by reparametrization trick:

$$= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)} [\|X_i - f_{\theta}(\mu_{\phi}(X_i) + \Sigma_{\phi}^{1/2}(X_i) \epsilon)\|^2] - \frac{d}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2)$$

→ Apply  $\nabla_{\phi}$ :  $-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)} [\nabla_{\phi} \|\dots\|^2]$  which can be evaluated + back-propagated

- $\textcircled{2} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \text{tr}([\text{diag}(\lambda_2)]^{-1} \Sigma_{\phi}(X_i)) + (\lambda_1 - \mu_{\phi}(X_i))^T [\text{diag}(\lambda_2)]^{-1} (\lambda_1 - \mu_{\phi}(X_i)) - k + \log \left( \frac{\det(\text{diag}(\lambda_2))}{\det(\Sigma_{\phi}(X_i))} \right) \right)$

by HW9.5

→ Gradient  $\nabla_{\phi}$  can then be calculated + back propagated