Chapter 1: The Foundations: Logic and Proofs

1.3 Propositional Equivalences

1.3 Propositional Equivalences

Introduction

DEFINITION 1

A compound proposition that is always true, no matter what the truth values of the propositions that occurs in it, is called a *tautology*. A compound proposition that is always false is called a *contradiction*. A compound proposition that is neither a tautology or a contradiction is called a *contingency*.

Examples of a Tautology and a Contradiction.				
p	¬p	<i>p</i> ∨¬ <i>p</i>	$p \wedge \neg p$	
Т	F	Т	F	
F	Т	Т	F	

1.3 Propositional Equivalences

Logical Equivalences

DEFINITION 2

The compound propositions p and q are called *logically equivalent* if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology. The notation $p \equiv q$ denotes that p and q are logically equivalent.

- Compound propositions that have the same truth values in all possible cases are called logically equivalent.
- Example: Show that $\neg p \lor q$ and $p \to q$ are logically equivalent.

Truth Tables for $\neg p \lor q$ and $p \to q$.				
p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$p \rightarrow q$
Т	Т	F	Т	Т
Т	F	F	F	F
F	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	T	Т

Show that $\neg(p \lor q)$ and $\neg p \land \neg q$ are logically equivalent.

	Truth Tables for ¬(p ∨ q) and ¬p ∧ ¬q .					
p	q	p∨q	¬(p ∨ q)	¬р	¬q	¬p ∧ ¬q
Т	Т	Т	F	F	F	F
Т	F	Т	F	F	Т	F
F	Т	Т	F	Т	F	F
F	F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т

Show that p \vee (q \wedge r) and (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r) are logically equivalent.

TABLE 5 A Demonstration That $p \lor (q \land r)$ and $(p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$ Are Logically Equivalent.							
p	\boldsymbol{q}	r	$q \wedge r$	$p \vee (q \wedge r)$	$p \lor q$	$p \lor r$	$(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
T	T	T	T	Т	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	T	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Equivalence	Name
$p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$ $p \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv p$	Identity laws
$p \lor \mathbf{T} \equiv p$ $p \lor \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$	Domination laws
$p \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Domination laws
$p \lor p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
$p \wedge p \equiv p$	
$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law
$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$	Commutative laws
$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	
$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$ $(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$	Associative laws
$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$ $p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$	Distributive laws

$\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$ $\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$	De Morgan's laws
$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$ $p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$	Absorption laws
$p \lor \neg p \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \land \neg p \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Negation laws

TABLE 7 Logical Equivalences Involving Conditional Statements.

$$p \to q \equiv \neg p \lor q$$

$$p \to q \equiv \neg q \to \neg p$$

$$p \lor q \equiv \neg p \to q$$

$$p \land q \equiv \neg (p \to \neg q)$$

$$\neg (p \to q) \equiv p \land \neg q$$

$$(p \to q) \land (p \to r) \equiv p \to (q \land r)$$

$$(p \to r) \land (q \to r) \equiv (p \lor q) \to r$$

$$(p \to q) \lor (p \to r) \equiv p \to (q \lor r)$$

$$(p \to r) \lor (q \to r) \equiv (p \land q) \to r$$

Constructing New Logical Equivalences

■ Example: Show that $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$ and $p \land \neg q$ are logically equivalent. Solution:

$$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv \neg(\neg p \lor q)$$
 by $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$
$$\equiv \neg(\neg p) \land \neg q$$
 by the second De Morgan law
$$\equiv p \land \neg q$$
 by the double negation law

Note: The above example can also be done using truth tables.

Constructing New Logical Equivalences

Example: Show that ¬(p ∨ (¬p ∧ q)) and ¬p ∧ ¬q are logically equivalent.
Solution:

```
\neg(p \lor (\neg p \land q)) \equiv \neg p \land \neg(\neg p \land q) \text{ by the second De Morgan law}
\equiv \neg p \land [\neg(\neg p) \lor \neg q] \text{ by the first De Morgan law}
\equiv \neg p \land (p \lor \neg q) \text{ by the double negation law}
\equiv (\neg p \land p) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q) \text{ by the second distributive law}
\equiv F \lor (\neg p \land \neg q) \text{ because } \neg p \land p \equiv F
\equiv (\neg p \land \neg q) \lor F \text{ by the commutative law for disjunction}
\equiv \neg p \land \neg q \text{ by the identity law for } F
```

Constructing New Logical Equivalences

• Example: Show that $(p \land q) \rightarrow (p \lor q)$ is a tautology.

Solution: To show that this statement is a tautology, we will use logical equivalences to demonstrate that it is logically equivalent to T.

$$(p \land q) \rightarrow (p \lor q) \equiv \neg (p \land q) \lor (p \lor q)$$
 by $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$
 $\equiv (\neg p \lor \neg q) \lor (p \lor q)$ by the first De Morgan law
 $\equiv (\neg p \lor p) \lor (\neg q \lor q)$ by the associative and
communicative law for disjunction
 $\equiv T \lor T$
 $\equiv T$

Note: The above example can also be done using truth tables.

Satisfiability

- A compound proposition is satisfiable if there is at least one value that is true in the truth table.
- A compound proposition is unsatisfiable when there is not even a single value in the truth table that is true.
- Example: Determine whether the compound propositions (p $\vee \neg q$) \wedge (q $\vee \neg r$) \wedge (r $\vee \neg p$) is satisfiable.
- Solution: Due to the V (disjunction) within each individual proposition, having same truth values ensures that at least one of the propositional variable within each individual compound proposition will be true. Therefore, the compound proposition propositions (p ∨ ¬q) ∧ (q ∨ ¬r) ∧ (r ∨ ¬p) will be true and hence, satisfiable as there is at least one assignment of truth values for p, q, and r that makes it true.

Satisfiability

- Example: Determine whether the compound propositions (p ∨ q ∨ r) ∧ (¬p ∨ ¬q ∨ ¬r) is satisfiable.
- Solution: Note that $(p \lor q \lor r) \land (\neg p \lor \neg q \lor \neg r)$ is true when at least one of p, q, and r is true and at least one is false (see Exercise 43 of Section 1.1). Hence, $(p \lor q \lor r) \land (\neg p \lor \neg q \lor \neg r)$ is satisfiable, as there is at least one assignment of truth values for p, q, and r that makes it true.