Chapter 1: The Foundations: Logic and Proofs

1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers

Introduction

- Propositional logic cannot adequately express the meaning of all statements in mathematics and in natural language.
- E.g. "Every computer connected to the university network is functioning properly."
- "CS2 is under attack by an intruder," where CS2 is a computer on the university network, to conclude the truth of "There is a computer on the university network that is under attack by an intruder.

Predicates

- Statements involving variables are neither true nor false.
- E.g. "x > 3", "x = y + 3", "x + y = z"
- "x is greater than 3"
 - "x": subject of the statement
 - "is greater than 3": the *predicate*
- We can denote the statement "x is greater than 3" by P(x), where P denotes the predicate and x is the variable.
- Once a value is assigned to the variable x, the statement P(x) becomes a proposition and has a truth value.

• Example: Let P(x) denote the statement "x > 3." What are the truth values of P(4) and P(2)?

Solution:
$$P(4) - "4 > 3"$$
, true $P(3) - "2 > 3"$, false

Example: Let Q(x,y) denote the statement "x = y + 3." What are the truth values of the propositions Q(1,2) and Q(3,0)?

Solution:
$$Q(1,2) - "1 = 2 + 3"$$
, false $Q(3,0) - "3 = 0 + 3"$, true

Example: Let A(c,n) denote the statement "Computer c is connected to network n", where c is a variable representing a computer and n is a variable representing a network. Suppose that the computer MATH1 is connected to network CAMPUS2, but not to network CAMPUS1. What are the values of A(MATH1, CAMPUS1) and A(MATH1, CAMPUS2)?

Solution: A(MATH1, CAMPUS1) – "MATH1 is connect to CAMPUS1", false

A(MATH1, CAMPUS2) – "MATH1 is connect to CAMPUS2", true

- A statement involving n variables $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ can be denoted by $P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$.
- A statement of the form $P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ is the value of the propositional function P at the n-tuple $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, and P is also called a n-place predicate or a n-ary predicate.

Quantifiers

- Quantification: express the extent to which a predicate is true over a range of elements.
- Universal quantification: a predicate is true for every element under consideration
- Existential quantification: a predicate is true for one or more element under consideration
- A domain must be specified.

DEFINITION 1

The universal quantification of P(x) is the statement "P(x) for all values of x in the domain."

The notation $\forall x P(x)$ denotes the universal quantification of P(x). Here \forall is called the **Universal Quantifier**. We read $\forall x P(x)$ as "for all x P(x)" or "for every x P(x)."

Example: Let P(x) be the statement "x + 1 > x." What is the truth value of the quantification $\forall x P(x)$, where the domain consists of all real numbers?

Solution: Because P(x) is true for all real numbers, the quantification is true.

- A statement $\forall x P(x)$ is false, if and only if P(x) is not always true where x is in the domain. One way to show that is to find a counterexample to the statement $\forall x P(x)$.
- Example: Let Q(x) be the statement "x < 2". What is the truth value of the quantification ∀ xQ(x), where the domain consists of all real numbers?

Solution: Q(x) is not true for every real numbers, e.g. Q(3) is false. x = 3 is a counterexample for the statement $\forall x Q(x)$. Thus the quantification is false.

Example: What does the statement ∀xN(x) mean if N(x) is "Computer x is connected to the network" and the domain consists of all computers on campus?

Solution: "Every computer on campus is connected to the network."

DEFINITION 2

The existential quantification of P(x) is the statement "There exists an element x in the domain such that P(x)." We use the notation $\exists x P(x)$ for the existential quantification of P(x). Here \exists is called the **Existential Quantifier**.

The existential quantification ∃xP(x) is read as "There is an x such that P(x)," or "There is at least one x such that P(x)," or "For some x, P(x)."

• Example: Let P(x) denote the statement "x > 3". What is the truth value of the quantification $\exists x P(x)$, where the domain consists of all real numbers?

Solution: "x > 3" is sometimes true – for instance when x = 4. The existential quantification is true.

- ∃xP(x) is false if and only if P(x) is false for every element of the domain.
- Example: Let Q(x) denote the statement "x = x + 1". What is the true value of the quantification $\exists xQ(x)$, where the domain consists for all real numbers?

Solution: Q(x) is false for every real number. The existential quantification is false.

- If the domain is empty, ∃xQ(x) is false because there can be no element in the domain for which Q(x) is true.
- The existential quantification $\exists x P(x)$ is the same as the disjunction $P(x_1) \lor P(x_2) \lor ... \lor P(x_n)$

Quantifiers		
Statement	When True?	When False?
∀xP(x)	xP(x) is true for every x.	There is an x for which xP(x) is false.
∃ <i>xP</i> (<i>x</i>)	There is an x for which P(x) is true.	P(x) is false for every x.

∃ 13

Translating from English into Logical Expressions

 Example: Express the statement "Every student in this class has studied calculus" using predicates and quantifiers.

Solution:

If the domain consists of students in the class –

$$\forall x C(x)$$

where C(x) is the statement "x has studied calculus."

If the domain consists of all people -

$$\forall x(S(x) \rightarrow C(x))$$

where S(x) represents that person x is in this class.

If we are interested in the backgrounds of people in subjects besides calculus, we can use the two-variable quantifier Q(x,y) for the statement "student x has studied subject y." Then we would replace C(x) by Q(x), calculus) to obtain $\forall x Q(x)$, calculus) or

$$\forall x(S(x) \rightarrow Q(x, calculus))$$

 Example: Consider these statements. The first two are called premises and the third is called the conclusion. The entire set is called an argument.

```
"All lions are fierce."
```

"Some lions do not drink coffee."

"Some fierce creatures do not drink coffee."

```
Solution: Let P(x) be "x is a lion."

Q(x) be "x is fierce."

R(x) be "x drinks coffee."

\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))

\exists x(P(x) \land \neg R(x))

\exists x(Q(x) \land \neg R(x))
```