

MATH 51 Homework #18

Tamir Enkhjargal

May 2019

1.

The general formula can be described as $n(t) = 2n(t-1) * (n(-1) + n(0) + n(1) + \dots + n(t-2)) + n(t-1)^2$.

We know $n(-1) = 1$, $n(0) = 1$, $n(1) = 3$, $n(2) = 21$

$$(a) \quad n(3) = 2n(2) * (n(-1) + n(0) + n(1)) + n(2)^2 = 2 * 21 * (1 + 1 + 3) + 21^2 = 651$$

$$(b) \quad n(4) = 2n(3) * (n(-1) + n(0) + n(1) + n(2)) + n(3)^2 = 2 * 651 * (1 + 1 + 3 + 21) + 651^2 = 457653$$

2.

$$(a) \quad n(k+1) = 2 * n(k) * N(k-1) + n(k)^2$$

$$(b) \quad n(k+1) = 2(N(k) - N(k-1)) * N(k-1) + (N(k) - N(k-1))^2 = N(k)^2 - N(k-1)^2$$

$$(c) \quad N(k+1) = n(k+1) + N(k) \equiv N(k+1) = N(k)^2 - N(k-1)^2 + N(k)$$

$$(d) \quad N(3) = N(2+1) = N(2)^2 - N(1)^2 + N(2) = 26^2 - 5^2 + 26 = 677$$

$$N(4) = N(3+1) = N(3)^2 - N(2)^2 + N(3) = 677^2 - 26^2 + 677 = 458330$$

3.

We can assume:

$$v(G_1 * G_2) = v(G_1) + v(G_2) + 1$$

$$\ell(G_1 * G_2) = \ell(G_1) + \ell(G_2)$$

$$e(G_1 * G_2) = e(G_1) + e(G_2) + 2$$

(a) Base case: $G = \circ$

$n\ell(G) + 1 = 0 + 1 = 1$ is true, as there is only 1 leaf (root).

Inductive step: Assume that $G_1, G_2 \in FBRT$ with both $n\ell(G_1) + 1 = \ell(G_1)$ and $n\ell(G_2) + 1 = \ell(G_2)$ true.

Thus, we can state that the proof works for $n\ell(G_1 * G_2) + 1 = \ell(G_1 * G_2)$.

$$n\ell(G_1 * G_2) + 1 = \ell(G_1 * G_2) \tag{1}$$

$$n\ell(G_1 * G_2) = \ell(G_1 * G_2) - 1 \tag{2}$$

$$= \ell(G_1) + \ell(G_2) - 1 \tag{3}$$

$$= (n\ell(G_1) + 1) + (n\ell(G_2) + 1) - 1 \tag{4}$$

$$\therefore n\ell(G_1 * G_2) = n\ell(G_1) + n\ell(G_2) + 1 \tag{5}$$

Therefore, from this, we can see that any new element in G can be built from subsequent graphs already existing in G . The relationship between $n\ell(G)$ and $v(G)$ is that $v(G) = n\ell(G) + \ell(G)$.

- (b) This does not work for EBRTs because FBRT base case starts at a single vertex. We can just check the induction base case with EBRT:

$$n\ell(G) + 1 = \ell(G) \rightarrow n\ell(\emptyset) + 1 = \ell(\emptyset) \rightarrow 1 \neq 0.$$

The base case does not hold for EBRTs.

4.

(a) $e(G_1 * G_2) = e(G_1) + e(G_2) + 2$

(b) Base case: $G = \circ$

$$v(\circ) = e(\circ) + 1 \rightarrow 1 = 0 + 1 \rightarrow 1 = 1. \text{ Base case is true.}$$

Inductive step: Assume that $G_1, G_2 \in FBRT$ with both $v(G_1) = e(G_1) + 1$ and $v(G_2) = e(G_2) + 1$ true.

Thus, we can state that the proof works for $v(G_1 * G_2) = e(G_1 * G_2) + 1$.

$$v(G) = e(G) + 1 \tag{1}$$

$$v(G_1 * G_2) = v(G_1) + v(G_2) + 1 \tag{2}$$

$$= [e(G_1) + 1] + [e(G_2) + 1] + 1 \tag{3}$$

$$= e(G_1) + e(G_2) + 3 \tag{4}$$

$$v(G_1 * G_2) = e(G_1 * G_2) + 1 = [e(G_1) + e(G_2) + 2] + 1 \tag{5}$$

From our inductive step, we found the formula we stated in part (a) for $e(G_1 * G_2) = e(G_1) + e(G_2) + 2$, which we have assumed to be true. From this, we have also proved that the relation $v(G) = e(G) + 1$ is true for all cases.