Assignment 2

MPI – Parallization

Tammo Johannes Herbert (319391), Rico Jasper (319396), Erik Rudisch (343930) 15.05.2013

Exercise 1 - Sequential Overspecification

Consider the following sequential algorithm that calculates max_sum:

```
int a[N] = { -1, 5, -2, 0, 1, 4, 6, -4, 3, -6, ... };
int max_sum = 0;
int cur_sum = 0;
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    cur_sum += a[i];
    if (cur_sum < 0) cur_sum = 0;
    if (cur_sum > max_sum) max_sum = cur_sum;
}
```

There is no straightforward way to parallelize the loop as each iteration depends on the previous iteration. Yet, the underlying problem can be parallelized.

(a) Analysis

Analyze the algorithm in order to extract the original problem. What is the purpose of the given algorithm?

Solution

Der gegebene Code sucht in einem n-elementigen Vektor v nach der größtmöglichen Teilsumme. Eine Teilsumme kann folgendermaßen definiert sein:

```
Sei a, b \in \mathbb{N}, mit a \le b, a \ge 1 und b \le n. So ist eine Teilsumme \sum_{i=a}^{b} v_i.
```

Das Problem kann folgendermaßen umformuliert werden. Der Vektor v wird auf eine Folga a(k) abgebildet. Dabei gilt $a(k) = v_k$. Zu dieser Folge wird die kumulierte Summe $A(k) = \sum_{k=1}^n a(k)$ gebildet. Zu der neuen Folge A(k) wird nun sowohl das globale Minimum min_0 als auch Maximum max_0 bestimmt. Nun betrachtet man zunächst nur alle Werte rechts vom globalen Minimum min_0 und sucht dort nach dem Maximum max_r . Analog suchen wir links vom globalen Maximum max_0 nach dem Minimum min_l . Wir definieren $d_r \coloneqq max_r - min_0$ und $d_l \coloneqq max_0 - min_l$. Die größte Teilsumme ist nun $max(d_l, d_r)$.

(b) Parallel Algorithm

Devise a parallel algorithm based on your problem description!

Write down your algorithm in (commented/explained) pseudo code using collective operations when necessary!

Solution

Das Problem kann nach dem Teile-und-herrsche-Prinzip gelöst werden. Entsprechend wird der Vektor v in m etwa gleich große Teilvektoren u_i aufgeteilt. Zu jedem Teilvektor v_i wird die Summe s_i aller Elemente, sowie Minimum min_i und Maximum max_i berechnet. Nach Berechnung muss zu jeder Summe sowie auf Minimum und Maximum ein Offset c_i addiert werden. Dieser wird folgendermaßen berechnet: $c_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} s_i$, wobei $c_1 \coloneqq 0$. Aus allen Minima und Maxima wird nun das globale Minimum und Maximum berechnet: $gmin \coloneqq \min_{i \in \{1,\dots,m\}} \{min_i\}$ und $gmax \coloneqq \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,m\}} \{max_i\}$. Wie oben beschrieben, bestimmt man nun links oder rechts der jeweiligen Extrema weitere Extrema und deren Differenzen.

```
1 nodes = number of nodes
 [a, b] = get job
                                     // every node works on a
                                      // different range
 4
 6 [sum, vmin, vmax] =
                                     // here the actual work is
 7
   sum_and_extremes(v[a to b])
                                     // done
8
9 switch (role)
                                     // now we gather the results
10 case not root:
                                     // and put everything
   send(sum, vmin, vmax);
break;
                                     // together
11
12
13 case root:
   sums[nodes];
14
                                     // initialize result vectors
mins[nodes];
maxs[nodes];
17
// put root's result into
                                      // vectors
24
           recv();
25
30
offsets = calc_offsets(sums) // compensate offset error
mins += offsets;
maxs += offsets;
34
print valley_hill(mins, maxs); // determine max sum and print
36
37
     break;
38 }
39
40 [sum, vmin, vmax] = // finds minima and maxima of 
41 sum_and_extremes(v[]) // the given vector v
42 {
43 sum = 0;
44 vmin = MAX INT;
45
     vmax = MIN INT;
46
foreach vi in v 48 with index i
49
50
         sum += vi;
51
52 if (sum < vmin)
53 vmin = sum
53
             vmin = sum
54
         if (sum > vmax)
55
             vmax = sum
56
      }
57 }
58
59 d = valley_hill(mins[], maxs[]) { // calculates the greatest
60 min_l = MAX_INT;
61 max r = MIN_INT:
                                      // difference between minimum
                                      // and maximum where the minimum
       max^{-}r = MIN^{-}INT;
61
62
                                      // is before the maximum
       min 0 = MAX INT;
```

```
max_0 = MIN INT;
 63
 64
 65
        imin = 0;
 66
        imax = 0;
 67
                                      // find global minimum
 68
       foreach vmin in
 69
          mins with index i
 70
 71
           if (vmin < min_0) {
 72
               min 0 = vmin;
 73
               imin = i
 74
            }
 75
        }
 76
 77
       foreach vmax in
                                      // find global maximum
 78
           maxs with index i
 79
           if (vmax < max 0) {</pre>
 80
 81
              \max 0 = v\max;
 82
               imax = i
 83
           }
 84
        }
 85
          86
        foreach vmin in
 87
 88
       {
 89
           if (vmin < min 1)</pre>
 90
                min l = vmin;
 91
        }
 92
93
94
95
96
          foreach vmax in
       if (vmax > max r)
 97
              max_r = vmax;
 98
       }
 99
                                // we could actually avoid half
// the calculation if the global
// maximum is:
      d_1 = max_0 - min 1;
100
       d_1 = max_0 - min_1;
d_r = max_r - min_0;
101
102
                                      // maximum is right from the
103
       d = max(d l, d r);
                                      // global minimum
104 }
105
106 offsets[] = calc_offsets(sums[]) {    // calculates the offsets which
107
       acc = 0;
                                       // are normalizing the partial
108
                                       // vectors
109
       foreach sum in
110
          sums with index i
111
112
          offsets[i] = acc;
113
          acc += sum;
114
       }
    }
```

Exercise 2 - Conway's Game of Life

The goal of this exercise is an implementation of a distributed memory version of Conway's Game of Life. See for instance http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conway%27s game of life for an introduction.

Your implementation should not focus on absolute speed, but instead on correct interaction patterns and a bottleneck-free design. There are two variants presented here: A (simple) and B (advanced). (If you are interested in speed, have a look at *Hash Life*.)

Variant A: Regular Parallelism

A matrix consisting of 1s and 0s is used as a representation of the playing field.

Variant B: Irregular Parallelism

Storing a complete matrix is not necessary as (normally) most cells are dead and do not change. Therefore, it is possible to store only coordinates of living (or interesting) cells, as only living cells or dead cells with living neighbors may change. (Another side-effect is that one can get rid of borders as coordinates may have arbitrary values.)

(a) Problem decomposition

For *both* variants, consider different strategies to distribute work and data across multiple processes – basically the last two steps in Foster's design methodology.

Use the discussion forum in ISIS to present *one* way to decompose the problem (for A *or* B). Present a decomposition that was not yet given. In your suggestion, include the data and work distribution and the necessary data exchanges between nodes.

For your submission, compare different suggestions (for A *and* for B) and highlight their advantages and disadvantages – also considering different target systems.

Solution

TODO

(b) Implementation

Select one variant, A or B, and implement a strategy with MPI, which is suitable for our cluster!

Solution

TODO