

# Assignment 2 (35%)

Deadline Friday 5 May 2023

## Topic:

1. Literature Review
2. Outline of Research Approach

## Marked by:

Your supervisor

## Submission deadline:

**Bring a substantial draft Monday 24 April 2pm to the review workshop in class** (no need to submit it to Canvas, just bring it over in class)

## Final submission:

In PDF format, by **Friday 5 May (week 10) through Canvas/Turnitin and directly to your supervisor**. No need to cc Kalina who can access it through Canvas.

Please ensure to provide your SID on the front page to facilitate your supervisor's handing in of marks.

## Task 1: Literature Review

Prepare a report (around 10 pages\*) containing a critical review of the previous works you have found related to your research topic. The report should include around 15-20\* properly referenced conference or journal articles and/or books and highlight the gaps you identified in the literature. Organise the review around the questions or claims relevant to your research rather than just listing the papers you have read.

## Task 2: Outline of research approach

Prepare a report (around 3 pages\*) that identifies a research problem (linked to your literature review above) and highlights the contributions you intend to make in your research area. Outline how you plan to evaluate your contributions. You should provide enough details to show that you have thought about how to convince readers. For example, if you intend to measure performance, you should describe what aspects will be measured, and what you will compare the measurements to.

(\*): Numbers given as a rough guideline but could be higher. Please check with your supervisor.

## Note:

You must follow the overall structure and guidelines given in class in week 4. You will be given the opportunity to receive feedback in week 9 during class.

## Marking scheme

### Task 1:

- **Coverage**: most important relevant work covered [15 marks]
- **Identification of contributions**: identified and described in a pertinent way/well summarised and evaluated [15 marks]
- **Identification of research methods**: well identified and described in a pertinent way [15 marks]
- **Organisation**: review shows a deep understanding of the knowledge/work cited well connected to the research topic / effective use of tables and graphs / leads well to gaps in research [25 marks]

- **Presentation** (of whole assignment): consistent **reference style** and **layout** / **clarity** of presentation and **written expression** / **academic style** / **final editing touches**) [15 marks]

Task 2:

- Clear statement of the intended contribution / convincing evaluation process that is appropriate to the claim [15 marks]