



enta 1231, [twine story] nothing out of the ordinary

📅 Date Finished	@29 January 2026
☰ Course	enta 1231 visual scripting in game development
☰ Software	Twine
☰ Type	Written
⚙️ Status	Done

▼ description

Instructions

Assignment 1 - Twine Story

Create a complete interactive story in Twine that demonstrates your understanding of visual scripting concepts from Labs 1 & 2.

Your story must remember player choices, track state, and determine outcomes based on that state. The system—not a single final choice—should decide how the story ends.

Starting Point

You must continue from the Twine story developed in Labs 1 & 2.

Your story should already include:

- A clear introduction
- Multiple events
- Choices with visible outcomes
- A defined ending

Do not create a new story. This assignment completes your existing one.

Core Requirements

Your Twine story must include **all** of the following.

Variables and State

- Player choices must set variables
- Variables must represent narrative intent
- The story must remember what the player has done

Conditional Logic

- Story content must change based on earlier choices
- Conditions should alter dialogue, options, or outcomes

Branching and Endings

- The story must branch meaningfully
- The story must contain multiple distinct endings
- Endings must be determined by player state, not a single final choice

System-Driven Consequences

- Earlier choices must affect later events
- Tracked choices must matter somewhere later in the story

System Explanation

- Submit a short document or diagram explaining:
 - Your variables

- What they represent
- Where they are set and checked

Scope and Expectations

- Keep systems simple and readable
- Avoid complex mechanics (inventory, currency, reputation)
- Focus on clarity, correctness, and intent

Testing Requirement

Before submitting:

- Play through your story multiple times
- Confirm that:
 - Variables update correctly
 - Conditions trigger as expected
 - Different choices lead to different outcomes

Submission Requirements

Submit:

- Your completed Twine story file
- A screenshot of your final twine graph
- Your system explanation document or diagram

Evaluation (25% of Grade)

Your assignment will be evaluated using the same criteria as the requirements above.

- Player choices set and use variables
- The story remembers and responds to player actions
- Conditional logic meaningfully changes content
- Branching leads to multiple distinct endings
- Outcomes are system-driven

- System explanation clearly matches the implementation
 - Story is complete and playable
-

1. my main goal

making choices feel meaningful without expanding the story endlessly

the core loop i'm using is:

choice → variable → state → consequence

this means the story can reconverge in the middle, but the outcome still changes depending on what the player did earlier.

what i was missing in lab 2

in lab 2, i was tracking choices, but i wasn't resolving them. i had variables changing, but no final state check that turned those values into clear outcomes.

for this assignment, the "arrival" passage fixes that by acting as a final gate that evaluates the player's accumulated state.

2. quick story summary (player experience)

from the player's perspective:

- i'm driving to meet my sister, mufudzi
- i go through a checkpoint / traffic stop
- i choose how i cope with stress afterward
- i have a blowout and decide how to handle being stranded
- the story reconverges at the same arrival location

even though the location is the same, the **ending changes** based on how i behaved.

3. how the system works

inputs (what the player does)

inputs are the moments where the player actively makes a decision. each input does **one specific task** in this system.

1. checkpoint behaviour

this is the player's response during the stop.

the options are:

- calm
- rude
- silent

each option:

- changes behaviour variables
- does *not* branch the story location
- creates a record of how the player handled the situation aka the authority.

this input exists to establish a baseline behaviour early in the story.

2. coping choice

this happens after the checkpoint and focuses on internal response, not action.

the options are:

- deny (light a cigarette)
- panic (spiral internally)
- reach out (call mama)

each option:

- sets the `$coping` variable
- may slightly affect `$heat`
- does not move the story forward geographically

this input is about *emotional regulation*, not progress.

3. blowout response

this happens when the player is stranded.

the options are:

- call for help
- try to handle it alone

this input:

- sets `$calledHelp`
- increases `$heat`
- acts as a stress test for earlier behaviour

this is the last meaningful player choice before the ending.

processing (what the system does)

processing is everything the game does **between** player choices and endings.

the player doesn't see this directly, but it's for us to see and how the system determines the outcome

step 1: update variables

after each choice:

- one or more variables are updated
- nothing resolves immediately

examples:

- rude response → `$defiance + 1`
- calling for help → `$calledHelp = true`

variables accumulate quietly in the background.

step 2: convert variables into states

instead of you checking many variables later, the system groups them into states at specific checkpoints. that's why we created them.

this happens:

- after the checkpoint encounter
- after the blowout

states simplify decision-making at the end.

step 3: evaluate states at the final gate

the final scene (`arrival`) checks:

- `$checkpointState`

- `$riskState`
- `$scoping`

based on a priority order, the system selects **one** ending.

the player's final click does not decide the ending — the accumulated state does.

outputs (what the player gets)

outputs are the visible results of the system.

the player always arrives at the same location, but the tone and outcome change.

possible outputs include:

- confrontation or emotional blow-up
- support and reunion
- emotional shutdown or silence
- unresolved but changed ending

these outcomes are determined by behaviour patterns, not single choices.

i originally had a more extreme “caught” potential ending but removed it to keep the focus on emotional and relational consequences rather than escalation.

4. variables (raw values)

variables are the most basic units in the system. they change directly when the player makes a choice.

they are not shown to the player, but everything else depends on them.

behaviour flags (numbers)

these track how the player interacts with authority.

- `$authority`
increases when the player responds calmly or tries to de-escalate
- `$defiance`
increases when the player challenges, snaps back, or resists
- `$compliance`

increases when the player stays silent or follows instructions without comment
these values are not mutually exclusive, but usually one dominates.

coping style (string)

- `$coping`

this records **how** the player deals with stress.

possible values:

- `"deny"`
- `"panic"`
- `"reachout"`

this variable is set once and carries through to the ending.

risk tracker (number)

- `$heat`

this tracks escalation over time.

it increases when:

- the player is confrontational
- the player involves outside help
- the player draws attention to themselves

higher heat increases the chance of negative outcomes.

help choice (boolean)

- `$calledHelp`

this records whether the player relied on external support during the blowout.

true = called for help

false = handled it alone

this variable affects risk but also signals dependency vs isolation.

5. state variables (checkpoints)

states are simplified labels created from raw variables.

they exist to make the ending logic readable and manageable.

\$checkpointState

this summarises how the player behaved at the checkpoint.

possible values:

- "calm"
- "defiant"
- "compliant"
- "unknown"

this state influences whether the arrival scene becomes confrontational.

\$riskState

this summarises overall risk based on `$heat`.

possible values:

- "low"
- "medium"
- "high"

this state represents how visible or vulnerable the player is by the end.

\$ending

this is a temporary label used to track which ending is triggered.

it helps with debugging and ensures only one ending displays.

6. checkpoint rules

checkpoint a — after the checkpoint encounter

this checkpoint converts behaviour variables into a single state.

this happens either:

- immediately in the branch, or

- later using conditional logic

example rule set:

- if `$defiance >= 1` → `"defiant"`
- else if `$authority >= 1` → `"calm"`
- else if `$compliance >= 1` → `"compliant"`

this locks in the player's approach to authority early.

checkpoint b — after the blowout

this checkpoint converts `$heat` into `$riskState`.

example rule set:

- `heat ≥ threshold` → `"high"`
- `heat = 1` → `"medium"`
- `heat = 0` → `"low"`

this allows earlier choices to influence the ending without adding new branches.

7. making choices impactful without expanding scope

instead of branching constantly, i let the middle of the story reconverge.

impact comes from:

- how variables accumulate
- how states are calculated
- how the final gate evaluates those states

this means i only add **one major new passage** (`arrival`) instead of rewriting the whole story and adding a whole bunch of passages

simple diagram

Intro

```
-> Event 1 (Checkpoint encounter)
    sets authority/defiance/compliance + reputation/heat
    -> CHECKPOINT A: AttitudeState
```

```
-> Event 2 (Cigarette / coping)
    sets coping (+ optional heat)
-> Event 3 (Blowout)
    sets calledHelp (and heat)
    -> CHECKPOINT B: HeatState + HelpChoiceState
-> Final Gate (Meet Sister)
    -> Ending 1 / 2 / 3 / 4 (based on states)
```

8. flow map (logic overview)

- intro → initialise variables
 - event 1 → checkpoint encounter
 - sets behaviour variables
 - checkpoint a calculates `$checkpointState`
 - event 2 → coping moment
 - sets `$coping`
 - event 3 → blowout
 - sets `$calledHelp` and `$heat`
 - checkpoint b calculates `$riskState`
 - arrival → system checks states and outputs ending
-

9. arrival as a final gate

the arrival passage:

1. acknowledges time passing so the transition feels natural
2. calculates `$ending` based on priority rules
3. displays exactly one ending

priority order:

1. high risk or defiant behaviour → confrontation
2. reach out → reunion
3. denial → silence

4. anything else → unresolved

this ensures the system, not the last click, decides the outcome.

10. use of imagery

images are used only at major beats:

- checkpoint
- coping moments
- arrival endings

the monochrome, fine-line style matches the quiet tension of the story and reinforces emotional states.

ai-generated images were used for this project and are acknowledged as such.