

COMP50003 Models of Computation

Imperial College London

Boxuan Tang

Autumn 2022

Contents

1	Operational Semantics	2
1.1	Big-Step/Natural Semantics	2
1.2	Small-Step/Structural Semantics	2
1.3	Many Steps of Evaluation	2
1.4	Simple Expressions	2
1.5	While	2
2	Induction	3
2.1	Terms	3
2.2	Derivations	3
2.3	Many Steps	3
3	Machines	4
3.1	Register Machine	4
3.2	Turing Machine	4
3.3	Lambda Calculus	5

1 Operational Semantics

Definition 1.1 (Normal form) An expression E is in normal form and irreducible if there is no such E' such that $E \rightarrow E'$. Either **answer configurations** or **stuck configurations**.

Definition 1.2 (Strictness) An operation is called strict in one of its arguments if it always needs to evaluate that argument. $+$ is strict in both arguments but \mathcal{E} is a left-strict operator (non-strict in right).

1.1 Big-Step/Natural Semantics

- **(Determinacy)** $\forall E \in \text{SimpleExp}. \forall n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}. [E \Downarrow n_1 \wedge E \Downarrow n_2 \Rightarrow n_1 = n_2]$.
- **(Totality)** $\forall E \in \text{SimpleExp}. \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. [E \Downarrow n]$. (store breaks totality due to stuck configurations)

1.2 Small-Step/Structural Semantics

- **(Determinism)** For all E, E_1, E_2 , if $E \rightarrow E_1$ and $E \rightarrow E_2$, then $E_1 = E_2$
- **(Confluence)** For all E, E_1, E_2 , if $E \rightarrow^* E_1$ and $E \rightarrow^* E_2$, then there exists E' such that $E_1 \rightarrow^* E'$ and $E_2 \rightarrow^* E'$
- **(Weak Normalisation)** For any expression E_1 there exists a finite sequence of expressions such that for all $i \in [1..k], E_i \rightarrow E_{i+1}$
- **(Strong Normalisation)** There are no infinite sequences of expressions such that for all $i, E_i \rightarrow E_{i+1}$
- **(Unique Normal Form)** For all E, n_1, n_2 , if $E \rightarrow^* n_1$ and $E \rightarrow^* n_2$ then $n_1 = n_2$

1.3 Many Steps of Evaluation

Definition 1.3 (Reflexive Transitive Closure) $E \rightarrow^* E'$ iff $E = E'$ or there is a finite sequence $E \rightarrow E_1 \dots \rightarrow E_k \rightarrow E'$

Theorem 1.4 For all E and n , $E \Downarrow n$ iff $E \rightarrow^* n$

1.4 Simple Expressions

$$E \in \text{SimpleExp} ::= \mathbf{n} \mid E + E \mid E \times E$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(B-NUM)} \frac{}{n \Downarrow n} \quad \text{(B-ADD)} \frac{E_1 \Downarrow n_1 \quad E_2 \Downarrow n_2}{E_1 + E_2 \Downarrow n_3} \quad n_3 = n_1 + n_2 \\ & \text{(S-LEFT)} \frac{E_1 \rightarrow E'_1}{E_1 + E_2 \rightarrow E'_1 + E_2} \quad \text{(S-RIGHT)} \frac{E \rightarrow E'}{n + E \rightarrow n + E'} \quad \text{(S-ADD)} \frac{}{n_1 + n_2 \rightarrow n_3} \quad n_3 = n_2 + n_1 \end{aligned}$$

1.5 While

$$B \in \text{Bool} ::= \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid E = E \mid E < E \mid \dots \mid B \& B \mid \neg B \mid \dots$$

$$E \in \text{Exp} ::= x \mid \mathbf{n} \mid E + E \mid \dots$$

$$C \in \text{Com} ::= x := E \mid \text{if } B \text{ then } C \text{ else } C \mid C; C \mid \mathbf{skip} \mid \text{while } B \text{ do } C$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(W-EXP.LEFT)} \frac{\langle E_1, s \rangle \rightarrow_e \langle E'_1, s' \rangle}{\langle E_1 + E_2, s \rangle \rightarrow_e \langle E'_1 + E_2, s' \rangle} \quad \text{(W-EXP.RIGHT)} \frac{\langle E, s \rangle \rightarrow_e \langle E', s' \rangle}{\langle n + E, s \rangle \rightarrow_e \langle n + E', s' \rangle} \\ & \text{(W-EXP.VAR)} \frac{}{\langle x, s \rangle \rightarrow_e \langle n, s \rangle} \quad s(x) = n \quad \text{(W-EXP.ADD)} \frac{}{\langle n_1 + n_2, s \rangle \rightarrow_e \langle n_3, s \rangle} \quad n_3 = n_1 + n_2 \\ & \text{(W-ASS.EXP)} \frac{\langle E, s \rangle \rightarrow_e \langle E', s' \rangle}{\langle x := E, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle x := E', s' \rangle} \quad \text{(W-ASS.NUM)} \frac{}{\langle x := n, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle \text{skip}, s[x \mapsto n] \rangle} \\ & \text{(W-SEQ.LEFT)} \frac{\langle C_1, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle C'_1, s' \rangle}{\langle C_1; C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle C'_1; C_2, s' \rangle} \quad \text{(W-SEQ.SKIP)} \frac{}{\langle \text{skip}; C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle C_2, s \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{(W-COND.TRUE)} \frac{}{\langle \text{if true then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle C_1, s \rangle} \\
\text{(W-COND.FALSE)} \frac{}{\langle \text{if false then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle C_2, s \rangle} \\
\text{(W-COND.FALSE)} \frac{\langle B, s \rangle \rightarrow_b \langle B', s' \rangle}{\langle \text{if } B \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle \text{if } B' \text{ then } C_1 \text{ else } C_2, s' \rangle} \\
\text{(W-WHILE)} \frac{}{\langle \text{while } B \text{ do } C, s \rangle \rightarrow_c \langle \text{if } B \text{ then } (C; \text{while } B \text{ do } C) \text{ else skip}, s \rangle}
\end{array}$$

2 Induction

$$B \in \text{Bool} ::= \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid B \& B \mid \neg B$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{(BS1)} \frac{}{\text{false} \& B_2 \rightarrow \text{false}} \quad \text{(BS2)} \frac{}{\text{true} \& B_2 \rightarrow B_2} \quad \text{(BS3)} \frac{B_1 \rightarrow B'_1}{B_1 \& B_2 \rightarrow B'_1 \& B_2} \\
\text{(BS4)} \frac{B \rightarrow B'}{\neg B \rightarrow \neg B'} \quad \text{(BS5)} \frac{}{\neg \text{true} \rightarrow \text{false}} \quad \text{(BS6)} \frac{}{\neg \text{false} \rightarrow \text{true}} \\
\text{(BL1)} \frac{B_1 \Downarrow \text{false}}{B_1 \& B_2 \Downarrow \text{false}} \quad \text{(BL2)} \frac{B_1 \Downarrow \text{true} \quad B_2 \Downarrow b}{B_1 \& B_2 \Downarrow b} \\
\text{(BL3)} \frac{B \Downarrow \text{true}}{\neg B \Downarrow \text{false}} \quad \text{(BL4)} \frac{B \Downarrow \text{false}}{\neg B \Downarrow \text{true}} \quad \text{(BL5)} \frac{}{b \Downarrow b}
\end{array}$$

2.1 Terms

$$\begin{aligned}
&P(\text{true}) \wedge P(\text{false}) \wedge \forall B_1, B_2 \in \text{Bool}. [P(B_1) \wedge P(B_2) \Rightarrow P(B_1 \& B_2)] \wedge \forall B \in \text{Bool}. [P(B) \Rightarrow P(\neg B)] \\
&\implies \forall B \in \text{Bool}. [P(B)]
\end{aligned}$$

Split inductive cases into further cases based on step applied

2.2 Derivations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\forall B_1, B_2 \in \text{Bool}. [Q(B_1, \text{false}) \Rightarrow Q(B_1 \& B_2, \text{false})] \\
&\wedge \forall B_1, B_2 \in \text{Bool}. \forall b \in \mathbb{B}. [Q(B_1, \text{true}) \wedge Q(B_2, b) \Rightarrow Q(B_1 \& B_2, b)] \\
&\wedge \forall B \in \text{Bool}. [Q(B, \text{true}) \Rightarrow Q(\neg B, \text{false})] \wedge \forall B \in \text{Bool}. [Q(B, \text{false}) \Rightarrow Q(\neg B, \text{true})] \wedge \forall b \in \mathbb{B}. [Q(b, b)] \\
&\implies \forall B \in \text{Bool}. \forall b \in \mathbb{B}. [B \Downarrow b \Rightarrow Q(B, b)]
\end{aligned}$$

2.3 Many Steps

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^*(a, a') \triangleq a = a' \vee \exists a'' \in A. [R(a, a'') \wedge R^*(a'', a')] \\
&\forall a \in A. Q(a, a) \wedge \forall a, a', a'' \in A. [R(a, a'') \wedge Q(a'', a') \Rightarrow Q(a, a')] \implies \forall a, a' \in A. [R^*(a, a') \Rightarrow Q(a, a')] \\
&R^+(a, a') \triangleq R(a, a') \vee \exists a'' \in A. [R(a, a'') \wedge R^+(a'', a')] \\
&\forall a \in A. [R(a, a') \Rightarrow Q(a, a)] \wedge \forall a, a', a'' \in A. [R(a, a'') \wedge Q(a'', a') \Rightarrow Q(a, a')] \implies \forall a, a' \in A. [R^+(a, a') \Rightarrow Q(a, a')]
\end{aligned}$$

3 Machines

3.1 Register Machine

Instructions $L_0 : R_0^+ \rightarrow L_1 \quad L_1 : R_0^- \rightarrow L_0, L_2 \quad L_2 : \text{HALT}$

Configuration $c = (l, r_0, \dots, r_n)$ where l is the label and r_i is the contents of R_i , initially with $l = 0$

Definition 3.1 (Computable Functions) *Partial function $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is (register machine) computable if there is a register machine M with at least $n + 1$ registers R_0, R_1, \dots, R_n (and maybe more) such that for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and all $y \in \mathbb{N}$, the computation of M starting with $R_0 = 0, R_1 = x_1, \dots, R_n = x_n$ and all other registers set to 0, halts with $R_0 = y$ if and only if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y$.*

Definition 3.2 (Numerical Coding of Pairs) $\begin{cases} \langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle \triangleq 2^x(2y + 1) = 0b\mathbf{y}10\dots0 \text{ (x number of 0s)} \\ \langle x, y \rangle \triangleq 2^x(2y + 1) - 1 \end{cases}$

Definition 3.3 (Numerical Coding of Lists) $\begin{cases} \ulcorner \square \urcorner \triangleq 0 \\ \ulcorner x :: l \urcorner \triangleq \langle\langle x, \ulcorner l \urcorner \rangle\rangle \triangleq 2^x(2 \cdot \ulcorner l \urcorner + 1) \end{cases}$
 $\ulcorner [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \urcorner = 10\dots x_n \dots 010\dots x_{n-1} \dots 01\dots 10\dots x_1 \dots 0$

Definition 3.4 (Numerical Coding of Programs) $\begin{cases} \ulcorner R_i^+ \rightarrow L_j \urcorner \triangleq \langle\langle 2i, j \rangle\rangle \\ \ulcorner R_i^- \rightarrow L_j, L_k \urcorner \triangleq \langle\langle 2i + 1, \langle j, k \rangle \rangle\rangle \\ \ulcorner \text{HALT} \urcorner \triangleq 0 \end{cases}$

Definition 3.5 (Universal Register Machine) *Starts with $R_0 = 0, R_1 = e$ (code of program) and $R_2 = a$ (list of arguments) with all other registers zeroed.*

- decodes e as a RM program P
- decodes a as a list of register values a_1, \dots, a_n
- computes P starting with $R_0 = 0, R_1 = a_1, \dots, R_n = a_n$ and all other registers in P set to 0

Theorem 3.6 (Halting Problem) *RM H decides the halting problem if started with $R_0 = 0, R_1 = e$ (code of program) and $R_2 = a$ (list of arguments) with all other registers zeroed, always halts with $R_0 = 0$ or 1, with $R_0 = 1$ iff the RM with index e eventually halts when started with a .*

Construct H' by copying R_1 to R_2 before running H . Construct C by modifying H' so that C halts if $R_0 = 0$ and loops forever if $R_0 > 0$. Let c be the code of C .

C started with $R_1 = c$ halts iff H' started with $R_1 = c$ halts with $R_0 = 0$ iff H started with $R_1 = c, R_2 = \ulcorner [c] \urcorner$ halts with $R_0 = 0$ iff C started with $R_1 = c$ does not halt.

Definition 3.7 (Decidable) $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is RM decidable iff there is a RM started with $R_0 = 0, R_1 = x$ and all other registers zeroed halts with $R_0 = 0$ or 1 and $R_0 = 1$ iff $x \in S$

Definition 3.8 (Semidecidable) *There is a TM that always halts if the input value belongs to the set or run forever otherwise*

3.2 Turing Machine

$M = (Q, \Sigma, s, \delta)$ where Q is a finite set of machine states, Σ is a set of tape symbols containing the distinguished blank symbol \square , a initial state $s \in Q$, and a partial transition function $\delta \in (Q \times \Sigma) \rightarrow (Q \times \Sigma \times \{L, R\})$
 Configuration (q, w, u) comprising current state $q \in Q$, finite possibly empty string $w \in \Sigma^*$ of symbols left of tape head, and finite possibly empty string $u \in \Sigma^*$ of symbols under and right of tape head.
 Initial configuration of (s, ϵ, u) with ϵ denoting the empty string.

$$\frac{\text{first}(u)=(a,u') \quad \delta(q,a)=(q',a',L) \quad \text{last}(w)=(b,w')}{(q,w,u) \rightarrow_M (q',w',ba'u')} \quad \frac{\text{first}(u)=(a,u') \quad \delta(q,a)=(q',a',R)}{(q,w,u) \rightarrow_M (q',wa',u')}$$

Definition 3.9 (Tape Encoding of Lists) *A tape over $\Sigma = \{\square, 0, 1\}$ where precisely two cells contain 0 and the only cells containing 1 occur between these two*

$\dots \square \square 01\dots n_1 \dots 1 \square 1\dots n_2 \dots 1 \square \dots \square 1\dots n_k \dots 10 \square \square$

Definition 3.10 (Computable) $f \in \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is Turing computable iff there exists a TM M starting on leftmost 0 on tape coding $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, M halts iff $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \downarrow$ and the final tape codes a list whose first element is y where $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y$.

Theorem 3.11 (Church-Turing Thesis) Every algorithm can be realised as a Turing machine

3.3 Lambda Calculus

Redex $(\lambda x.M)N$

Definition 3.12 (Free Variables) $FV(x) = \{x\}$ $FV(\lambda x.M) = FV(M) \setminus \{x\}$
 $FV(MN) = FV(M) \cup FV(N)$

Definition 3.13 (α -equivalence) $M =_\alpha N$ iff one can be obtained from another by renaming bound variables (must have same set of free variables)

Definition 3.14 (Substitution)

$$x[M/y] = \begin{cases} M & x = y \\ x & x \neq y \end{cases} \quad (\lambda x.N)[M/y] = \begin{cases} \lambda x.N & x = y \\ \lambda z.N[z/x][M/y] & x \neq y \end{cases} \quad (M_1 M_2)[M/y] = (M_1[M/y])(M_2[M/y])$$

Definition 3.15 (β -reduction)

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x.M)N \rightarrow_\beta M[N/x]} \quad \frac{M =_\alpha M' \quad M' \rightarrow_\beta N' \quad N' =_\alpha N}{M \rightarrow_\beta N}$$

$$\frac{M \rightarrow_\beta M'}{\lambda x.M \rightarrow_\beta \lambda x.M'} \quad \frac{M \rightarrow_\beta M' \quad N \rightarrow_\beta N'}{MN \rightarrow_\beta M'N} \quad \frac{N \rightarrow_\beta N'}{MN \rightarrow_\beta MN'}$$

Definition 3.16 (Multi-Step β -reduction)

$$\text{Reflexivity} \frac{M =_\alpha M'}{M \rightarrow_\beta^* M'} \quad \text{Transitivity} \frac{M \rightarrow_\beta M'' \quad M'' \rightarrow_\beta M'}{M \rightarrow_\beta^* M'}$$

Theorem 3.17 (Church-Rosser Confluence)

$$\forall M, M_1, M_2. [M \rightarrow_\beta^* M_1 \wedge M \rightarrow_\beta^* M_2 \Rightarrow \exists M'. M_1 \rightarrow_\beta^* M' \wedge M_2 \rightarrow_\beta^* M']$$

Theorem 3.18 (Uniqueness of Normal Form)

$$\forall M, N_1, N_2. [M \rightarrow_\beta^* N_1 \wedge M \rightarrow_\beta^* N_2 \wedge \text{is_in_nf}(N_1) \wedge \text{is_in_nf}(N_2) \Rightarrow N_1 =_\alpha N_2]$$

Definition 3.19 (β -equivalence)

$$M_1 =_\beta M_2 \iff \exists M'. M_1 \rightarrow_\beta^* M' \wedge M_2 \rightarrow_\beta^* M'$$

Definition 3.20 (Reduction Strategies)

- **Normal Order** leftmost outermost, always reduces to normal form if it exists
- **Call by Name** leftmost outermost \mathcal{E} does not reduce inside λ -abstraction, pass unevaluated parameters into body which are evaluated on each use
- **Call by Value** leftmost innermost \mathcal{E} does not reduce inside λ -abstraction, evaluated function parameters before passing them into body, terminates less often than Call by Name

Definition 3.21 (Definability) $f : \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is λ -definable iff there exists a closed λ -term M where $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y$ iff $M \underline{x_1} \dots \underline{x_n} =_\beta \underline{y}$ and $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \uparrow$ iff $M \underline{x_1} \dots \underline{x_n}$ has no normal form

Definition 3.22 (Encoding)

$$\underline{n} \triangleq \lambda f. \lambda x. f(\dots(f(x))\dots) \quad \text{plus} \triangleq \lambda m. \lambda n. \lambda f. \lambda x. m f(n f x) \quad \text{mult} \triangleq \lambda m. \lambda n. \lambda f. m(n f)$$

$$\underline{m}^n \triangleq \lambda m. \lambda n. n m \quad \text{if } (m=0) \text{ then } x_1 \text{ else } x_2 \triangleq \lambda m. \lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. m(\lambda z. x_2) x_1$$

$$\text{pair} \triangleq \lambda v_1, v_2. (\lambda p. p v_1 v_2) \quad \text{fst} \triangleq \lambda q. q(\lambda w_1 w_2. w_1) \quad \text{snd} \triangleq \lambda q. q(\lambda w_1 w_2. w_2)$$

$$I \triangleq \lambda x. x \quad K \triangleq \lambda x y. x \quad S \triangleq \lambda x y z. x z(y z)$$

Definition 3.23 (Combinators) $T \triangleq \lambda x y. y x$ $C \triangleq \lambda x y z. x z y$ $V \triangleq \lambda x y z. z x y$

$$B \triangleq \lambda x y z. x(y z) \quad B' \triangleq \lambda x y z. y(x z) \quad W \triangleq \lambda x y. x y y$$

$Y \triangleq \lambda f. (\lambda x. f(x x))(\lambda x. f(x x))$, after one step $Y f \rightarrow_\beta f(Y f)$