6.6 A database has five transactions. Let $min_sup = 60\%$ and $min_conf = 80\%$.

r 6 Mining Frequent Patterns, Associations, and Correlations

TID	items_bought
T100	$\{M, O, N, K, E, Y\}$
T200	{D, O, N, K, E, Y }
T300	$\{M, A, K, E\}$
T400	$\{M, U, C, K, Y\}$
T500	{C, O, O, K, I, E}

- (a) Find all frequent itemsets using Apriori and FP-growth, respectively. Compare the efficiency of the two mining processes.
- (b) List all the *strong* association rules (with support *s* and confidence *c*) matching the following metarule, where *X* is a variable representing customers, and *item*^{*i*} denotes variables representing items (e.g., "A," "B,"):

 $\forall x \in transaction, \ buys(X, item_1) \land buys(X, item_2) \Rightarrow buys(X, item_3) \quad [s, c]$

6.9 Suppose that a large store has a transactional database that is *distributed* among four locations. Transactions in each component database have the same format, namely $T_j: \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}$, where T_j is a transaction identifier, and i_k $(1 \le k \le m)$ is the identifier of an item purchased in the transaction. Propose an efficient algorithm to mine global association rules. You may present your algorithm in the form of an outline. Your algorithm should not require shipping all the data to one site and should not cause excessive network communication overhead.

6.10 Suppose that frequent itemsets are saved for a large transactional database, DB. Discuss how to efficiently mine the (global) association rules under the same minimum support threshold, if a set of new transactions, denoted as ΔDB , is (incrementally) added in?

8.7 The following table consists of training data from an employee database. The data have been generalized. For example, "31 ... 35" for *age* represents the age range of 31 to 35. For a given row entry, *count* represents the number of data tuples having the values for *department*, *status*, *age*, and *salary* given in that row.

department	status	age	salary	count
sales	senior	3135	46K50K	30
sales	junior	2630	26K30K	40
sales	junior	3135	31K35K	40
systems	junior	2125	46K50K	20
systems	senior	3135	66K70K	5
systems	junior	2630	46K50K	3
systems	senior	4145	66K70K	3
marketing	senior	3640	46K50K	10
marketing	junior	3135	41K45K	4
secretary	senior	4650	36K40K	4
secretary	junior	2630	26K30K	6

Let *status* be the class label attribute.

- (a) How would you modify the basic decision tree algorithm to take into consideration the *count* of each generalized data tuple (i.e., of each row entry)?
- (b) Use your algorithm to construct a decision tree from the given data.
- (c) Given a data tuple having the values "systems," "26...30," and "46–50K" for the attributes department, age, and salary, respectively, what would a naïve Bayesian classification of the status for the tuple be?

9.4	Compare the advantages and disadvantages of <i>eager</i> classification (e.g., decision tree, Bayesian, neural network) versus <i>lazy</i> classification (e.g., <i>k</i> -nearest neighbor, case-based reasoning).

9.5	Write an algorithm for k -nearest-neighbor classification given k , the nearest number neighbors, and n , the number of attributes describing each tuple.

10.2 Suppose that the data mining task is to cluster points (with (x, y) representing location) into three clusters, where the points are

$$A_1(2,10), A_2(2,5), A_3(8,4), B_1(5,8), B_2(7,5), B_3(6,4), C_1(1,2), C_2(4,9).$$

The distance function is Euclidean distance. Suppose initially we assign A_1 , B_1 , and C_1 as the center of each cluster, respectively. Use the *k-means* algorithm to show *only*

- (a) The three cluster centers after the first round of execution.
- (b) The final three clusters.