

Get started

Open in app



# Matt Lim

Follow

46 Followers

About



## The three differences between require and import in Node.js



Matt Lim Aug 18, 2020 · 2 min read

These differences apply to the `import` statement, not the `import` expression (see [this page](#) for more info on the latter, which can be used to import modules dynamically).

This is part one of a four part series about JavaScript modules.



## 3. Using ES modules with CommonJS modules in the browser

### 3. Using ES modules with CommonJS modules in the browser

### 4. Using ES modules with CommonJS modules with webpack

Check out full code examples here:

[https://github.com/arcticmatt/javascript\\_modules/tree/master/import\\_vs\\_require](https://github.com/arcticmatt/javascript_modules/tree/master/import_vs_require).

. . .

1. When using `import ... from ...`, the module path must be a string literal. When using `require`, the module path can be dynamic.

For example, this works:

```
const name = "module2";
const obj = require(`./${name}`);
```

But this will result in the error `SyntaxError: Unexpected template string` when run with `node`.

```
const name = "module2";
import { func } from `./${name}`;
```



Why is this? See the next point.

. . .

**2. Order of execution differs.** `require` will be run inline, after the code above it has executed. `import` runs before the rest of the script.

Assuming `module2.js` has `console.log("require module2");` at the top, then if we run this code:

```
console.log("require module1");

const obj = require("./module2");
console.log(`module2 = ${obj.module2}`);
```

it results in the following:

```
require module1
require module2
module2 = require module2
```

With ES modules, on the other hand...



```
import module2 from "../module2.js";  
console.log(`module2 = ${module2}`);
```

Running this results in the following:

```
require module2  
require module1  
module2 = require module2
```

ES modules: A cartoon deep-dive goes into this subject in much more depth.

. . .

**3. You can leave out a `.js` extension when importing a local module with `require`, but cannot do the same when using `import`.**

This is true by default in the browser and Node.js. For example, `require("../module2")` works, but the equivalent using `import` must be written as `import module2 from "../module2.js"`. If you omit the extension in Node.js, you will get an error like: `Error`

```
[ERR_MODULE_NOT_FOUND]: Cannot find module ...
```

In Node.js, you can use the `--experimental-specifier-resolution=node` option to circumvent this behavior, i.e. this will

[Get started](#)[Open in app](#)

Furtnermore, webpack has an option that cnanges this denavior. Specifically, if `resolve.enforceExtension` is `true`, then extensions are required. This option is set to `false` by default, which explains why in many frameworks (like Next.js, which uses webpack behind the scenes) you can use `import` without specifying file extensions.

[JavaScript](#)[Modules](#)[Commonjs](#)[Import](#)[Nodejs](#)[About](#) [Help](#) [Legal](#)

Get the Medium app



Download on the  
**App Store**



GET IT ON  
**Google Play**