Problem Set 2

Siwei Tang, Yuanyue Qiao, Yuhan Zhang

Feb 7, 2020

1 Question 1

```
b)
Translation: \forall P \in \mathbb{N}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, Prime(p) \land p^k < n < p^k + p \implies gcd(p^k, n) = 1
Let p be prime numbers, let k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, let n \in \mathbb{Z}^+
Assume p^k < n < p^k + p
WTS: gcd(p^k, n) = 1
by definition of prime numbers, p \in \mathbb{Z}
Hence, p^k \in \mathbb{Z}
by assumption, p^k < n < p^k + p
\implies p * p^{k-1} < n < (p^{k-1} + 1) * p
Part a) states that: \forall x, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, xd < a < (x+1)d \Rightarrow d \nmid a
Choose x = p^{k-1}, d = p Since p and p^{k-1} are all integers
By result from part a) \implies p \nmid n
Fact 3 states that: \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, Prime(p) \Rightarrow (\forall k, d \in \mathbb{Z}^+, d \mid p^k \Rightarrow d = 1 \lor p \mid d)
by fact 3, all the number divides p^k must be 1 or multiple of p
By contrapositive, since p \nmid n and n \neq 1 \Rightarrow n \nmid p^k
Use contrapositive of fact 2: \forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a \nmid c \Rightarrow a \nmid b \lor b \nmid c
Let a = p, c = n, b = d which is multiple of p so p \mid d, since p \nmid n and p \mid d \Rightarrow d \nmid n
all the multiple of p cannot divides n, so all the factors of p^k cannot divides n
\Rightarrow The common divisor divides both n and p^k is 1
\implies gcd(p^k, n) = 1 \blacksquare
Translation: \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \forall n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, n > n_0 \land gcd(n, n+m) = 1
Fact 4 states that: \forall n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, n > n_0 \land Prime(n)
Fix n to be prime numbers and n > n_0
WTS: gcd(n, n+m) = 1
by Fact 4, for every integer m, we can find infinitely many prime number n such that n > m
\implies n \nmid m
\implies n \nmid n + m
Since n is a prime number, by definition of prime number, only 1 and n divides n, since n \nmid n + m
\implies the integer divides both n and n + m is 1
\implies gcd(n, n+m) = 1 \blacksquare
d)
definition of Prime Gaps: let a \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \exists p \in \mathbb{Z}^+, a is prime gap if Prime(p) \land Prime(p+a) \land (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (p < p))
n 
Let a \in \mathbb{Z}^+, Let a be Prime gap, Fix p to be a prime number.
Case 1: p = 2, WTS: a = 1
Fix p = 2 is prime, p + 1 = 3 is also prime, in such case, prime gap a = 3 - 2 = 1
Case 2: p \neq 2 WTS : 2 \mid a
Fact 1 states that: \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \nmid a \land 2 \nmid b \Rightarrow 2 \mid a - b
```

Since p and p +a is prime by definition of prime gap $\Rightarrow 2 \nmid p \land 2 \nmid (p+a)$ By Fact $1 \Rightarrow 2 \mid (p+a) - p$ $\Rightarrow 2 \mid a \blacksquare$

2 Question 2

a)

We want to prove the statement

$$nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor = nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor - n\lfloor x \rfloor + n\lfloor x \rfloor$$

$$= n(x - \lfloor x \rfloor) - (\lfloor nx \rfloor - n\lfloor x \rfloor)$$
by Fact 1, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \le x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \le n(x - \lfloor x \rfloor) < n$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \le nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor < 1$$
Since $0 \le nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor$

$$\Rightarrow \lfloor nx \rfloor - n\lfloor x \rfloor \le n(x - \lfloor * \rfloor x) < n$$

$$\Rightarrow \lfloor nx \rfloor - n\lfloor x \rfloor \le n$$
Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $k = n$

$$\Rightarrow \lfloor nx \rfloor - n\lfloor x \rfloor \le k \blacksquare$$

b)

We want to disprove it, so we want to prove its negation

The negation is $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor > k$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, choose n = 4k + 4, choose x = 0.5

$$\Rightarrow \lfloor x \rfloor = \lfloor 0.5 \rfloor = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lfloor nx \rfloor - n \lfloor x \rfloor = \lfloor (4k+4) * 0.5 \rfloor - n \lfloor 0.5 \rfloor$$
$$\Rightarrow |2k+2| - 0 = |2k+2|$$

by Fact 2, since 2 is integer, k is natural number, 2k is integer

$$\Rightarrow |2k+2| = 2k+|2| = 2k+2$$

Since k is natural number, 2k + 2 > k

 \Rightarrow The negation is proved

 \Rightarrow The statement is disproved

c)

Let
$$y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$
, Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, assume $n > y$

Choose
$$\epsilon = \sqrt{n^2 + y} - n$$

Since y is non-negative real number, $\Rightarrow n^2 < n^2 + y$

$$\Rightarrow n \le \sqrt{n^2 + y}$$
$$\Rightarrow \epsilon = \sqrt{n^2 + y} - n \ge 0$$

Since
$$n > y$$
, $n^2 + y < n^2 + n < n^2 + 2n + 1$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n^2 + y} < \sqrt{n^2 + 2n + 1} = n + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon = \sqrt{n^2 + y} - n < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \epsilon = \sqrt{n^2 + y} - n < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

Next we want to prove $y = (\epsilon + n)^2 - n^2$

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{n^2 + y} - n$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon + n = \sqrt{n^2 + y}$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon^2 + n^2 + 2n\epsilon = n^2 + y$$

$$\Rightarrow (\epsilon + n)^2 = n^2 + y$$

$$\Rightarrow y = (\epsilon + n)^2 - n^2$$

 \Rightarrow The statement is proved

d)

We want to prove the statement

The definition of f(x) is onto is $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \exists x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, f(x) = y$

Consider
$$n = \lceil y \rceil + 1 \Rightarrow n > y$$

use the statement from question c)

$$\forall f(x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, n > f(x) \Rightarrow (\exists \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, 0 \leq \epsilon < 1 \land f(x) = (n + \epsilon)^2 - n^2)$$

For every positive integer n, there exists ϵ , let $x = n + \epsilon$

by Fact 2, Since
$$0 \le \epsilon < 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \Rightarrow |x| = |n + \epsilon| = n + |\epsilon| = n$$

We can rewrite statement from c as

$$\forall f(x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, n > f(x) \Rightarrow (\exists \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, 0 \leq \epsilon < 1 \land f(x) = x^2 - (\lfloor x \rfloor)^2)$$

For every nonnegative real number y = f(x), there exist $x = n + \epsilon$

such that
$$f(x) = x^2 - (\lfloor x \rfloor)^2 = (n + \epsilon)^2 - n^2$$

 $\Rightarrow f(x)$ satisfies the definition of onto

 \Rightarrow We have proved that f(x) is onto

3 Question 3

1. a)
$$\forall f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, (Even(f) \land Odd(f)) \iff f(x) = 0$$

 $\equiv \forall f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, ((Even(f) \land Odd(f)) \Rightarrow f(x) = 0) \land (f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow (Even(f) \land Odd(f))$

•
$$Even(f) \wedge Odd(f) \Rightarrow f(x) = 0$$

Assume $Even(f) \wedge Odd(f)$, so $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, f(x) = f(-x) = -f(-x)$

Since the only real number that its positive equals its negative is 0, therefore f(x)=0

```
• f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow Even(f) \land Odd(f)
            Assume f(x) = 0:
            \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \forall x, f(-x) = 0 = -f(-x) = 0
            0 = f(x) = -f(x)
            f(x) = f(-x) \Rightarrow Even(f(x))
            Odd: f(x) = -f(-x)
            f(x) = 0 = -f(-x)
            f(x) = -f(-x) \Rightarrow Odd(f(x))
            Therefore, \forall f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, (Even(f) \land Odd(f)) \iff f(x) = 0 \blacksquare
2. b) \forall f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \exists f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, Even(f_1) \land Odd(f_2) \land f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x)
    Let f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x), let f_1(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2}, let f_2(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}
    \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, Even(f_1(x)):
    f_1(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2}
= \frac{f(-(-x)) + f(-(x))}{2}
    \Rightarrow Even(f_1(x))
    \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, Odd(f_2(x)):
    f_2(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}
= -\frac{f(-x) - f(x)}{2}
= -\frac{f(-x) - f(-(-x))}{2}
= -f_2(-x)
\Rightarrow Odd(f_2(x))
    f(x) = f_1 + f_2
f_1 + f_2 = \frac{f(x) + f(-x) + f(x) - f(-x)}{2}
= \frac{2f(x)}{2}
= f(x)
    Therefore the statement holds ■
3. c) \forall f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \exists f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, Even(f_1) \land Odd(f_2) \land f(x) = f_1(x) \times f_2(x)
     The negation is \exists f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \forall f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \neg Even(f_1) \lor \neg Odd(f_2) \lor f(x) \neq f_1(x) \lor f_2(x)
     We want to prove the negation is right
    Since there are three or statement, we only need to prove one of them is right,
     we want to prove that \exists f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, f(x) \neq f_1(x) \times f_2(x)
    Prove by contradiction:
    Let f(x) = f_1(x) \times f_2(x):
    let f_1(x) be even function, f_1(x)=f_1(-x) and f_2(x) be odd function, f_2(x)=-f_2(-x)
    f(-x) = f_1(-x) \times f_2(-x)
    = f_1(x) \times -f_2(x)
    = -(f_1(x) \times f_2(x))
    =-f(x)
     \Rightarrow the product of f_1(x) and f_2(x), f(x) can only be odd functions
    Choose f(x) = x^2
    f(-x) = x^2 = f(x) \Rightarrow f(x) is even function
```

- \Rightarrow f(x) cannot be product of an even and odd function since it is even function, not odd function
- ⇒ the negation is right
- \Rightarrow We have disproved the statement \blacksquare