Shell programming 2 of 6

Hover over the image to see the time range in the original video. Click to play that excerpt.

This is my second video about shell programming. In the first video, I talked about a number of things you need to know to do shell programming, but I covered fairly little of what you are used to having in a programming language. Based on your experience programming in more conventional programming languages, you will be expecting control constructs. Conditional constructs in sh are based on commands' exit statuses. It's a very solid and clean design. A command in unix succeeds or \$ cat students fails. For example, given this file 001 Fred Flintstone ("students"): If we do grep arn 002 Wilma Flintstone students it succeeds, but if we do 003 Betty Rubble grep ern students it fails. How do we 004 Barney Rubble tell? 005 Pebbles Flintstone Well, for interactive exploration we 006 Bam-Bam Rubble can use a special shell variable "\$?", 007 Fred Astaire \$ grep arn students which tells us the exit status of the 004 Barney Rubble last command. \$ grep ern students And we can use the echo command echo \$? to see the value of this variable. ("echo \$?" yields 1) \$ grep arn students Whereas grep arn students succeeds. 004 Barney Rubble ("echo \$?" vields 0) \$ echo \$? In unix or linux, zero is the success exit status, and anything nonzero indicates failure. Look at this shell script: if grep Fred students then echo end of list echo No students named Fred fi Here we have an 'if'. The 'if' keyword in sh is followed by a |command -- an arbitrarily-complex

command, using any of the features

```
$ cat s1
if grep Fred students
then
    echo end of list
else
    echo No students named Fred
fi
$ sh s1
001 Fred Flintstone
007 Fred Astaire
end of list
$
```

of sh

We run this shell script...
we can run it by passing it to the shell...

For one, we'll see why I said "echo end of list" above. That's because the command passed to 'if' *is* executed. If the command succeeds, we do the 'then' clause; if the command fails, we do the 'else' clause, if any.

```
$ cat s1
if grep Ford students
then
    echo end of list
else
    echo No students named Ford
fi
$ sh s1
No students named Ford
$
```

Let's watch it fail...

change "Fred" to "Ford", there, and there...

I run it... the *grep* will fail... and so we will do the 'else' clause.

So the syntax of the 'if' statement is: we have the 'if' keyword; then we have an arbitrary *sh* statement, as I said; the next command is 'then'; and then we have one or more statements for the 'then' clause; then optionally the 'else' keyword and one or more statements for the 'else' clause. Then we have the keyword to end the 'if' construct, which is 'fi' - 'if' spelled backwards. This is from a European programming languages tradition, reversing the keyword for the 'end' keyword... it kinda grows on you.

Remember that the 'if' command executes an arbitrary other

```
$ cat s1
if grep Ford students
then
    echo end of list
else
    echo No students named Ford
fi
$ sh s1
No students named Ford
$
```

command. This is why the 'then' has to be on the next line. If we were to put the 'then' up here... there's no way for the shell to tell that that's not supposed to be an argument to grep. It is entirely possible to run "grep Ford students then" as a command. So to make it uniquely parseable, we have to put the 'then' on the next line, and that's the syntax of 'if' in sh.

You might argue that we don't need a 'then' at all; that would be sensible, but that's just not the syntax in this particular programming language.

```
$ cat s1
if grep Ford students
then
    echo end of list
else
    echo No students named Ford
fi
$ sh s1
No students named Ford
$
```

Most normal modern programming languages are "free-format", meaning that any white space counts as the same. Spaces, tabs, newlines. Python is not like that though; in Python, newlines and indentation levels are significant syntactically. *sh* comes closer to being free-format than Python does, but of course we want "return" to separate commands interactively, so it also does in shell scripts. There's also a command separator which is the semi-colon.

Again, though, by looking at what's simplest in *sh*, we're still wondering how to do the things which are the simplest in normal programming languages. How do you write "if x is less than 3"?

There's a general testing command which exists for just this purpose. It's called "test".

test 2 -1t 3

This is a command, running *test*; it produces no output, but it succeeds, because 2 is less than 3 -- that's what that means, that's what that's testing. [types "echo \$?"] We can see that it

```
$ test 2 -1t 3
$ echo $?
0
$ test 3 -1t 2
$ echo $?
1
$ x=5
$ test $x -1t 3
$ echo $?
1
$ cat s2
x=5
if test $x -1t 3
then
        echo This is very surprising!
fi
$ sh s2
$
```

```
succeeded.
```

If we do "test 3 –lt 2", it fails. So this is useful in an 'if' statement. But in practice, of course, one of those arguments might be a variable: if we say "x=5", then we could run "test \$x –lt 3". The shell will substitute that variable x, to 5, and run "test 5 –lt 3". So that fails, because 5 is in fact not less than 3.

Here's how we would use it in a shell script:

```
x=5
if test $x -lt 3
then
    echo This is very surprising!
fi
```

Then we can run that shell script, and the output is not surprising. Remember that our use of '\$?' farther up above is just for exploration. In real life we would put the test command in an 'if'; we would't use \$? for this.

Something else I should mention at this point: You may see people writing things like "if [\$x -lt 3]". (And then you can write the 'then', and whatever.)

This looks cute, but the way that it's implemented is a hack.

Let's look at the test command; it's in /bin; so this is an "ls -l" of the test command;

and there's also "/bin/[" (slash bin slash left-square-bracket). That's a file, in /bin, and that's what we're actually running, above, where we said "if left-square-bracket". 'if' is always followed by a command. These ('test' and '[') are the same program, as we can tell by using the unix tool *cmp* to compare the files. No output means that they're identical.

So, you can write test or you can write left-square-bracket; but it's important to understand that what 'if' really does is, what follows 'if' is a command; the command is executed and has all of the effects it has from executing; its success or failure exit status determines whether we take the 'then' or the 'else' clause in the 'if'.

```
$ x=5
$ test $x -1t 3
$ echo $?
$ cat s2
x=5
if test $x -lt 3
    echo This is very surprising!
fi
$ sh s2
$ if [ $x -1t 3 ]
then
echo blah blah
fi
$ ls -1 /bin/test
-rwxr-xr-x 2 root wheel
                           18576 Nov 21 12:45 /bin/test
$ ls -1 /bin/[
-rwxr-xr-x 2 root wheel 18576 Nov 21 12:45 /bin/[
$ cmp /bin/test /bin/[
```

test numeric comparison operators

-1t: less than

-gt: greater than

-eq: equal to

-ne: not equal to

-le: less than or equal to

-ge: greater than or equal to

test has a number of numeric comparison operators: —It for less than, -gt for greater than, -eq for equal to, -ne for not equal to; possibly less-defensively, –le for less than or equal to, and -ge for greater than or equal to. When the test program was devised, pretty much all programmers knew the Fortran programming language, and these are the two-letter codes from the numeric comparison operators in the Fortran programming language. These days people mostly learn these two-letter codes when they learn about the *test* command. But that's ok.

test string comparison operators

.

e.g. "test 03 = 3" versus "test 03 -eq 3"

test also does string comparisons. The basic operators are "=" (equals) and "!=" (not equals). Now, everything in sh is a string, but this still makes a difference. If you compare something like, for example, "03" and "3", if you use the string comparison they're unequal, if you use the numeric comparison they're equal.

-f and a file name says that the file exists and is a plain file. So if the file does not exist by that name, or if it exists but is not a plain file, then this would fail.

-d space file: file exists and is a directory; and here's one that turns out to be useful from time to time:

-s: file exists and is a plain file and is of non-zero size.

And there are many other filetesting operators; and other things

such as boolean operators; all this is

in "man test".

test also has file-testing operators.

test file testing operators

-f file: file exists and is a plain file

-d file: file exists and is a directory

-s file: file exists and is a plain file and is of non-zero size

and many others

```
$ cat s3
i=0
while test $i -lt 10
do
    i=`expr $i + 1`
    echo $i
done
$ sh s3
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
$
```

Ok, so that's 'if'. And, the general idea of using a command's exit status as a boolean value.
We also use this command exit status concept for 'while'. The 'while' keyword is also followed by an arbitrary command. i=0 while test something

That can be any command; it doesn't have to be *test*. While i is less than 10, we increment i and output it. i=0

```
i=0
while test $i -lt 10
do
    i=`expr $i + 1`
    echo $i
done
```

Once we have these commands as booleans we might need the equivalent of boolean constants:
There is a command "true" which is just exit 0; and "false" which is just exit 1.

```
while test $i -1t 10
    i= expr $i + 1
    echo $i
done
$ sh s3
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
$ false
$ echo $?
```

```
$ cat s4
while read x y
    echo x is $x and y is $y
done
$ sh s4
hello world
x is hello and y is world
$ cat dl
hello world
thank you
once upon a time
oneword
$ sh s4 <d1
x is hello and y is world
x is thank and y is you
x is once and y is upon a time
x is oneword and y is
```

In the previous video we saw the command "read". read can be used in a 'while' because it fails on end-of-file.

```
while read x y
do
    echo x is $x and y is $y
done
```

"while read x y" will do that read command, and if it succeeds, we do the body of the loop; if we hit end-of-file, it fails, and the loop is done.

So I can type "hello world", return; x is hello and y is world.

I'm going to signal end-of-file from the terminal -- there is a special control character for that, control-D by default:

Like all processing until end-of-file, this makes more sense noninteractively:

Here's a data file; I can run s4 with that data file as input...

Here's a loop which keeps using the editor "ed" to remove the first line of a file so long as the file has a capital Q in it.

```
while grep Q file
do
(echo 1d; echo w) | ed - file
done
```

"while grep Q file", so as long as there is a Q in file, then we do this

```
$ cat s5
while grep Q file
do
     (echo ld; echo w) | ed - file
done
$ sh s5
This is a line with a 'Q'
This is a line with two 'Q's (not really)
This is a line with a 'Q'
This is a line with two 'Q's (not really)
This is a line with two 'Q's (not really)
This is a line with two 'Q's (not really)
This is a line with two 'Q's (not really)
This is a line with two 'Q's (not really)
$
```

compound statement, which formulates input to *ed* using two shell commands, echo 1d, which means delete the first line, echo w, which means write the file. We don't need a quit command becaue when *ed* gets end-of-file on the standard input, it will exit.

The extra argument to *ed*, the minus sign, tells it to suppress some of the interactive stuff that it would normally output, like prompts.

This script will work, but will produce some messy output. All of that is the output from the 'grep' command, being executed multiple times around the loop.

```
"s5" 4L, 71C written

"s5" 4L, 71C written

s cp oldfile file

s sh s5

cat s5

while grep Q file >/dev/null

do

(echo 1d; echo w) | ed - file

done

$
```

But we really wanted to use grep only for its exit status, not to *see* the lines with the Qs, but just to check whether there is a Q in the file. Let's modify this.

We could throw away the 'grep' output by redirecting it to some file name we don't care about.
But better yet, we will use the special file "/dev/null".
This is a device file with the

simplest possible driver: the driver just says "return 0". It does nothing. Therefore, the data is lost. Which is what we want here.

To run this, I'll restore the file from before we edited it and removed all the 'Q's...

Run this...

The unwanted output is discarded. That file again...

With all these conditions, we might want one more feature: the double vertical bar and the double ampersand operators, which combine boolean statuses just like in C or Java (or like the "or" and "and" keywords in Python). They also have the "short-circuit" behaviour where the right operand is only evaluated if necessary. Which in *sh* means a command which is only evaluated if necessary.

For example, we can write something like "if test \$x -gt 3 && test \$x -lt 10".
So, this is an 'if'... as I said the

if test \$x -gt 3 && test \$x -lt 10

command to an 'if' can be an arbitrary *sh* statement, as complex as we like... in this case it's a compound statement of two statements.

"test \$x -gt 3" is executed. If this fails, then the right command is not executed, and the exit status of the compound command is that exit status of the left command.

If, on the other hand, the left command succeeds, then the right command is also executed, and the exit status of the compound command is the exit status of the right command.

```
if fool
                                          if fool
then
                                          then
    bar1
                                              bar1
                                          elif foo2
else
    if foo2
                                          then
    then
                                              bar2
         bar2
                                          else
    else
                                              bar3
         bar3
                                          fi
    fi
fi
```

Something else about the 'if' statement. Suppose we have an if-then-else statement like this.

```
if foo1
then
bar1
else
if foo2
then
bar2
else
bar3
fi
```

This kind of cascading if-then-else is pretty common, but if we write it like this it's pretty messy. And if we had more conditions, it would be worse. Now, since *sh* is free-format we *could* write it like this:

```
if foo1
then
    bar1
else
if foo2
then
    bar2
else
    bar3
fi
fi
```

That helps a little to show the structure... The weirdest thing about this is that we will have an increasing number of 'fi's at the end all in a row as it gets more complicated. This also gets errorprone.

To deal with this there is a special combined else-if keyword, that looks like this. The difference is that this combined else-if keyword does not introduce an additional nesting level, so we have only one 'fi' on the end, and the one on the right is correctly indented.

if fool
then
:
elif foo2
then
bar2
else
bar3
fi

Suppose we didn't have anything to do in the 'then' case. We wouldn't usually write things like this, but every once in a while it's easier or clearer to write it this way than to negate the condition, so we end up with an empty 'then' clause. Written like this [with an empty] then' clause this is not syntactically valid in sh because then 'then' clause is *one or more* statements, not the usual "zero or more" statements. So for a situation like this we need the sh null statement, the statement which doesn't do anything. Which in sh is just a colon. This is similar to the "pass" statement in Python, or just a semicolon in C or Java.

do this

One final reminder to leave you with: Don't do this:

foo
if test \$? -eq 0
then
fi

Don't run a command, then use its exit status as '\$?' in *test* in an 'if'. This is what 'if' *does*! 'if' checks whether a command succeeds or fails.

So do this:

if foo then ... fi

Just supply the command directly to an 'if', if what you're trying to do is to check whether it succeeds or fails.

The third video in this series is about some other control constructs in *sh*. But it begins with a detailed discussion of quoting in *sh*.

Just s an 'if to che

if foo then

fi

something

3/23/2021	CSC 209 Shell programming 2 of 6 transcript
3/23/2021	CSC 209 Shell programming 2 of 6 transcript