

CSC236H Exercise 4

Sample Solutions

Winter 2016

1. Give a proof of correctness for the program below with respect to its given specification.

Hint: For any integers a, b such that $a + 1 < b$,

$$a < \lfloor \frac{a+b}{2} \rfloor < b.$$

Precondition: A is a list of integers, $0 \leq p < q \leq \text{len}(A)$.

Postcondition: Return the index of a minimum element in $A[p : q]$. That is, return a number i such that $p \leq i < q$ and $A[i]$ is the smallest integer of $A[p : q]$.

```
def IndexMin(A, p, q):
1.   if p + 1 == q:
2.       return p
3.   else:
4.       m = ⌊ (p+q)/2 ⌋
5.       j = IndexMin(A, p, m)
6.       k = IndexMin(A, m, q)
7.       if A[j] ≤ A[k]:
8.           return j
9.       else:
10.          return k
```

Solution: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the predicate $P(n)$ as follows.

$P(n)$: if A is a list of integers, $0 \leq p < q \leq \text{len}(A)$, and $n = q - p$, then $\text{IndexMin}(A, p, q)$ terminates and returns the index of a minimum element in $A[p : q]$.

Note: We define $q - p = \text{len}(A[p : q])$ as our input size.

By complete induction, we prove $P(n)$ holds for all integers $n > 0$.

Then correctness follows.

Base case: Let $n = 1$. That is, $A[p : q]$ contains just 1 element. Then p is the index of the only, and hence smallest, element in $A[p : q]$. By lines 1-2, $\text{IndexMin}(A, p, q)$ returns p as wanted.

Induction Step: Let $n > 1$, i.e., $A[p : q]$ contains more than one elements. Suppose $P(j)$ holds whenever $1 \leq j < n$. **[IH]**

WTP: $P(n)$ holds.

For $n = q - p > 1$, the condition on Line 1 is false.

So $\text{IndexMin}(A, p, q)$ runs Lines 4-10.

By line 4, $m = \lfloor \frac{p+q}{2} \rfloor$.

By Hint, $p < m < q$.

So $0 \leq p < m \leq \text{len}(A)$ and $1 \leq m - p < n$. (1)

Also $0 \leq m < q \leq \text{len}(A)$ and $1 \leq q - m < n$. (2)

By (1), IH and Line 5 and Line 6, j is the index of a smallest element in $A[p : m]$, and k is the index of a smallest element in $A[m : q]$.

Thus j is the index of a smallest element in $A[p : q]$ if $A[j] \leq A[k]$, and k is the index of a smallest element in $A[p : q]$ if $A[j] > A[k]$.

Therefore by Lines 8 and 10, the index of a smallest element in $A[p : q]$ is returned as wanted.

2. Consider the following program.

Precondition: A is nonempty list of integers.

Postcondition: The elements of A are rearranged in sorted (nondecreasing) order.

def $\text{Sort}(A)$:

1. $k = 0$
2. **while** $k < \text{len}(A) - 1$:
3. $j = \text{IndexMin}(A, k, \text{len}(A))$ # see question 1 for specification of IndexMin
4. $A[k], A[j] = A[j], A[k]$ # swap $A[k]$ and $A[j]$
5. $k = k + 1$

- (a) Give an appropriate loop invariant for the purpose of proving both partial correctness and termination for the above program with respect to its given specification. For this part a proof is not required.
- (b) Define an appropriate loop measure for the purpose of proving termination. For this part a proof is not required.
- (c) Assume your loop invariant from part (a) is correct and use it to prove partial correctness.

Solution:

- (a) Here's the loop invariant.

$LI(k)$:

i. $0 \leq k \leq \text{len}(A) - 1$.

ii. The elements of A are rearranged so that $A[0 : k + 1]$ is sorted in nondecreasing order.

iii. The elements of A are rearranged so that every elements of $A[0 : k]$ is less than or equal to every element of $A[k : \text{len}(A)]$.

- (b) $m = \text{len}(A) - k$. [$\text{len}(A) - 1 - k$ also works.]

- (c) Suppose the loop terminates, and consider the values of A, k on exit.

By LI(i), $k \leq \text{len}(A) - 1$.

By the exit condition, $k \geq \text{len}(A) - 1$.

Hence,

$$k = \text{len}(A) - 1. \quad (\star)$$

By LI(ii), the elements of A are rearranged so that $A[0 : k + 1]$ are sorted. By (\star) , $A[0 : k + 1]$ is just all of A . Thus the elements of A are rearranged so the A is sorted as wanted.