

CSC236H Exercise 2

Sample Solutions

Winter 2016

1. Let F be a set defined as follows:

- any tree consisting of a single node is an element of F ;
- if $t_1, t_2 \in F$, so is a binary tree consisting of a new root with t_1 and t_2 as subtrees;
- nothing else belongs to F .

Use structural induction to prove that every $t \in F$ has exactly one more leaf than interior nodes.

Solution: $P(t)$: the tree t has exactly one more leaf than interior nodes.

The goal is to prove for all $t \in F$, $P(t)$.

Base Case: Let t be a single node.

Then t has one leaf and no interior node. Therefore, t has exactly one more leaf than interior nodes, and so $P(t)$.

Induction Step: Let $t_1, t_2 \in F$. By definition, F includes a binary tree t consisting of a root with t_1 and t_2 as subtrees.

Suppose $P(t_1)$ and $P(t_2)$, i.e., both t_1 and t_2 have exactly one more leaf than interior nodes. [IH]

WTP: $P(t)$, i.e., t has exactly one more leaf than interior nodes.

Let l_1 denote the number of leaves in t_1 , l_2 denote the number of leaves in t_2 , and l denote the number of leaves in t .

Let i_1 denote the number of interior nodes in t_1 , i_2 denote the number of interior nodes in t_2 , and i denote the number of interior nodes in t .

Then $l = l_1 + l_2$ and $i = i_1 + i_2 + 1$.

By IH, $l_1 = i_1 + 1$ and $l_2 = i_2 + 1$.

Then, $l = i_1 + 1 + i_2 + 1 = i_1 + i_2 + 2$.

Therefore $l = i + 1$, and so $P(t)$ holds.

2. Let G be a set defined as follows:

- if x is a propositional variable, then $x \in G$;
- if $f_1, f_2 \in G$, then $\neg f_1 \in G$, $(f_1 \vee f_2) \in G$, and $(f_1 \wedge f_2) \in G$;
- nothing else belongs to G .

Use structural induction to prove that for every $f \in G$, there exists $f' \in G$ such that f and f' are logically equivalent, and f' does not contain the \wedge symbol.

(Recall that propositional formulas f_1 and f_2 are *logically equivalent* if f_1 and f_2 evaluate to the same value, no matter how their variables are set.)

Solution: $P(f)$: There exists $f' \in G$ such that f' and f are logically equivalent, and f' does not contain the \wedge symbol.

We use structural induction (on the set G) to prove that for all $f \in G$, $P(f)$ holds.

Base Case: Let $f = x$, where x is a propositional variable.

Let $f' = x$. Then f' is in G , f' does not contain the \wedge symbol, and f' and f are logically equivalent. Therefore $P(f)$ holds.

Induction Step: Assume $f_1, f_2 \in G$. By definition, $\neg f_1 \in G$, $(f_1 \vee f_2) \in G$, and $(f_1 \wedge f_2) \in G$.

Suppose $P(f_1)$ and $P(f_2)$, i.e., there exist $f'_1, f'_2 \in G$ such that f'_1 is logically equivalent to f_1 , and f'_2 is logically equivalent to f_2 , and f'_1 and f'_2 do not contain the \wedge symbol. **[IH]**

WTP: (A) $P(\neg f_1)$, (B) $P((f_1 \vee f_2))$, (C) $P((f_1 \wedge f_2))$.

Case (A): For $f = \neg f_1$, let $f' = \neg f'_1$.

By IH, $f'_1 \in G$, and therefore $f' \in G$.

By IH, f'_1 does not contain the \wedge symbol and therefore neither does f' .

By IH, f_1 is logically equivalent to f'_1 , and therefore f is logically equivalent to f' .

Therefore $P(f)$.

Case (B): For $f = (f_1 \vee f_2)$, let $f' = (f'_1 \vee f'_2)$.

By IH, $f'_1, f'_2 \in G$, and therefore $f' \in G$.

By IH, f'_1 and f'_2 do not contain the \wedge symbol, and therefore neither does f' .

By IH, f_1 and f_2 are logically equivalent to f'_1 and f'_2 respectively. Therefore, f is logically equivalent to f' .

Therefore $P(f)$.

Case (C): For $f = (f_1 \wedge f_2)$, let $f' = \neg(\neg f'_1 \vee \neg f'_2)$.

Since f'_1, f'_2 are in G , then so are $\neg f'_1$ and $\neg f'_2$, as well as $(\neg f'_1 \vee \neg f'_2)$, and $\neg(\neg f'_1 \vee \neg f'_2)$. Thus $f' \in G$.

Also, f' and f are logically equivalent.

By IH, f'_1 and f'_2 do not contain the \wedge symbol.

Then f' does not contain the \wedge symbol.

Therefore $P(f)$.