**Problem Statement:**

To evaluate the Iteration Plan provided by development team. Identify any issues that is seen with the Iteration Plan and provide a potential solution. Include any other feedback (both positive and negative) that feel necessary. Evaluation should include at least three pieces of feedback.  
The iteration plan at this [link](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1JxEBMEmOwdPudHKdA8W2uEg2y_jus9QftL18bk0ebzw/edit" \l "gid=0" \t "_blank).

**Solution:**

**Positive:**

1. From the given previous sprint, the team has done the breakdown of task in a much better way. Like acceptance criterion is written before the acceptance test.
2. The tasks are manageable and measurable
3. All the user stories have acceptance test and unit test
4. All the user stories have some sort of documentation i.e., user manual or internal documentation.

**Negative:**

1. There are few task which are not assigned to anyone given the fact that the development team have enough bandwidth to take up task. As a Product Manager, need to understand the blockers or the reason behind their resistance to assign tasks amongst themselves.
2. Kat wanted to do pair programming with Josh as per the last sprint review meeting. There should be user stories where both Kat and Josh will work together.
3. The ratio of available hour is to assigned hours of development teams has a great variation. The ratio is 21:11. This means that the development team can take more tasks.
4. The unit test should be written before the source code is written
5. There are few story points where the hour estimated is long. For example, a 10 hour long stories taken by Josh and Kat can be broken down into smaller tasks. This is because there's a risk of not able to meet the definition of "done" within a sprint. In case a task takes some extra time, then it will not be completed within one sprint.