

1. Topological action for a skyrmion configuration

Consider the skyrmion configuration $\hat{n}_W: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^2$,

$$(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow \phi = W \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right), \quad \theta = 2 \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}},$$

where

$$\hat{n}(\theta, \phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \sin \theta \\ \sin \varphi \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Calculate

$$S_{\text{top}}[\hat{n}] = i\theta W, \quad W = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int dx_1 dx_2 \hat{n} (\partial_1 \hat{n} \times \partial_2 \hat{n})$$

explicitly for (1).

Hint: Parametrize the plane (x_1, x_2) in terms of polar coordinates (r, φ) and avail yourself of the fact that topological actions are invariant of the metric.

In these coordinates,

$$\phi = W\varphi, \quad \theta = 2\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)$$

$$\sin \theta = \frac{2\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)}{1 + \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^2} = \frac{2ar}{a^2 + r^2}$$

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^2}{1 + \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^2} = \frac{r^2 - a^2}{r^2 + a^2}$$

Express \hat{n} in terms of r & φ

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 \hat{n} \times \partial_2 \hat{n} &= (\partial_1 r \partial_r \hat{n} + \partial_1 \varphi \partial_\varphi \hat{n}) \times (\partial_2 r \partial_r \hat{n} + \partial_2 \varphi \partial_\varphi \hat{n}) \\ &= (\partial_1 \varphi \partial_2 r) \partial_\varphi \hat{n} \times \partial_r \hat{n} + (\partial_1 r \partial_2 \varphi) \partial_r \hat{n} \times \partial_\varphi \hat{n} \\ &= \partial_r \hat{n} \times \partial_\varphi \hat{n} [\partial_1 r \partial_2 \varphi - \partial_1 \varphi \partial_2 r] \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_1 r^2 = 2r \partial_1 r = 2r$$

$$\partial_1 r = \frac{x}{r} = \omega \varphi$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \partial_2 r = \frac{y}{r} = \sin \varphi$$

$$\partial_1 \tan \varphi = \sec^2 \varphi \quad \partial_1 \varphi = -\frac{y}{x^2} = -\frac{r \sin \varphi}{r^2 \cos^2 \varphi}$$

$$\partial_1 \varphi = -\frac{\sin \varphi}{r}$$

$$\sec^2 \varphi \partial_2 \varphi = \frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{r \cos \varphi}$$

$$\partial_2 \varphi = \frac{\cos \varphi}{r}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 \hat{n} \times \partial_2 \hat{n} &= \partial_r \hat{n} \times \partial_\varphi \hat{n} [\partial_1 r \partial_2 \varphi - \partial_1 \varphi \partial_2 r] \\ &= \partial_r \hat{n} \times \partial_\varphi \hat{n} [\omega \varphi \frac{\cos \varphi}{r} - (-\frac{\sin \varphi}{r}) \sin \varphi] \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \partial_r \hat{n} \times \partial_\varphi \hat{n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{n}(\theta(r), \varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} (\cos(\omega \varphi) \sin \theta) \\ \sin(\omega \varphi) \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\partial_r \hat{n} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\omega \varphi) \cos \theta \\ \sin(\omega \varphi) \cos \theta \\ -\sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \theta'(r)$$

$$\partial_\varphi \hat{n} = \omega \begin{pmatrix} -\sin(\omega \varphi) \sin \theta \\ \cos(\omega \varphi) \sin \theta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{n} \cdot (\partial_r \hat{n} \times \partial_\varphi \hat{n}) = \theta'(r) \omega \sin \theta$$

$$\int \hat{n} \cdot (\partial_1 \hat{n} \times \partial_2 \hat{n}) dx_1 dx_2 = \int \frac{1}{r} \theta'(r) \omega \sin \theta (r dr d\varphi)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} W \theta'(r) \sin\theta \, d\theta \, dr$$

$$= 2\pi W \int_0^\infty \theta'(r) \sin\theta \, dr$$

$$\theta = 2\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) \quad \sin\theta = \frac{2\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)}{1 + \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^2} = \frac{2ar}{a^2 + r^2}$$

$$\theta'(r) = \frac{2}{1 + \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^2} \left(-\frac{a}{r}\right) = \frac{-2a}{a^2 + r^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\partial_1 \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \partial_2 \hat{\mathbf{n}}) dx_1 dx_2 &= 2\pi W \int_0^\infty \frac{-2a}{a^2 + r^2} \frac{2ar}{a^2 + r^2} dr \\ &= -8\pi a^2 W \int_0^\infty \frac{r}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} dr \\ &= -8\pi a^2 W \frac{1}{2r^2} \\ &= -4\pi W \end{aligned}$$

Hence $-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\partial_1 \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \partial_2 \hat{\mathbf{n}}) dx_1 dx_2 = W$

2. Classical equation of motion for the O(3) model

Consider the O(3) or non-linear sigma model

$$S_0[\hat{\mathbf{n}}] = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2x (\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{n}}) (\partial^\mu \hat{\mathbf{n}}), \quad |\hat{\mathbf{n}}| = 1, \quad (4)$$

with the Euclidean metric $g^{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$. In the lectures, we derived the inequality

$$S_0[\hat{\mathbf{n}}] \geq W \quad (5)$$

where W is the "winding number" as given in (3) above. The equal sign in (5) holds if and only if

$$\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{n}} - \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \partial^\nu \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where $\varepsilon_{12} = -\varepsilon_{21} = 1$. We found that if we parametrize the target space (*i.e.*, the field vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$) via a stereographic projection by a complex number w such that

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}(w) = \frac{1}{w\bar{w} + 1} \begin{pmatrix} w + \bar{w} \\ -i(w - \bar{w}) \\ w\bar{w} - 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

(\bar{w} is just the complex conjugate of w) and our base space (x_1, x_2) by another complex number $z = x_1 + ix_2$, the most general solution of (6) for $W > 0$ is given by

$$w = \prod_{i=1}^W \frac{a_i z + b_i}{c_i z + d_i}, \quad \text{with } a_i d_i - b_i c_i = 1 \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, W\}. \quad (8)$$

(a) Find the solution of the classical equation of motion (6) of (5) for $W = 0$.

(b) For which values of W (and a) are the skyrmion configurations in (1) solutions of (6)?

a) $W=0$ means that solution is homotopic to constant solution

We see that the constant vector is a solution.

b) By comparison between the n vectors,

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi \sin\theta \\ \sin\phi \sin\theta \\ \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{w\bar{w} + 1} \begin{pmatrix} v + \bar{w} \\ -(w - \bar{w}) \\ w\bar{w} - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w = \omega + \frac{\alpha}{2} e^{i\phi} \quad (\text{stereographic projection})$$

Substituting, we get $w = \frac{1}{a} e^{iw\phi}$

$$z = re^{i\phi}$$

$$W = \frac{1}{a} r^W r^{-(w-1)} e^{iw\phi}$$

$$= \frac{1}{a} r^{1-W} z^W$$



This is w



That's W

Hence w is holomorphic iff $W=1$
(contribution of $r=\sqrt{2\bar{z}'}$)

If $W=-1$, w is antiholomorphic which is also a solution (see next part)

(c) What is the general solution of (6) for $W < 0$?

Original argument

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{n} \times \partial_\nu \mathbf{n}) \cdot (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{n} \times \partial_\nu \mathbf{n}) \\ = \int d^2x (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_\mu \mathbf{n} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \times \partial_\nu \mathbf{n})).$$

Modify to

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x (\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{n}} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \partial_\nu \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \cdot (\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{n}} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \partial_\nu \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \\ = \int d^2x [\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{n}} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\partial_\nu \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{n}})]$$

Hence equation flips sign

$$\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{n}} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \partial_\nu \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$$

We had the complex equation,

$$\bar{\partial} \hat{\mathbf{n}} - i \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \bar{\partial} \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$$

$$\bar{\partial} \hat{\mathbf{n}} + i \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \bar{\partial} \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$$

Now we just flip the signs to get

$$\bar{\partial} \hat{\mathbf{n}} + i \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \bar{\partial} \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$$

$$\bar{\partial} \hat{\mathbf{n}} - i \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \bar{\partial} \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$$

Claim: This is satisfied by antiholomorphic functions

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{w\bar{w} + 1} \begin{pmatrix} w + \bar{w} \\ -i(w - \bar{w}) \\ w\bar{w} - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

```
In[1]:= n[x1_, x2_] := 1 / (x1 x2 + 1) {x1 + x2, -I (x1 - x2), x1 x2 - 1}
```

This is to confirm a correct implementation of n . I verify that the com with positive W is actually solved by holomorphic functions $w(z)$, $\bar{w}(z)$

```
In[3]:= D[n[w[z], wbar[zbar]], z] - I n[w[z], wbar[zbar]] x D[n[w[z], wbar[zbar]], z] // Simplify
Out[3]= {0, 0, 0}
```

```
In[4]:= D[n[w[z], wbar[zbar]], zbar] + I n[w[z], wbar[zbar]] x D[n[w[z], wbar[zbar]], zbar] // Simplify
Out[4]= {0, 0, 0}
```

Now I show that the claim is actually true

```
In[5]:= D[n[w[zbar], wbar[z]], z] + I n[w[zbar], wbar[z]] x D[n[w[zbar], wbar[z]], z] // Simplify
Out[5]= {0, 0, 0}
```

```
In[6]:= D[n[w[zbar], wbar[z]], zbar] - I n[w[zbar], wbar[z]] x D[n[w[zbar], wbar[z]], zbar] // Simplify
Out[6]= {0, 0, 0}
```

General solution!

$$w = \frac{|W|}{T} \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{a_i \bar{z} + b_i}{c_i \bar{z} + d_i}$$