

Notes in  
**Field Theory**  
By  
Jun Wei Tan

Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg

[jun-wei.tan@stud-mail.uni-wuerzburg.de](mailto:jun-wei.tan@stud-mail.uni-wuerzburg.de)

---

# Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Mean Field Theory & Phase Transitions . . . . .	3
1.2	Linear Responses . . . . .	3
1.3	Superconductivity . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>The Path Integral</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Phase Space Path Integrals . . . . .	5
2.2	The Grassman Algebra . . . . .	5
2.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	5
2.2.2	Wick's Theorem . . . . .	6
2.2.3	Fermionic Coherent States . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>The Functional Renormalisation Group</b>	<b>8</b>

## Introduction

### 1.1 Mean Field Theory & Phase Transitions

We characterise phases by order parameters. An order parameter is a quantity that vanishes throughout a disordered phase, but spontaneously breaks a symmetry to take a finite value in an ordered phase.

### 1.2 Linear Responses

We consider a Hamiltonian  $H_0$ . Then, we introduce a perturbation  $f(t)O_1$  such that

$$H(t) = H_0 + f(t)O_1.$$

We seek to compute the perturbation. We do so by expanding the time ordered exponential

$$\begin{aligned} T(e^{-i\sum_j \Delta t_j (H_0 + f(t_j)O_1)}) &= \prod_j e^{-i\Delta t_j (H_0 + f(t_j)O_1)} \\ &= \prod_j e^{-i\Delta t_j H_0} (1 - i\Delta t_j f(t_j)O_1) \\ &= e^{-i\sum_j \Delta t_j H_0} - i \sum_j \Delta t_j \left( \prod_{k \geq j} e^{-i\Delta t_k H_0} \right) f(t_j)O_1 \left( \prod_{k < j} e^{-i\Delta t_k H_0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By converting this back into an integral, we see that

$$|\psi_n(t)\rangle = \left( e^{-i\int_{-\infty}^t dt' H_0} - i \int_{-\infty}^t dt' f(t') e^{-i\int_{t'}^t dt'' H_0} O_1 e^{-i\int_{-\infty}^{t'} dt'' H_0} \right) |\psi_n\rangle.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta |\psi_n(t)\rangle &= -i \int_{t-\infty}^t dt' f(t') e^{-iH_0(t-t')} O_1 e^{-iH_0(t'-t-\infty)} |\psi_n\rangle \\ &= -i \int_{t-\infty}^t dt' f(t') e^{-iH_0(t-t-\infty)} O_1(t') |\psi_n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we define the operator  $O_1$  in the interaction picture to be

$$O_1(t) = e^{iH_0(t-t-\infty)} O_1 e^{-iH_0(t-t-\infty)}.$$

Then, we consider an observable  $O_2$  and define the change in  $O_2$  to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \langle \psi_n(t) | O_2 | \psi_n(t) \rangle &:= \langle \psi_n(t) | O_2 | \psi_n(t) \rangle - \langle \psi_n | e^{iH_0(t-t-\infty)} O_2 e^{-iH_0(t-t-\infty)} | \psi_n \rangle \\
&= \langle \delta \psi_n(t) | O_2 e^{-iH_0(t-t-\infty)} | \psi_n \rangle + \langle \psi_n | e^{iH_0(t-t-\infty)} O_2 | \delta \psi_n(t) \rangle \\
&= -i \int_{-\infty}^t dt' f(t') \langle \psi_n | [O_2(t), O_1(t')] | \psi_n \rangle + O(f^2) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' D(t, t') f(t')
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D(t, t') = -i\theta(t - t') \langle \psi_n | [O_2(t), O_1(t')] | \psi_n \rangle.$$

Because  $H_0$  is not time dependent, we have **Comment: figure out how this works**

$$[O_2(t), O_1(t')] = e^{iH(t-t-\infty)} O_2 e^{iH(t-t')} O_1 e^{-iH(t'-t-\infty)} - e^{iH(t'-t-\infty)} O_1 e^{iH(t-t')} O_2 e^{-iH(t-t-\infty)}$$

## 1.3 Superconductivity

We consider an operator creating a pair of electrons with 0 total momentum above the Fermi sea:

$$\Lambda^\dagger = \int d^3x d^3x' \phi(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \psi_\downarrow^\dagger(\vec{x}) \psi_\uparrow^\dagger(\vec{x}').$$

By performing a Fourier transform, we get the momentum space representation

$$\Lambda^\dagger = \sum_{\vec{k}} \phi_{\vec{k}} c_{\vec{k}\downarrow}^\dagger c_{-\vec{k}\uparrow}^\dagger.$$

The structure of  $\Phi$  represents the type of superconductor; for example, when  $\phi(\vec{k}) = \phi(k)$ , this is known as an s-wave superconductor.

## The Path Integral

### 2.1 Phase Space Path Integrals

### 2.2 The Grassman Algebra

#### 2.2.1 Introduction

Because every operator can be written in the formalism of second quantisation as a product of creation and annihilation operators, coherent states turn these operators into scalars, which are then easier to deal with. We define a fermionic coherent state by the usual equation

$$a_k |\phi\rangle = \phi_k |\phi\rangle.$$

Because annihilation operators for different  $k$  anticommute rather than commute, we must have

$$\phi_i \phi_j = -\phi_j \phi_i.$$

Thus, the  $\phi_i$ s cannot be part of a field, because they must anticommute rather than commute! We define the Grassman algebra to be generated by  $n$  generators  $\xi_i$ , with the basis coming from all products  $\xi_i \xi_j$  etc. We will assume that there is an even number of generators, and to each generator  $\xi_i$  we assign an inversion  $(\xi_i)^* = \xi_j$  such that the inversion satisfies  $(\xi^*)^* = \xi$  and  $(\xi_i \xi_j)^* = \xi_j^* \xi_i^*$ .

Because of the anticommutativity, we have  $\xi^2 = -\xi^2 = 0$  for all Grassman numbers  $\xi$ . Explicitly, we can construct the Grassman algebra as the exterior algebra on some differential forms. Thus, all analytic functions can be expressed in terms of their Taylor series

$$f(\xi) = f_0 + f_1 \xi.$$

All operators are then bilinear:

$$A(\xi^*, \xi) = a_0 + a_1 \xi + a_2 \xi^* + a_{12} \xi^* \xi.$$

We define the derivatives to be equal to the integral

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} f(\xi) = f_1 = \int d\xi f(\xi).$$

Notably, we work in spirit analogously to the Wirtinger derivatives, and let  $\xi$  and  $\xi^*$  be independent. For reasons of anticommutativity, we require that the derivative be next to  $\xi$  in order to act on it, for example

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (\xi^* \xi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (-\xi \xi^*) = -\xi^*.$$

Next, we seek to deal with Gaussian integrals. We will see how they pop up later; for now, it suffices to say that the partition function is the integral of an exponential. After substituting in the fermionic coherent states, we will get something that looks like a Gaussian integral. The desired result is

#### Gaussian Integrals

$$\int \pi_\alpha d\xi_\alpha^* d\xi_\alpha \exp \left[ - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \xi_\alpha^* M_{\alpha,\beta} \xi_\alpha + \sum_\alpha (J_\alpha^* \xi_\alpha + \xi_\alpha^* J_\alpha) \right] = \det(M) \exp \left( \sum_{\alpha,\beta} J_\alpha^* (M^{-1})_{\alpha,\beta} J_\beta \right)$$

where the  $J$ s are Grassman variables and  $M$  is Hermitian.

We show this by diagonalising  $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{ii} = U M U^\dagger$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} -\xi^\dagger M \xi + J^\dagger \xi + \xi^\dagger J &= -\xi^\dagger U^\dagger \lambda U \xi + J^\dagger U^\dagger U \xi + \xi^\dagger U^\dagger U J \\ &= \sum_\alpha (-\lambda_\alpha \eta_\alpha^* \eta_\alpha + \tilde{J}_\alpha^\dagger + \eta_\alpha + \eta_\alpha^* \tilde{J}_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and hence the integral simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \prod_\alpha d\eta_\alpha^\dagger d\eta_\alpha \exp \left[ \sum_\alpha -\lambda_\alpha \eta_\alpha^\dagger \eta_\alpha + \tilde{J}_\alpha^* \eta_\alpha + \eta_\alpha^* \tilde{J}_\alpha \right] \\ &= \prod_\alpha \int d\eta_\alpha^\dagger d\eta_\alpha \exp [-\lambda_\alpha \eta_\alpha^\dagger \eta_\alpha] \exp [J_\alpha^* \eta_\alpha + \eta_\alpha^* J_\alpha] \\ &= \det(M) \exp (J^\dagger M^{-1} J) \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2.2 Wick's Theorem

Now, we are in a good position to prove Wick's theorem, the statement of which is

#### Wick's Theorem

$$\frac{\int \prod_\alpha d\psi_\alpha^* d\psi_\alpha e^{-\sum_{i,j} \psi_i^* M_{ij} \psi_j + \sum_i (J_i^* \psi_i + \psi_i^* J_i)}}{\int \prod_\alpha d\psi_\alpha^* d\psi_\alpha e^{-\sum_{i,j} \psi_i^* M_{ij} \psi_j}} = \sum_P \zeta^P M_{i_{P_n}, j_n}^{-1} \cdots M_{i_{P_1}, j_1}^{-1}.$$

To do so, we consider the generating function

$$G(J^*, J) = \frac{\int \prod_\alpha d\psi_\alpha^* d\psi_\alpha e^{-\sum_{i,j} \psi_i^* M_{ij} \psi_j + \sum_i (J_i^* \psi_i + \psi_i^* J_i)}}{\int \prod_\alpha d\psi_\alpha^* d\psi_\alpha e^{-\sum_{i,j} \psi_i^* M_{ij} \psi_j}} = e^{\sum_{i,j} J_i^* (M^{-1})_{ij} J_j}$$

(note that the action of dividing is to take away the  $\det M$ ). We differentiate the first line Comment: TODO

## 2.2.3 Fermionic Coherent States

Now, we move on to construct fermionic coherent states. We define that the Grassman numbers anticommute with the annihilation operators, and define

$$|\xi\rangle = e^{-\sum_\alpha \xi_\alpha a_\alpha^\dagger} |0\rangle = \prod_\alpha (1 - \xi_\alpha a_\alpha^\dagger) |0\rangle.$$

To show that this is a coherent state, we simply act on this with  $a_\beta$ :

$$a_\beta |\xi\rangle = a_\beta \prod_\alpha (1 - \xi_\alpha a_\alpha^\dagger) |0\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} (1 - \xi_\alpha a_\alpha^\dagger) a_\beta (1 - \xi_\beta a_\beta^\dagger) |0\rangle \\
&= \prod_{\alpha \neq \beta} (1 - \xi_\alpha a_\alpha^\dagger) \xi_\beta (1 - \xi_\beta a_\beta^\dagger) |0\rangle \\
&= \xi_\beta \prod_{\alpha} (1 - \xi_\alpha a_\alpha^\dagger) |0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Note that here we used the commutativity where  $\alpha \neq \beta$  as well as the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_\beta (1 - \xi_\beta a_\beta^\dagger) |0\rangle &= -\alpha_\beta \xi_\beta a_\beta^\dagger |0\rangle \\
&= \xi_\beta a_\beta a_\beta^\dagger |0\rangle \\
&= \xi_\beta |0\rangle \\
&= \xi_\beta (1 - \xi_\beta a_\beta^\dagger) |0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we can easily show the expressions for the scalar product

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \xi | \xi' \rangle &= \langle 0 | \prod_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + \xi_\alpha a_\alpha) (1 - \xi'_\beta a_\beta^\dagger) | 0 \rangle \\
&= \prod_{\alpha} (1 + \xi_\alpha^* \xi'_\alpha) \\
&= e^{\sum_{\alpha} \xi_\alpha^* \xi'_\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that we can produce a partition of unity using

$$1 = \int \prod_{\alpha} d\xi_\alpha^* d\xi_\alpha e^{-\sum_{\alpha} \xi_\alpha^* \xi_\alpha} |\xi\rangle \langle \xi|.$$

## CHAPTER THREE

---

### The Functional Renormalisation Group

---