

- Vectors in underline, matrices in capital. Assume all matrices have real entries unless stated otherwise.
- For a vector \underline{x} , we denote by x_i the i^{th} entry of \underline{x} .
- The inequality $A \geq 0$ means that the matrix A is positive semi definite. The inequality $\underline{x} \geq 0$ means that all the entries of the vector \underline{x} are non negative.

1. You are given a vector \underline{y} and a matrix A . Consider the following four optimization problems:

$$\min_{\underline{x}} \quad \|A\underline{x} - \underline{y}\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\underline{x}\|_2^2 \quad (1) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \min_{\underline{x}} & \|\underline{x}\|_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \|A^\top (\underline{y} - A\underline{x})\|_2 \leq \alpha. \end{array} \quad (2)$$

$$\min_{\underline{x}} \quad \|A\underline{x} - \underline{y}\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\underline{x}\|_1 \quad (3) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \min_{\underline{x}} & \|\underline{x}\|_1 \\ \text{s.t.} & \|A^\top (\underline{y} - A\underline{x})\|_\infty \leq \alpha. \end{array} \quad (4)$$

Here $\alpha > 0$ is fixed.

- (2 pts) Which of the above optimization problems are convex ?
- (1 pts) Find the gradient of the objective in (1).
- (1 pts) Find an expression for \underline{x}_1^* , the optimum point of (1).
- (2 pts) Assume that α is *large enough*: in particular assume that

$$\|(A^\top A + \alpha I)^{-1} A^\top \underline{y}\|_2 \leq 1.$$

If \underline{x}_2^* is an optimum point of (2), show that $\|\underline{x}_1^*\|_2 \geq \|\underline{x}_2^*\|_2$.

- (3 pts) Reformulate (4) as an LP and (3) as a QP.

2. (4 pts) Given 2×2 matrices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k and 2×1 vectors $\underline{b}_1, \underline{b}_2, \dots, \underline{b}_k$, you wish to find \underline{x} such that $A_i \underline{x} \approx \underline{b}_i$. You formulate three possible objectives

$$f_2(\underline{x}) = \sum_i \|A_i \underline{x} - \underline{b}_i\|_2, \quad f_1(\underline{x}) = \sum_i \|A_i \underline{x} - \underline{b}_i\|_1, \quad \text{and} \quad f_\infty(\underline{x}) = \sum_i \|A_i \underline{x} - \underline{b}_i\|_\infty.$$

Formulate the minimization of each of these functions as an LP, QP, QCQP or SOCP.

3. (4 pts) Given vector $\underline{\mu}$ and symmetric matrix V , consider the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{\underline{x}} & \frac{\underline{\mu}^\top \underline{x}}{\|V \underline{x}\|_2} \\ \text{s.t.} & \|\underline{x}\|_1 \leq L, \quad \underline{1}^\top \underline{x} = 1, \quad \underline{\mu}^\top \underline{x} \geq 0. \end{array} \quad (5)$$

- Prove that the objective is quasi-concave.
 - Make the change of variable $\underline{z} = \underline{x} / \underline{\mu}^\top \underline{x}$ (what is the value of $\underline{z} / \underline{1}^\top \underline{z}$?) to reduce the above to a convex optimization problem.
4. (10 pts) For the parts (a)-(c) below, assume the functions have domain \mathbb{S}_{++}^n .
- For a fixed vector \underline{a} , show that the function $f(X) = \underline{a}^\top X^{-1} \underline{a}$ (with $\text{dom } f = \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$) is convex.
 - Show that the diagonal entries of X^{-1} are convex functions of X .
 - Show that $\text{trace}(X^{-1})$ is a convex function of X .

(d) Consider the following convex optimization problem (A, B are given matrices)

$$\begin{aligned} \min_X \quad & \underline{a}^\top X^{-1} \underline{a} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & AX = B, \\ & X \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Reformulate this problem as an SDP.

(e) Reformulate the following problem as an SDP

$$\begin{aligned} \min_X \quad & \text{trace}(X^{-1}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & AX = B, \\ & X \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For all the parts, you may find the following result useful: For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^m$, vector $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and scalar b ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & \underline{a} \\ \underline{a}^\top & b \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \quad \text{if and only if } b - \underline{a}^\top A \underline{a} \geq 0.$$

5. You are given the *samples* of a function f at certain points, for e.g. assume you are given the values $f(0), f(1), f(2), \dots, f(N-1)$. Our goal is to interpolate to find the values of f on all points in the interval $[0, N-1]$, *in such a way that the interpolation is convex*. We know the data points $(i, f(i))$, and we wish to somehow fit a convex curve through the points. This may not be possible for all configurations of these points, so we are allowed to *ignore* some of these data points.

We will assume that we are given an $x \in [0, N-1]$, and we try to construct the interpolated value. Consider the following three potential techniques, resulting in three interpolated functions $f_1(x), f_2(x)$ and $f_3(x)$, written as linear programs:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) = \min_{m, c} \quad & mx + c \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & f(i) \leq mi + c \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x) = \max_{\underline{\alpha}} \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \alpha_i f(i) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} i \alpha_i = x, \\ & \underline{\alpha} \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{1}^\top \underline{\alpha} = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(x) = \min_{\underline{\alpha}} \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \alpha_i f(i) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} i \alpha_i = x, \\ & \underline{\alpha} \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{1}^\top \underline{\alpha} = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

- (a) (2 pts) Give an interpretation for the functions $f_1(x), f_2(x)$ and $f_3(x)$.
(b) (4 pts) Comment on the convexity/concavity of f_1, f_2 and f_3 (in general).
(c) (3 pts) Prove that (in general) $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$.
(d) (3 pts) Given an x , suppose m^*, c^* are optima of (6), and $\underline{\alpha}^*$ is the optima of (7). If the value of $f(i)$ contributes to the value of $f_2(x)$ (i.e. the value $f(i)$ is not *ignored*), then $\alpha_i^* \neq 0$. Show that if $f(i)$ contributes to the value of $f_2(x)$, then $f(i) = m^*i + c^*$. Using this, argue that if no three points among $(i, f(i))$ are collinear, then $\underline{\alpha}^*$ can have at most two non zero entries.
(e) (2 pts) For $N = 4, f(0) = 1, f(1) = 0, f(2) = 2, f(3) = 1$, sketch a plot of $f_1(x), f_2(x)$ and $f_3(x)$.
6. Consider the following program

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x_1, x_2} \quad & x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 - x_1x_2 - x_1 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 - 2x_2 \leq u_1, \\ & x_1 + 4x_2 \leq u_2, \\ & 5x_1 - 76x_2 \leq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

with variables x_1, x_2 and parameters u_1, u_2 .

- (a) (2 pts) Is this problem convex ? If so, categorize it as an LP, QP, QCQP, SDP (or none of these).
- (b) (3 pts) Using CVX or CVXPY, solve this problem with parameter values $u_1 = -2$, $u_2 = -3$ to find the optimal primal variables x_1^* , x_2^* and optimal dual variables λ_1^* , λ_2^* and λ_3^* .
- (c) (2 pts) Verify that the KKT conditions hold for the primal and the dual optimal variables.
- (d) (2 pts) Let $p^*(u_1, u_2)$ be the optimal value of the problem with parameters u_1, u_2 . Sketch some level curves for $p^*(u_1, u_2)$.
- (e) (2 pts) From the sketch above, do you think p^* convex ?
- (f) (2 pts) (Numerically) Compute the partial derivatives of p^* at $u_1 = -2, u_2 = -3$, and verify their relationship to the optimal dual variables λ_1^* and λ_2^* .