

Operating System 2: Exam 1

27th January 2022

Tanmay Garg CS20BTECH11063

Q1. Five batch jobs, A through E, arrive at a computer center at essentially the same time. They have an estimated running time of 15, 9, 3, 6, and 12 minutes, respectively. Their (externally defined) priorities are 6, 3, 7, 9, and 4, respectively, with a lower value corresponding to a higher priority. For each of the following scheduling algorithms, determine the turnaround time for each process and the average turnaround for all jobs. Ignore process switching overhead. Explain how you arrived at your answers. In the last three cases, assume only one job at a time runs until it finishes, and all jobs are completely processor bound.

(a) round robin with a time quantum of 1 minute

(b) priority scheduling

(c) FCFS (run in order 15, 9, 3, 6, and 12)

(d) shortest job first

Sol.

Turnaround time = Time of completion – Time of arrival

Since all processes arrived at $t=0$, time of arrival = 0

(a) Round Robin method with time quantum = 1 min

The order of occurrence of all the processes:

A, B, C, D, E, A, B, C, D, E, A, B, C, D, E, A, B, D, E, A, B, D, E, A, B, D, E, A, B, E, A, B, E, A, E, A, E, A, E, A, A, A

Turnaround time for each process:

A: 45 min, B: 35 min, C: 13 min, D: 26 min, E: 42 min

Average turnaround time: 32.2 min

(b) Priority Scheduling

The order of occurrence of all the processes:

B, E, A, C, D

Turnaround time for each process:

A: 36 min, B: 9 min, C: 39 min, D: 45 min, E: 21 min

Average turnaround time: 30 min

(c) FCFS

The order of occurrence of all the processes:

A,B,C,D,E

Turnaround time for each process:

A: 15 min, B: 24 min, C: 27 min, D: 33 min, E: 45 min

Average turnaround time: 28.8 min

(c) Shortest Job First

The order of occurrence of all the processes:

C,D,B,E,A

Turnaround time for each process:

A: 45 min, B: 18 min, C: 3 min, D: 9 min, E: 30 min

Average turnaround time: 21 min

Q2. Consider a variation of round robin scheduling, say NRR scheduling. In NRR scheduling, each process can have its own time quantum, q. The value of q starts out at 40 ms and decreases by 10 ms each time it goes through the round robin queue, until it reaches a minimum of 10 ms. Thus, long jobs get decreasingly shorter time slices.

(a) Develop the Gantt chart for scheduling algorithm for three jobs A, B, and C that arrive in the system having estimated burst times of 100 ms, 120 ms, and 60 ms respectively. Then compute the waiting times and the average waiting time as well. (6 pts)

(b) Also identify some advantages and disadvantages that are associated with this algorithm as compared to traditional round robin. (3 pts)

Sol.

A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	A	B	B	B	B	
T=0	40	70	90	100	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260	270	280

Waiting Time = Completion Time – Burst Time

Waiting time for A: 140 ms, B: 160ms, C: 150ms

Average waiting time: 150ms

Comparison of NRR with RR:

- This dynamic change in time quantum can be useful in the case when processes are of large time bursts, so NRR can significantly reduce, the waiting time for individual processes
- The total number of context switches would reduce significantly in the starting few time intervals as the time quantum has increased significantly
- There can be a CPU overhead to keep track of time quantum and updating it regularly
- In the case, when a new process arrives after a certain point in time, it would not be able to take advantage of the initial high time quantum and would be forced to undergo a normal RR
- If the processes are of long CPU bursts, then over many numbers of processes, the entire scheduling would effectively become a RR scheduling method

Q3. Five jobs are waiting to be run. Their expected run times are 9, 6, 3, 5, and X. In what order should they be run to minimize average response time? (Your answer will depend on X.) (3 pts)

Sol.

- In order to minimize the average response time, the order in which the processes should be run will depend on the range of values of X (shortest Job first)
- $1 \leq X \leq 3$, then the order should be E, C, D, B, A
- $3 < X \leq 5$, then the order should be C, E, D, B, A
- $5 < X \leq 6$, then the order should be C, D, E, B, A
- $6 < X \leq 9$, then the order should be C, D, B, E, A
- $9 < X$, then the order should be C, D, B, A, E

Q4. Consider two processes, P1 and P2 , where p1 = 40, t1 = 25, p2 = 75, and t2 = 30.

(a) Can these two processes be scheduled using rate-monotonic scheduling? Illustrate your answer using a Gantt chart. (4 pts)

(b) Illustrate the scheduling of these two processes using earliest-deadline-first (EDF) scheduling. (4 pts)

Sol.

(a)

- No, these processes cannot be scheduled using rate-monotonic scheduling
- When we schedule P1 first it will take its complete time in CPU i.e. 25 units
- Then P2 is scheduled, and it is run for only 15 units, as it will be preempted by P1 at (t=40units)
- Then P1 again takes complete time of CPU, and then switches to P2 to complete it before its deadline before t = 75 units
- But the process P2 will not be able to finish as it has 15 units of process still remaining and time available is 10 units
- Moreover, the CPU utilization for both the processes is $\frac{25}{40} + \frac{30}{75} = 1.025$, which is more than 100%

P1	P2	P1	P2	P2	
T=0	25	40	65	75	

(b)

- P1 will be scheduled first as it has an earlier deadline of t = 40 units
- With EDF, P1 will run completely before switching to P2
- When it switches to P2 at t = 25, P2 will run further as it has a deadline (t=75) earlier than P1(t=80)

Gantt Chart will look something like this

P1	P2	P1	P1	P2	P1	P1	P2	P1					
T=0	25	55	80	105	135	160	185	215	240				

- The cycle seems to repeat at every t=80 units

Q5. A system is predominated by periodic tasks and so rate monotonic scheduling (RMS) is proposed as a way to resolve multitask scheduling conflicts. Assume that in a given time span the system has five tasks with parameters as listed below:

- **Task P1: Processing Time C1 = 20; Period T1 = 90**
- **Task P2: Processing Time C2 = 30; Period T2 = 250**
- **Task P3: Processing Time C3 = 70; Period T3 = 370**
- **Task P4: Processing Time C4 = 50; Period T4 = 330**
- **Task P5: Processing Time C5 = 125; Period T5 = 2000**

We have seen that the following equation provides an upper bound on the number of tasks that Rate Monotonic Scheduling (RMS) algorithm can successfully schedule: $n(2^{1/n} - 1)$.

If RMS is used, analyze whether the tasks can be successfully scheduled as per this equation.

Sol.

Calculating the value of Total CPU utilization for all the processes

CPU utilization = $\frac{t_i}{p_i}$, where t is the time it takes to execute the process, and p is the period

For task 1:

$$\text{CPU utilization: } \frac{20}{90}$$

For task 2:

$$\text{CPU utilization: } \frac{30}{250}$$

For task 3:

$$\text{CPU utilization: } \frac{70}{370}$$

For task 4:

$$\text{CPU utilization: } \frac{50}{330}$$

For task 5:

$$\text{CPU utilization: } \frac{125}{2000}$$

Total CPU utilization is sum of all the above values: ≈ 0.74542

Worst case CPU utilization according to RMS value: $5 \left(2^{\frac{1}{5}} - 1 \right) \approx 0.743$

Therefore, we cannot schedule the above tasks according to RMS as the total CPU utilization of the above tasks is more than the worst-case CPU utilization according to RMS expression