Categorize the following as supervised learning, reinforcement learning, 1/1 unsupervised learning, or not machine learning: A social network's Al uses existing tagged photos of people to identify when those people appear in new photos. *
 Unsupervised learning
 Reinforcement learning
 Supervised learning
 Not an example of machine learning

| ✓ Imagine a regression AI that makes the following predictions for the following 5 data points. What is the total L2 loss across all of these data points (i.e., the sum of all the individual L2 losses for each data point)? * For data point 1, the true output is 2 and the AI predicted 4. For data point 2, the true output is 4 and the AI predicted 5. For data point 3, the true output is 4 and the AI predicted 3. For data point 4, the true output is 5 and the AI predicted 2. For data point 5, the true output is 6 and the AI predicted 5. |
|---|
| O 0 |
| O 4 |
| O 5 |
| ○ 8 |
| |
| O 19 |
| O 21 |
| O 64 |
| |

| \ | If Hypothesis 1 has a lower L1 loss and a lower L2 loss than Hypothesis 2 on a set of training data, why might Hypothesis 2 still be a preferable hypothesis? * | 1/1 |
|----------|---|----------|
| 0 | Hypothesis 1 might be the result of regularization. | |
| 0 | Hypothesis 1 might be the result of regression. | |
| 0 | Hypothesis 1 might be the result of loss. | |
| 0 | Hypothesis 1 might be the result of cross-validation. | |
| • | Hypothesis 1 might be the result of overfitting. | ✓ |

| × | In the $\epsilon\text{-greedy}$ approach to action selection in reinforcement learning, which of the following values of ϵ makes the approach identical to a purely greedy approach? * | 0/1 |
|---|---|-----|
| 0 | ε = 0 | |
| 0 | ε = 0.25 | |
| 0 | ε = 0.5 | |
| • | ε = 0.75 | × |
| 0 | ε = 1 | |
| | | |
| | nments, if any | |

This form was created inside of CS50.

Google Forms