

Tanner Krewson
Dr. Matthews
CS 260
3 March 2017

Toodle Design Critique

In my final design of Toodle, I ended up changing more things from my original design than I expected. One of the first things I decided to do was to combine my proposed `ToDoList` object and `TaskList` object into one. I didn't feel as though the functionality differences between the two justified having a separate class for both. Next, I changed the array of tasks to an `ArrayList` of tasks. With a regular array, the array would have had to have been recreated every time a task was added or removed. The `ArrayList` provides this kind of functionality inherently, so it was the better choice for the job. In my original design, the `Task` class, which was to be extended by `IncompleteTask`, `CancelledTask`, and `CompletedTask`, was not abstract. I made it abstract in the final because all types of tasks are a subclass of `Task`, and it would not be sensible to have just a `Task` with no type on its own. Other than those issues, the general design structure stayed the same as my original design. I did, however, add some extra methods to these classes that I did not consider when I created my original design.

My original design for the `Task` class did not include any methods. I realized when implementing my design that it would be beneficial to include a few methods. Namely, as opposed to making the four instance variables in `Task` public, I decided to make them private and provide getters for all four. This allowed the `Task` class to be immutable. When I began implementing a system to sort the tasks in the `TaskList` object, I needed an easy way to compare `Tasks` to each other. So, I decided to add two public methods to make comparison of two `Task` objects simple. One compares them by their relative priority order, and the other compares them by their unique identifier. When I decided to combine the `ToDoList` and `TaskList` classes that I created in my original design into one `TaskList` object, I made the methods that were originally public in the original `TaskList` object, such as `addTask`, `removeTask`, etc. private. The reasoning for this was that while the original public methods took `Task` objects through their parameters, I wanted the public interface of `TaskList` to not deal in `Task` objects. So the `createNewTask` public method of the final `TaskList` class takes in each of the four details of a `Task` individually, creates a new `Task` object internally, and calls the private `addTask` method with the newly created `Task` object. This prevents users of the `TaskList` class from accidentally adding a method to the `TaskList` with an identifier that is not unique. This was one of the most major changes, and I believe that my final implementation of Toodle was an effective and easy to understand solution to the design that the functional specification proposed.