```
Q1) 372, 49, 2 = 9
  Translated: There exists an x such that for ally,
                               IL is less than or equal to y.
  a) For universe EN the statement is true
           Universe N = 21, 2, 3, .... 3
           Let n be 1, the smallest natural number.
            If n = 1 then, 1 ≤ y is always true since
             any value of y within the universe would be greater than or equal to x.
                Moreover, Since I is the smallest natural number
                X=1 automatically makes the statement frueD
   b) Theorem: For, by, 264 universe 60
                Proof: Let universe = a (vational numbers)
                               : There can never be a "Smallest" rational number.
                                        Consider 2 cases:
                                         Casel:
                                            find y = -120
                                         (ase 2:
                                         115 -100
                                         9=-101 4-100
                                         Case 3:
                                         7L= 22
                                         y=-翌~翠
                          Given that there is no such case where
                           y < x we can conclude that therefore,
                           There is no rational number of, for ally,
                               such that x ≤ y. Thus, the statement
                               Fir, ∀y, x≤y for univ = e is false [
    C) The statement is true for universe (0,1]
               Reasoning: The universe does not include 0 but it
                 does include I as the largest number in the set
                    If x=1 then, for any yin the universe (0,1],
                   We have I = y, since I is the maximum value.
                   Therefore there does exist an 12 (12=1) such that
                    for ally in universe (0,1], x ≤y.
                     Thus the statement is the given univ = (0,1] [
      d) For the statement:
                There exists an x such that for ally,
                  IL is less than or equal to y.
                 The universe must have a minimum)
                   Smallest /lowest element for the Statement
                    to be true.
    2)
    a) If q(x) is the statement that student x got the highest mark (Possibly the equal highest mark) on
              each of the tests, we can express it using quantifiers as,
              q (n) = Yt, yy, p (t, x,y)
             "For all tests t and for all students y, student x scored higher than or equal to student y on test
             In other words, for student x to have gotten the highest mark on each test, they must have
             scored at least as high as every other student on every test.
   b) r= 7 ] x + t + y p(t, x, y)
             "There does not exist a student x such that for all tests t and for all students y, student x
             scored higher than or equal to student ) on test t"
  3a) ∃t, ∀N, ∃n, ∃m, (m≥N1n≥N)/ | xn-xm|≥t
            78 = 3 , 7 = 8 , 7 (p-14) = P174 in words:
            "There exists a Positive real number t such that for all natural numbers N, there exist
            natural numbers n and m greater than or equal to N, such that the absolute difference
            between Xn and Xm is greater than or equal to t."
 37)
            Property y states that for any positive real t we can find a natural number N such
            that for all natural numbers n and m greater than or equal to N, the absolute
            difference | Xn - Xm | is less than t.
            In this sequence for any even index n and odd index m (or vice versa): |x_1 - x_m| = |x_1 - x_m|
            regardless of how large n and m are. So, if we choose t=0.5, there is no N such that for
           all n, m \ge N, |x_n - x_m| < 0.5
            No matter how far we go in the sequence there will always be pairs of terms (one even
            index, one odd index) whose absolute difference is / which is not less than 0.5
            Therefore the sequence (1,0,1,0,...) does not have property y.
4a) For S the subsetsare: $ , {13, {23, {1,2}}
          : P(s) = \ \ \ , \ \ \ 3, \ \ 2 \ 3, \ \ \ 1,23 \ \ 3
4b) 5= {1,2} Find P(P(S))
         Now of elements is = 2^n but since P(P(s))

now elements = 2^{n^k} \Rightarrow 2^{2^k} = 2^k = 16 elements
      subsets of P(s)= $\phi, \{\ell_1\}_3\}, \{\ell_2\}_3\}, \{\ell_1\, \ell_3\}_3\}, \{\phi, \ell_3\}_3\}, \{\phi,
      Therefore P(PCD)={ $\phi, \{213\}, \{223\}, \{21,2\}\}, \{\phi, \{1\}\}, \{\phi, \{1\}\}\}, \{\phi, \{23\}, \{\phi, \{23\}\}, \{\phi, \{\phi, \{23\}\}, \{\phi, \{\phi
5) Given A & B , B & C Prove A & C
          Proof:
 Step 1) By proper subset definition, A & B means that A & B and A & B.
 Step 2) B \subseteq C means that every element in B is also an element of C
Step 3) Let 2 be an arbitary element of A. Using that we know if x & A than x & B and if x & B the x & C.
               The refore A SC
Step 4) To prove A & C , A *C
                 · Suppose A = C then since A ⊆ B , C ⊆ B.
                · Givan BCC and if both BCC and CEB then B=C.
                However this is a contradiction to A\subseteq C Which implies A\not=B. Since A=C by our assumption and B=c we would get A=B which is the contradiction.
```

```
Using that we know if x & A than x & B and if x & B the x & C.

The refere A & C

Step 4) To prove A & C , A & C

Suppose A = C than since A & B, C & B.

Given B & C and if both B & C and C & B then B = C.

However this is a contradiction to A & C Which implies

A & B. Since A = C by our assumption, and B = C we
would get A > B which is the contradiction.

Step 5) Therefore by contradiction we can conclude that A & C.

Step 6) Thus A & C C

6)

G) Let n be an odd integer.

Then n = 2k+1 where k is an integer.

n³ = (2k+1)³

= 8k³ + 12k² + 6k + 1

= 2 (4k³ + 6k² + 3k) + 1

Since 2 (4k³ + 6k² + 3k) is even and one is odd n³ is odd.
```

50  $(3\sqrt{2})^3 = (\frac{p}{9})^3$   $\Rightarrow 2 = \frac{p^3}{4^3}$   $\Rightarrow 2q^3 = p^3$   $\Rightarrow Let p=2k$  since  $2q^3$  is even  $p^3$  must also be even.  $\Rightarrow 2q^3 = (2k)^3$   $\Rightarrow 2q^2 = 8k^3$   $\Rightarrow 2q^3 = 4k^3$   $\therefore q^3$  is even thus contradicting our assumptions that p and q have no common factors.  $\therefore$  By contradiction we can conclude  $3\sqrt{2}$  is infact irrational.

Fa)  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \ (n=2k+1)$ 

There fore, by contra positive, if n³ is even than n must be even.

b) Assuming 3/2 is rational it can be written in the form p1q.

We can also assume p and q have no common factors

since the fraction is in its lowest form of pq.

7b) Let m, n be arbitary odd integers.  $\exists k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that m = 2k, +1 n = 2k + 1m + n = (2k, +1) + (2k + 1)

. This is the

In other words, there exists an integer k such that  $n \in q$ , vals 2k+l, T definition of an odd integer — it leaves a remainder of l when divided by Z.

```
=2 (R, 7k_2+1)

Let k_3 = k_1 + k_2 + 1

Then M+h = 2k_3

This makes min even.

Since m, n were arbitang:

\forall m, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, [(m \text{ odd}) \land (n \text{ odd})] \rightarrow (m+n \text{ even}) \square

Tc) Let m, n be arbitany odd integers.

\exists k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} such that m = 2k_1 + 1 n = 2k_2 + 1

MN = (2k_1 + 1)(2k_2 + 1)

= 4k_1k_2 + 2k_1 + 2k_2 + 1
```

= 2k,+2k2+2

Let  $k_3 = 2k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2$  and  $mn = 2k_3 + 1$ Since mand n were arbitary,  $\forall m$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $[Cm odd)\Lambda(n odd)] \rightarrow (mn odd) D$ 

- 2 (2k, k2 + k, +k2)+1