Statement P QI) Statement o "The ground is wet" "It is raining"

"If it is raining then the ground is wet"

17 1 P D Q will always be true "If the ground is wet, it is raining = Q-SP need not necessarily be true Since the ground can be wet due

to numerous other reasons such as a pipe leak for example

Q2) 1) p -> 7P can be replaced with 7p V 7p : p1 (7p V7P) 2) Distributive Law: $p \Lambda (7p \ V7P) = (p \Lambda 7p) V (p \Lambda 7p)$ 3) As per law of contradiction, papp is always oor false

: (P17P) V (P17P) <> 0 VO, law of disjunction 0 VO 6> 0

Q4) Given premises: P -> 79 roa

Proof:

- 1) Assuming par
- 2) Using above assumption, if p is true we use the given premise p > 19 to derive 79 as true as well using modus ponens
 - 3) Similarly if r is true , given premise rasq we use modus poners to derive that a must be true given that rand rog both are true 4) Now that we have a and 7g we know that this
 - is not possible as it is a contradiction. Since the assumption par leads to a contradiction, we conclude that 7(p 1 r) must be true therefore the argument

is logically valid. Q5) n3 +2n+6 is a nultiple of 3 for all integers n.

Universe = n case | n = 3k: (3k)2 + 2(3k) +6

> - 27k3+ 6k+6 : 3 (9k3 + 2k+2)

Since we factored 3 out, this case clearly Shows that the Statement is a multiple of 3 given n=3k.

 $(3k+1)^3+2(3k+1)+6$ - 27R3+27R2+9R+1+6R+2+6

Case 2 n = 3k+1:

- 27k3+27k2+15k+9 Factoring 3 out: 3 (9k3+9R2+5R+3)

this shows that for case 2, the statement is true. Case 3 n= 3x+2:

 $(3k+2)^{5}+2(3k+2)+6$: 27k3 + 54k2 + 36k +8+6k+4+6

Q7)

: 27k3 +54k2+ 42k+18 Factoring 3 out: 3(9k3+18k2+14k+6)

In all three cases we show that n3+ 2n+6 is a multiple of 3. Therefore the statement n3+2n+6 is a multiple of3 for all integers n.

つ(p1((1qvr)1(svt))) => ファVァ((7qvr)1(sv7t)) Step 2 is De Morgans Law again:

Q6) We apply De Morgan's Law to the entire expression.

7 P V 7 ((7q vr) 1 (5 V 7 t)) => 7 p V(7 (7q v r) V 7 (5 V 7 t)) Step 3 De Morgans Law again:

7pV(7(7qvr)V7(5V7t)) => 7pV((q17r)V(751t)) : 7(p1((1qvr)1(sv1+)) is logically equivalent to

7p V ((q1r) V (751t)). part 1) p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, x, y, 2 = 11 statements : 2111 lines or 2048 lines should be there in the

truth table part 2) The first half or 1024 lines are where p is true or 1. Since 811 is within this half, p is true. .. We can find that

p q r s t υ ν ω π y z 1 ο 1 ο ο 1 ο ο 1 ο 1 210 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20

Q8) Taking the following values for p,2, r,5:

p = Trve q = false r = False s = FalsePremises:

9->p = False -> True = True アッち = False ラ False = True (freepass) p V3 = True V False = True 7rv 75 = True V True = True

Conclusion q, Vr = False V False = False The counterenampic of 9=1, 9=0, r=0, s=0 makes all the premises true but conclusion false

thus the argument is invalid as expected of the question