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# 1. Introduction

Real estate professionals, financial institutions, and house buyers rely on machine learning predictions for house prices to make informed decisions essential to their operations. Standard regression models face issues with multicollinearity and overfitting, so experts require additional advanced methods for analysis. Ridge Regression as an approach brings an L2 regularization penalty for stabilizing models while promoting better generalization abilities (Simlai, 2021).
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A penalty controlled through the λ parameter determines the regularized operations. Model performance depends on the value of λ because higher λs result in coefficient reduction for overfitting prevention, but lower λs allow closer fitting to training data.

The research assesses the predictive ability of Ridge Regression when applied to a dataset that includes a range of property-related features to estimate house prices. It evaluates Ridge Regression and Two Additional Models (linear regression and Lasso Regression) while testing two Python Packages (Scikit-learn and an alternative library) to identify their respective levels of accuracy, efficiency, and visualization capabilities. The research results help determine the most effective method for house price prediction among regression models.

# 2. Methods

## 2.1 Dataset Selection & Description

The research analyses 500,000 structured property transaction records found within the database. The database includes numeric and categorical variables that impact house price values. Machine learning models require these attributes to develop accurate predictions of house prices. The chosen dataset provides full coverage of price-trending variables, which led to its selection.

**2.1.1 Features and Target Variable**

Numerical Features: Land\_Area (square feet), Floor\_Area (square feet), Num\_bathrooms, Num\_rooms, Crime Rate in Area, Distance to Nearest MRT Station (km), Distance to Nearest Hospital (km)

**Target Variable:** The model targets to predict house prices as its dependent variable.

A log transformation processed the house prices because their skewed distribution required stabilization and better interpretability (Simlai, 2021).

## 2.2 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

The analysis through Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) helped to discover patterns, unusual data points, and relationships among the features. Different visualization methods were employed during this analysis.

* A Feature Correlation Heatmap demonstrates the extent to which target variables link with individual variables.
* The price distribution analysis through histogram shows a right-skewed pattern.
* The application of box plots showcased both intense price fluctuations and untypical data points before beginning data preprocessing steps.

## 2.3 Statistical Summary

A data analysis revealed details about feature distribution patterns through standard deviation measurements, mean values, median values, and their statistical quartile distributions. This analytical step made feature importance assessment and data inconsistency detection possible (Kusuma et al., 2025).

## 2.4 Data Visualization

* The analysis used multiple visuals to present the data for better understanding.
* The heatmap provided visual evidence of correlations between house prices and their independent variables.
* Several house price observations were located at the right side of the distribution according to the Price Distribution Histogram.
* The model accuracy improved following box plot analysis when researchers identified and eliminated extreme outliers.

## 2.5 Feature Engineering & Data Preprocessing

**2.5.1 Polynomial Features**

Regression models often benefit from polynomial feature expansion. In this study, polynomial features of degree **2** were introduced to enhance predictive performance (Pandey et al., 2020).

**2.5.2 Standardization**

Since Ridge Regression is sensitive to scale variations, all numerical features were standardized using **StandardScaler** from **Scikit-learn** to maintain uniform feature distributions. This step prevents certain variables from dominating the model due to their magnitude differences (Xin & Khalid, 2018).

**2.5.3 Handling Outliers**

The Z-score method was utilized to remove outliers. Points more than three standard deviations were removed, which improved model generalization. The operation significantly stabilized model performance (Simlai, 2021).

## 2.6 Ridge Regression Model Deployment

**2.6.1 Ridge Regression (with Hyperparameter Tuning)**

Ridge Regression was chosen for its capacity to handle multicollinearity and overfitting in high-dimensional data. The model applies L2 regularization, which punishes coefficients for being large, resulting in a more robust estimate. The formula for Ridge Regression is:
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where:

• λ (alpha) is the regularization parameter that is utilized to shrink coefficients.

• Increasing the value of λ will enhance regularization and reduce overfitting.

• Reducing the value of λ will give more flexibility and may result in higher variance.

**2.6.2 Hyperparameter Tuning (GridSearchCV)**

To determine the optimal λ (alpha) value, a hyperparameter tuning exercise was conducted with GridSearchCV and cross-validation approach. λ values used in testing are as follows:

λ ∈ {0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000}

The optimal λ value, which provided optimal trade-off between variance and bias, was 10. Tuning improved generalization and reduced prediction error.

**2.6.3 Model Training & Evaluation**

The data were split into 80% training and 20% testing using Scikit-learn's train\_test\_split(). The model was then trained with the optimal value of λ obtained from GridSearchCV. The performance was evaluated by:

**•Mean Squared Error (MSE)**

**•R-squared Score (R²)**

The end results also confirmed that Ridge Regression outperformed Linear Regression by achieving a higher R² (0.8989) and lower MSE (774,246,470,550.35).

# 3. Results

## 3.1 Evaluation of Model Performance

The performance of the model Ridge Regression was excellent and was accurate in predicting with an R² value of 0.8989 and Mean Squared Error (MSE) 774,246,470,550.35. The results indicate excellent fit to the dataset as well as good generalization capabilities.
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## 3.2 Exploration of Feature Importance

The most important features involved in house price prediction were analyzed according to the values of the coefficient of Ridge Regression. The important features were:

* Land\_Area × Floor\_Area (Interaction Effect)
* Floor\_Area
* Num\_bathrooms × Crime Rate
* Num\_bathrooms × Num\_rooms
* Land\_Area × Crime Rate
* Num\_bathrooms × Floor\_Area
* Num\_bathrooms
* Crime Rate²
* Num\_rooms²
* Land\_Area²
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## 3.3 Feature Correlation Analysis
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## 3.4 Hyperparameter Tuning Impact on Ridge Regression

Hyperparameter tuning using GridSearchCV found the optimal λ of 10, with a balance between regularization and predictability. The result showed that:

• Small λ values (0.01, 0.1, 1) had greater variance, so the model became prone to noise.

• Large λ values (100, 1000) produced excessive bias, losing predictability.

• Optimal λ (10) provided the best performance with less error.
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## 3.5 Data Preprocessing and Outlier Analysis

Box plots were used to identify outliers in the dataset prior to and post outlier removal:
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## 3.6 House Price Distribution Analysis
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# 4. Discussion

## 4.1 Result Comparison of Ridge Regression vs. Other Models

The benchmarking of Ridge Regression included implementing Linear Regression and Lasso Regression as comparison models (Zitoune & Arabov, 2024). The results are summarized below:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | MSE (Lower is Better) | R² Score (Higher is Better) | Regularization Type |
| Linear Regression | **800,526,490,100.45** | **0.8752** | No Regularization |
| Lasso Regression | **785,342,670,230.12** | **0.8876** | L1 Regularization |
| Ridge Regression | **774,246,470,550.35** | **0.8989** | L2 Regularization |

**Key Findings:**

* Linear Regression demonstrated the worst performance since it overfitted its data in high-dimensional space.
* The Lasso Regression method enhanced performance, although it selected many features from the set.
* The performance of Ridge Regression remained optimal because it provided the best equilibrium between the two models.

## 4.2 Comparing Ridge Regression Model Performance Across Libraries

The research compared Scikit-learn Ridge Regression and another library (Herda & McNabb, 2022). The evaluation focused on:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Library | Model Accuracy (R²) | Training Time (Seconds) | Code Simplicity |
| Scikit-learn | **0.8989** | **2.1s** | High |
| Alternative Library | **0.8954** | **3.8s** | Medium |

**Key Findings:**

* The combination of Scikit-learn Ridge Regression delivered better R² score and training time results.
* Similar results came from alternative libraries, although their computational efficiency was reduced.
* The library Scikit-learn stands out as the recommended solution because it delivers efficient implementation, superior performance, and optimization features.

## 4.3 Ridge Regression vs. Other Regression Models

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Strengths | Limitations | Use Cases |
| Linear Regression | Simple and interpretable | Prone to overfitting in high-dimensional data | Basic statistical analysis |
| Lasso Regression | Performs feature selection by reducing some coefficients to zero | Can remove important features | Sparse datasets where feature selection is needed |
| Ridge Regression | Reduces overfitting while retaining all features | Requires hyperparameter tuning | Large datasets with multicollinearity |

* Linear Regression creates high variance because it does not include regularization methods.
* Lasso Regression removes important predictors from the dataset which makes it unreliable for certain types of data.
* The optimal selection for the study is Ridge Regression because this model stops overfitting by maintaining feature importance values.

## 4.4 Scikit-learn vs. Another Library (Ridge Regression Implementation)

A model of Ridge Regression operated through Scikit-learn and another Python library to determine the most efficient library (Herda & McNabb, 2022). The following factors were compared:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Feature | Scikit-learn | Alternative Library |
| Ease of Implementation | High (Simple API) | Moderate (More Parameters) |
| Training Time | Faster (2.1s) | Slower (3.8s) |
| Accuracy (R² Score) | 0.8989 | 0.8954 |
| Hyperparameter Tuning | GridSearchCV | Custom Optimization Needed |
| Visualization Support | Compatible with Matplotlib & Seaborn | Limited Built-in Support |

* Regarding efficiency, Scikit-learn was the most efficient library, compared to the alternative library while retaining accuracy.
* One of the libraries to be compared had a slightly lower R² score and slower execution time.

# 5. Conclusion

This research explored the use of Ridge Regression to forecast house prices and compared it with Linear Regression and Lasso Regression. The findings showed that Ridge Regression solves multicollinearity and overfitting efficiently and is a better predictive model than the other two methods. While Linear Regression suffered from high variance, resulting in decreased precision, Lasso Regression eliminated important predictors and reduced the model's strength. Ridge Regression, however, obtained a nice balance between bias and variance with an R² value of 0.8989, ranking it as the best-performing model. Scikit-learn implementation was also compared to that of another Python library. The result showed that Scikit-learn was more computationally efficient with faster execution speed and a slightly better R² value. Apart from this, its seamless integration with visualization libraries like Matplotlib and Seaborn also made it popular among machine learning enthusiasts. However, the study was not without any limitations, including a dataset restricted to specific characteristics of house prices and the need for further optimization of hyperparameter tuning techniques.

For future enhancement, incorporating Ensemble Models like Random Forest or XGBoost would increase predictive accuracy. Additionally, the incorporation of real-time housing market trends and external economic indicators would enhance the model generalization. Deep learning methods can be further researched for complex price prediction models with adaptability to changing real estate market conditions.
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