Task 4: Finding the lowest eigenvalue of a 4x4 matrix using VQE-like circuits Resources: [1] A. Peruzzo et al., Nature Communications, "A variational eigenvalue solver on a photonic quantum processor" (2014). https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms5213 [2] Michał Stęchły, "Variational Quantum Eigensolver explained". https://www.mustythoughts.com/variational-quantum-eigensolver-explained [3] Davit Khachatryan, "Variational quantum eigensolver". https://github.com/DavitKhach/quantum-algorithmstutorials/blob/master/variational_quantum_eigensolver.ipynb [4] Dr. Michael Goerz, "Decomposing Two-Qubit Hamiltonians into Pauli-Matrices". https://michaelgoerz.net/notes/decomposing-two-qubithamiltonians-into-pauli-matrices.html [5] The Qiskit Textbook. https://qiskit.org/textbook/preface.html Task 4: Find the lowest eigenvalue of the following matrix: [1 0 0 0; 0 0 -1 0; 0 -1 0 0; 0001] using VQE-like circuits, created by yourself from scratch. Solution: In this problem, we build different parts for the given vge hybrid system[1]: Quantum variational eigensolver Quantum expectation estimation QPU CPU $\langle H_1 \rangle$ $\langle H_1 \rangle$ Classical feedback decision preparation Quantum module 1 $\langle H_2 \rangle$ Classical adder Quantum module 2 $\langle H_3 \rangle$ Quantum state Quantum module 3 $\langle H_N \rangle$ $\langle H_N \rangle$ Quantum module N Adjust the parameters for the next input state The motivation for this notebook comes from [2], while the coding approach extends the idea of [3] for 2 qubit, from 1 qubit. Most of the quantum circuitry code is used with the help of [5]. Numerical calculation was done using NumPy and plotting was done using matplotlib. Finally the matrix decomposition part was done with the help of [4]. Please go through the resources for detailed theoretical explanations, or stick to this notebook if you just want to see the implementation of the problem in qiskit! We know that any 4×4 hamiltonian can be written as a sum of pauli terms: $H = \sum_{i,j=1,x,y,z} a_{i,j}(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j), \quad a_{i,j} = rac{1}{4} Trace[(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j) H]$ with real coefficients $a_{i,j}$. The σ_i terms for i=1,x,y,z are the usual 1 qubit pauli matrices: $\sigma_1 = \left(egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight), \sigma_x = \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{array}
ight), \sigma_y = \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & -i \ i & 0 \end{array}
ight), \sigma_z = \left(egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 \end{array}
ight).$ So firstly, we need to decompose our given matrix into pauli terms. After importing our packages, we define the our matrix H and the function for decomposing into pauli matrices: In [1]: #imports import numpy as np from qiskit import * In [2]: | #define our matrix H H = np.array([[1, 0, 0, 0],[0, 0, -1, 0],[0,-1, 0, 0],[0, 0, 0, 1]]) In [3]: #decompose funtion def pauli_decompose(A): Decomposes matrix A into pauli terms Input A: 2 qubit hamiltonian matrix of shape (4x4) Returns A dictionary with pauli terms as keys and the coefficients a_ij as values a = []A = []#define I, X, Y, Z matrices I = np.array([[1, 0], [0, 1]], dtype=np.complex128)X = np.array([[0, 1], [1, 0]], dtype=np.complex128)Y = np.array([[0, -1j], [1j, 0]], dtype=np.complex128)Z = np.array([[1, 0], [0, -1]], dtype=np.complex128)paulilq = [I, X, Y, Z]pauliTerms1q = ["I", "X", "Y", "Z"] for i in range(4): for j in range (4): A.append(pauliTerms1q[i]+pauliTerms1q[j]) tensor product = np.kron(pauli1q[i], pauli1q[j]) a.append(0.25 * np.real(np.trace(np.dot(tensor_product, H)))) return dict(zip(A, a)) print(pauli_decompose(H)) {'II': 0.5, 'IX': 0.0, 'IY': 0.0, 'IZ': 0.0, 'XI': 0.0, 'XX': -0.5, 'XY': 0.0, 'XZ': 0.0, 'YI': 0.0, 'YX': 0.0, 'YY': -0.5, 'YZ': 0.0, 'ZI': 0.0, 'ZX': 0.0, 'ZY': 0.0, 'ZZ': 0.5} Now let's check the original minimum eigenvalue of H: In [4]: **from numpy import** linalg w, v = linalg.eig(H)print(min(w)) -1.0 The ansatz we'll be using is (RX I) CX (HI) |00>, where angle in R x is our variational parameter. And by varying the angle in R x, we will implement the vqe algorithm. In [5]: #quantum state/ansatz preparation def create ansatz(circuit, parameters): Quantum state preparation Inputs circuit: a QuantumCircuit circuit object parameters: The variational parameter dictionary { "R x" : theta} Returns The given quantum circuit with the desired quantum state/anstatz prepared #entangle the qubits circuit.h(circuit.qubits[0]) circuit.cx(circuit.qubits[0], circuit.qubits[1]) #apply the gate Rx with variational parameter theta circuit.rx(float(parameters["R x"]), circuit.qubits[0]) circuit.barrier() return circuit qc = create ansatz(QuantumCircuit(2, 2), {"R x": np.pi}) qc.draw("mpl") Out[5]: Now for the quantum expectation estimation part, first we need to create a quantum circuit that makes some measurement. But the catch is, in a quantum hardware we can only measure in the z-basis. Consequently, we make slight modifications in our circuit. So now, even if we have σ_x or σ_y operator for our qubit, we can still make the standard z-basis measurement after applying those modifications (read: gates) so that out standard basis measurement probabilitites correspond to the desired probabilities if we had actually measured in x-basis or y-basis. In [6]: #create vqe-like circuit def create vqe circuit(parameters, pauli): """creates the vqe ckt with 2 qubits & 2 classical bits""" Creates the a quantum circuit with 2 qubits & 2 classical bits, for quantum expectation estimation Inputs parameters: The variational parameter dictionary {"R x" : theta} pauli: The pauli string "II"/"XX"/"YY"/... Returns A quantum circuit ready for quantum expectation estimation with desired quantum state/ansatz 11 11 11 #the circuit circuit = QuantumCircuit(2, 2) #prepare ansatz circuit = create ansatz(circuit, parameters) #the *modifications* for i, gate in enumerate(pauli): if gate == "X": circuit.h(circuit.qubits[i]) elif gate == "Y": circuit.u2(0, np.pi/2, circuit.qubits[i]) else: pass #do nothing for gate =="I" #the standard z-basis measurements circuit.measure(circuit.qubits[0], circuit.clbits[0]) circuit.measure(circuit.qubits[1], circuit.clbits[1]) return circuit qc = create_vqe_circuit({"R_x" : np.pi/2}, "XY") qc.draw("mpl") Out[6]: Now have to design the Quantum Expectation Estimation part. This function uses the previously created quantum circuit to estimate the expectation values of each part of the hamiltonian matrix, H (i.e. the "II", "XX", .. part) We use the qasm_simulator for this task. We can either do the calculations in a noisy environment, or an ideal noise-free environment. For the noisy simulation, we need to apply a special noise mitigation filter to get some sort of sensible result. Without the noise cancellation part, the algorithm spits out results that are just bonkers! In [7]: def quantum_module(parameters, pauli, shots=1000, Noise=False, Noise_model=None): Creates the quantum module for quantum expectation estimation part Inputs parameters: The variational parameter dictionary { " R_x " : theta} pauli: The pauli string "II"/"XX"/"YY"/... shots: int, # of shots Noise: boolean, set True for calculation in noisy environment Noise model: qiskit NoiseModel object Returns Expectation value of the pauli term if pauli == "II": return 1 else: circuit = create_vqe_circuit(parameters, pauli) backend = Aer.get_backend("qasm_simulator") if Noise != False: #simulate in a noisy environment #calibrate a measurement filter for noise mitigation from qiskit.ignis.mitigation.measurement import (complete_meas_cal,CompleteMeasFitter) qr = qiskit.QuantumRegister(2) meas_calibs, state_labels = complete_meas_cal(qr=qr, circlabel='mcal') job = qiskit.execute(meas_calibs, backend=backend, shots=shots, noise_model=Noise_model) cal results = job.result() meas_fitter = CompleteMeasFitter(cal_results, state_labels, circlabel='mcal') #simulate with noise from qiskit.test.mock import FakeVigo basis_gates = noise_model.basis_gates device_backend = FakeVigo() coupling map = device backend.configuration().coupling map result_noise = execute(circuit, backend, noise_model=noise_model, shots = shots, coupling_map=c oupling_map, basis_gates=basis_gates).result() #mitigate the noisy result with the previously calibrated filter #get the filter object meas filter = meas fitter.filter #apply the mitigation filter to the noisy results mitigated_results = meas_filter.apply(result_noise) counts = mitigated results.get counts(0) else: #simulate in a noise-free environment counts = execute(circuit, backend, shots=shots).result().get counts() #for the 2 qubit case, in X and Y basis measurements (actually cleverly done in z-basis), only |00> and |11> states have #the eigenvalue "+1", while the other 2 states | 01> and | 10> have the eigenvalue "-1". def expectation value(counts, shots): """returns expectation value""" exp = 0if "00" in counts: exp += counts["00"] if "01" in counts: exp -= counts["01"] if "10" in counts: exp -= counts["10"] if "11" in counts: exp += counts["11"] return exp/shots return expectation value(counts, shots) print(quantum module({"R x" : np.pi/2}, "XY", shots=100, Noise=False, Noise model=None)) 0.04 Now we design the classical adder block, which just adds up the different expectation values from different quantum modules. In [8]: def classical adder(theta, shots=1000, Noise=False, Noise model=None): Adds up different energy values for each pauli terms, given the variational parameter Inputs H: 2 qubit hamiltonian matrix of shape (4x4) theta: variational parameter for R x shots: int, # of shots Noise: boolean, set True for calculation in noisy environment Noise_model: qiskit NoiseModel object Returns Energy of the hamiltonian d = pauli decompose(H) pauliTerms2q = list(d.keys()) pauliCoeff2q = list(d.values()) Energy = 0parameter = dict() parameter["R x"] = float(theta) for j in range(len(d)): if pauliCoeff2q[j] != 0: Energy += np.real(pauliCoeff2q[j]) * quantum_module(parameter, pauliTerms2q[j], shots=shot s, Noise=Noise, Noise model=Noise model) return Energy print(classical adder(np.pi/2, shots=100, Noise=False, Noise model=None)) 0.03 Finally, our vqe function: In [9]: def vqe(H, shots=1000, Noise=False, Noise model=None, Optimizer=None): Using VQE algorithm, calculates the minimum eigenvalue for the hamiltonian H Inputs H: 2 qubit hamiltonian matrix of shape (4x4) shots: int, # of shots Noise: boolean, set True for calculation in noisy environment Noise model: qiskit NoiseModel object Optimizer: qiskit optimizer object Returns Tuple of the value of the variational parameter, the upper bound of the minimum eigenvalue of H, nu mber of iterations 11 11 11 optimizer = Optimizer #randomly initializing our variational parameter, theta theta = np.random.rand(1) #optimize using the Optimizer passed into the function ret = optimizer.optimize(num_vars=len(theta), objective_function=classical_adder, initial_point=the ta) return ret Lets also write a few helper functions for better analysis. In [10]: def create_noise_model(): Returns Basic noise model object from qiskit.providers.aer.noise import NoiseModel from qiskit.test.mock import FakeVigo device_backend = FakeVigo() coupling_map = device_backend.configuration().coupling_map noise_model = NoiseModel.from_backend(device_backend) return noise_model def initialize_optimizer(max_iter, tol, Optimizer="POWELL"): Inputs Optimizer: str, name of the desired optimizer max_iter: int, maximum iterations tol: float, tolerance Returns Optimizer object 11 11 11 from qiskit.aqua.components.optimizers import POWELL if Optimizer == "COBYLA": from qiskit.aqua.components.optimizers import COBYLA opt = COBYLA(maxiter=max_iter, tol=tol) elif Optimizer == "POWELL": from qiskit.aqua.components.optimizers import POWELL opt = POWELL(maxiter=max_iter, tol=tol) return opt def plot_loss_function(H, shots=1000, Noise=False, Noise_model=None, num_points=128): Plots loss function Inputs H: 2 qubit hamiltonian matrix of shape (4x4) shots: int, # of shots Noise: boolean, set True for calculation in noisy environment Noise_model: qiskit NoiseModel object num_points = int, number of points to generate the plot Returns None 11 11 11 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt points = num_points Rx_values = np.linspace(0, np.pi*2, points) Energy = np.zeros(Rx_values.size) d = pauli_decompose(H) pauliTerms2q = list(d.keys()) pauliCoeff2q = list(d.values()) for i in range(points): for j in range(len(pauliTerms2q)): if pauliCoeff2q[j] != 0: Energy[i] += np.real(pauliCoeff2q[j]) * quantum_module({"R x" : Rx values[i]}, pauliTer ms2q[j], shots=shots, Noise=Noise, Noise model=Noise model) plt.plot(Rx_values, Energy) plt.xlabel(r"Variational parameter \$R x \theta\$") plt.ylabel(r"Energy") plt.legend(r"") plt.show() print("Simulation results\n-----\nMinimum Energy: ", min(Energy), r", at $R_x = "$, $Rx_values[np.argmin(Energy)]/np.pi$, "* $pi\n"$) Before applying any classical optimizer, to get a feel about how the expectation value changes with the variational parameter, lets plot the print("Simulating without noise:") In [11]: plot loss function (H, num points = 64)#define noise model noise model = create noise model() print("Simulating with noise:") plot loss function(H, Noise = True, Noise model = noise model, num points = 64) Simulating without noise: 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00 -0.25-0.50-0.75-1.000 1 5 6 Variational parameter $R_x\theta$ Simulation results Minimum Energy: -1.0, at R x = 1.015873015873016 * pi Simulating with noise: 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00 -0.25-0.50-0.75-1.00Variational parameter $R_x\theta$ Simulation results Minimum Energy: -0.9788768766239904, at R x = 0.9841269841269842 * pi 2 things to notice: i. Without noise, we get the almost accurate result of -1, but with noise our result is slightly off. But nonetheless, workable. ii. In both scenarios, the Energy vs $R_x\theta$ is a convec function, with a well defined minima. So we can safely apply any optimizer to reach that minima, within a certain tolerance. Now, lets try with an optimizer and formally solve this problem with vqe. In [12]: #initialize our optimizer $max_iter = 500$ tol = 1e-4opt = "POWELL" optimizer = initialize optimizer(max iter, tol, Optimizer=opt) results = vqe(H, shots=128, Noise=True, Noise model=noise model, Optimizer=optimizer) print("Simulating with "+opt+" optimizer, with a tolerance of : "+str(tol)+" \n ") print("Simulation results \n ----- \n Minimum Energy: ", results[1], r", at R x = ", results[0]/np.pi, "* pi, After ", results[2], " iterations\n") Simulating with POWELL optimizer, with a tolerance of: 0.0001 Simulation results Minimum Energy: -1.0, at $R_x = [0.99171501] * pi$, After 65 iterations In [13]: print("So the minimum eigenvalue of the matrix \n\n", H, "\n\nis: ", results[1], "with an error of : ", np.abs((results[1] - min(w))/min(w))*100, "%\n") So the minimum eigenvalue of the matrix [[1 0 0 0] [0 0 -1 0] [0 -1 0 0] $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]]$ is : -1.0 with an error of : 0.0 %