

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.0







Multi-

stakeholder

			A	\udit	Details					
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on System)		ZC: 407	000195		Sedex Site R (only available System)			ZS: 407	70004	170
Business name (Cname):	Company	Williams	s Sweaters Lt	d						
Site name:		Williams	s Sweaters Lt	d						
Site address: (Please include ful	l address)		oari, Dhamsc , Savar, Dhal		Country:			Bangl	ades	h
Site contact and	l job title:	Md. Ra	himuddin Kh	ando	ker (Managei	r – HR, ,	Admir	ı & Cor	nplia	nce)
Site phone:		+88017	11313939		Site e-mail:			zobaiı	r@will	iamsbd.email
SMETA Audit Typ	ePillars:	∑ Labo Standa		⊠ ⊦ Safe	lealth & ety	☐ En	vironn	nent		Business Ethics
Date of Audit:										
Audit EUROCERT	INSPECTION ** EUR * CER **		-			aid for k ease rei	oy the o move f	ner (pa custome for Sede weater	er of ti x uplo	
			Audit	Cond	ducted By					
Commercial	\boxtimes		Purchaser				Retaile	er		
Brand owner			NGO				Trade	Union		

Combined Audit (select all that apply)



Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.0 April 2017 was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - · Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.



SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these "Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code" shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size):

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all

interviewers): Lead auditor: Team auditor:

Interviewers: Report writer: Report reviewer:

Audit Company Report Reference:

Date of declaration:

None

Sk. Alamgir Hossain & Md.

Rasheduzzaman Sk. Alamgir Hossain Md. Rasheduzzaman

Sk. Alamgir Hossain & Md.

Rasheduzzaman Sk. Alamgir Hossain Md. Rasheduzzaman

EU-BD-R-1906 22nd May, 2019

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post–audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.



Audit Parameters

	Audit Parameters		
A: Time in and time out	Day 1 Time in: 08.20 Day 1 Time out: 18.10	Day 2 Time in: Nil Day 2 Time out: Nil	Day 3 Time in: Nil Day 3 Time out: Nil
B: Number of auditor days used:	2 (Two auditors in one o	day)	
C: Audit type:	Full Initial Periodic Full Follow–up Partial Follow–Up Partial Other If other, please define:		
D: Was the audit announced?	☐ Announced ☑ Semi – announced: ☐ Unannounced	Window detail: we	eks
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	Yes No If No, why not		
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	Yes No If Yes , please capture of	detail in appropriate a	udit by clause
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)	Md. Rahimuddin Khand Compliance)	doker (Manager – HR,	Admin &
H: Is further information available (if yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ☑ No		
I: Previous audit date:	N/A		
J: Previous audit type:	N/A		
K: Were any previous audits reviewed for this audit	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A		
Audit attendance	Management	Worker Representativ	es
	Senior management	Worker Committee representatives	Union representatives
A: Present at the opening meeting?	⊠ Yes□ No	⊠ Yes□ No	☐ Yes⊠ No



B: Present at the audit?	⊠ Yes□ No	⊠ Yes□ No	⊠ Yes□ No
C: Present at the closing meeting?	⊠ Yes□ No	⊠ Yes□ No	⊠ Yes□ No
D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why(only complete if no worker reps present)	N/A		
E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)	No trade union was fo	rmed in the factory.	

Guidance:

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more "balanced" audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing



new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).



Corrective Action Plan

			Correc	ctive Action Plan –	non-compliar	nces			
Non- Complianc e Number The reference number of the non- compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow- up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non- Compliance Details of Non- Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non-compliance, and the system change to prevent reoccurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90, 180, 365)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non- compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/Closed or comment
02: Freedom of Association and Right to Collective Bargaining are Respected NC. 01		Noted through workers interview, management interview and documents review that factory management have formed a participation committee through selection process instead	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details: Lack of awareness	It is recommended that facility shall form the participation committee through election process and make sure the workers are well aware of this committee.	120 Days	Desktop	Md. Rahimuddin Khandoker (Manager – HR, Admin & Compliance)		



of election process. Moreover workers were not aware of the committee and their responsibilities. ☐ Training 120 Days Desktop Md. 03: Health Noted through It is ☐ Systems and Safety management Rahimuddin recommended ☐ Costs that facility interview and Khandoker NC. 01 □ lack of document shall ensure (Manager – review that 03 of HR, Admin & workers legal Other -03 electricians operatina Compliance) did not have please give License of the legal operating electrician details: Lack license from of awareness from concern concern authority. authority. ☐ Training 03: Health It was noted that It is 60 Days Desktop Md. and Safety factory ☐ Systems Rahimuddin has recommended Costs conducted risk that facility Khandoker NC: 02 ☐ lack of shall identify assessment but (Manager – workers the potential they didn" t HR, Admin & Other – risks of all areas identify the Compliance) specific hazard please give and of Bonded Ware details: communicate with workers House, with **Accessories** Store. Electric preventative & Boiler, Electrical corrective Distribution measures. Board. Sub



	Station, Wastage Godown, Medical Room, Dining Hall & Canteen through risk assessment. And also noted that facility management did not provide training or communicate identified work place and occupational risk with relevant section" s workers effectively.						
03: Health and Safety NC. 03	It was noted through management interview and record review that the facility is arranging emergency evacuation drill regularly but the nearby Fire Service Station of the factory was not informed minimum 15 days	Training Systems Costs lack of workers Other – please give details: Lack of awareness	It is recommended that facility shall inform to the FSCD at least 15 days prior to the drill day.	60 Days	Desktop	Md. Rahimuddin Khandoker (Manager – HR, Admin & Compliance)	



before the drills are held. ☐ Training 60 Days Desktop 03: Health It was noted It is Md. and Safety ☐ Systems Rahimuddin through facility recommended ☐ Costs that facility tour that aisles Khandoker NC. 04 shall ensure were found (Manager missing at workers HR, Admin & aisles are ☐ Other – Knitting marked in all Compliance) Inspection Room please give reauired (Shed-01), details: Lack sections of the Accessories Store of awareness factory. (Shed-02) and Wash Section (Building No. 01). 03: Health It was noted ☐ Training It is 30 Days Desktop Md. through facility ☐ Systems and Safety Rahimuddin recommended ☐ Costs tour that aisles that facility Khandoker □ lack of NC. 05 were found shall ensure (Manager – blocked by workers aisles are free HR, Admin & ☐ Other – in all areas of Compliance) aoods at winding section please give the factory. on shed-01 and details: Lack packing section of awareness on building-01. ☐ Training 03: Health It was noted It is 30 Days Desktop Md. and Safety through facility ☐ Systems Rahimuddin recommended Costs tour that 07 of 07 that facility Khandoker ☐ lack of NC. 06 workers were not shall ensure all (Manager using ear plug at workers workers are HR, Admin & winding section Other -Compliance) using required and please give PPE. approximately details: Lack



40% workers of awareness were not usina ear plug in jacquard section. ☐ Training 30 Days Desktop 03: Health It was noted It is Md. and Safety through facility ☐ Systems Rahimuddin recommended Costs tour that pulley that facility Khandoker □ lack of cover was found shall ensure NC. 07 (Manager missing with workers the pulley HR, Admin & Other covers with all approximately Compliance) 40% of the linking please give required machines. details: Lack machines. of awareness 05: Livina Noted through ☐ Training It is 30 Days Desktop Md. Wages and management ☐ Systems Rahimuddin recommended interview, ☐ Costs Benefits that facility Khandoker □ lack of NC. 01 shall provide workers interview (Manager – workers pay slip to the HR, Admin & and document Other review that the workers. Compliance) factory does not please give details: provide pay slip to the workers. Lack of awareness Regular ☐ Training Ιt 60 Days 08: It was noted Desktop Md. employment ☐ Systems Rahimuddin through recommended ☐ Costs is provided ID that Khandoker employees facility 01 review, lack of card management (Manager should provide HR, Admin & employee and workers \times Other -Identity Card management Compliance) to all workers interview that please give



workers details: approximately 30% workers did not have identity card.	as per law prescribed format.		
--	-------------------------------	--	--

		Corrective Action Plan – Observa	tions	
Observation Number The reference number of the observation from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new observation identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Observation Details of Observation	Root cause (completed by the site)	Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX)
Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

	Good examples	
Good example Number The reference number of the non- compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments
05: Living Wages and Benefits	The facility provides attendance bonus to the workers as per the company policy.	Documents Review & Workers" interview



Confirmation

B: Auditor Signature: Alamgir Hossain Title: Lead Auditor Date: 22nd May, 2019 C: Please indicate below if you, the site management, dispute any of the findings. No need to complete D-E, if no disputes. D: I dispute the following numbered non-compliances: E: Signed: (If any entry in box D, please complete a signature on this line) Title Date	A: Site Representative Signature:	Md. Rahimuddin Khandoker	Title: Manager – HR, Admin & Compliance Date: 22 nd May, 2019
C: Please indicate below if you, the site management, dispute any of the findings. No need to complete D-E, if no disputes. D:I dispute the following numbered non-compliances: E: Signed: (If any entry in box D, please complete a signature on this line) Title Date	B: Auditor Signature:	Alamgir Hossgin	
D:I dispute the following numbered non-compliances: E: Signed: (If any entry in box D, please complete a signature on this line) Title Date			Date: 22 nd May, 2019
a signature on this line)			need to complete D-E, if no disputes.
W " Consequents	D:l dispute the following numbered non-		
F: Any other site Comments:	D: I dispute the following numbered non- E: Signed: (If any entry in box D, please complete		Title



Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity /procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.





For more information visit: <a>Sedexglobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d

Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP