Poverty and Economic Decision-Making

Gov 50 - Section 4

Introduction

Do changes in one's financial circumstances affect one's decision-making process and cognitive capacity? In an experimental study, researchers randomly selected a group of US respondents to be surveyed before their payday and another group to be surveyed after their payday. Under this design, the respondents of the Before Payday group are more likely to be financially strained than those of the After Payday group. The researchers were interested in investigating whether or not changes in people's financial circumstances affect their decision making and cognitive performance. Other researchers have found that scarcity induce an additional mental load that impedes cognitive capacity. This exercise is based on:

Carvalho, Leandro S., Meier, Stephen, and Wang, Stephanie W. (2016). "Poverty and economic decision-making: Evidence from changes in financial resources at payday." *American Economic Review*, Vol. 106, No. 2, pp. 260-284.

In this study, the researchers administered a number of decision-making and cognitive performance tasks to the Before Payday and After Payday groups. We focus on the *numerical stroop task*, which measures cognitive control. In general, taking more time to complete this task indicates less cognitive control and reduced cognitive ability. They also measured the amount of cash the respondents have, the amount in their checking and saving accounts, and the amount of money spent. The data set is in the CSV file poverty.csv. The names and descriptions of variables are given below:

Name	Description
treatment	Treatment conditions: Before Payday and After Payday
cash	Amount of cash respondent has on hand
accts_amt	Amount in checking and saving accounts
stroop_time	Log-transformed average response time for cognitive stroop test
income_less20k	Binary variable: 1 if respondent earns less than $20\mathrm{k}$ a year and 0 otherwise

Question 1: Summary Statistics

First, read in the experiment's data from poverty.csv into an object named dat, using read_csv().

We may think that a respondent's financial situation is important in this experiment. Let's get some summary statistics for cash and accts_mt to help us understand these variables better.

Use the summary() function to learn about the distribution of cash on hand and money in the bank in our sample. Instead of using the pipe |>, be sure to use the \$ to tell R which column you want to summarize. You can use the following format: summary(dataset\$variable)

Then, use the group_by() and summarize() functions to look at the mean(), median(), and sd() (standard deviation) of the cash variable, for the two groups (Before Payday and After Payday).

What do you notice about these variables that may be important for the study? Do you think the mean or the median is a better summary statistic of the central tendency of these variables? And is there significant variation in the spread before and after payday?

Question 2: Proportion table

Now let's look at the outcome variable stroop_time. Use case_when to make a new variable called stroop_3way that breaks the continuous variable stroop_time into three categories: under 7 seconds, between 7 and 7.5 seconds, and more than 7.5 seconds. Then create a pivot table that shows the proportions of the sample that fall into these three categories.

• Hint: Create a table of counts by first mutating to create the stroop_3way variable for the groups, then use group_by() and summarize() to get a new column named count with the counts for each group. Then use mutate() to add a column of proportions and select(-count) to drop the count column, so we're just left with proportions. Finally, use pivot_wider() to get the final pivot table.

Question 3: Examining and plotting variables with multiple groupings

Next let's look at the distribution of cash on hand by treatment status and income.

First, use mutate() to create a new variable called income_less20k_rec that recodes the current income variable income_less20k to have more informative values. Replace the numerical values from income_less20k with "Lower income" and "Higher income" for this new variable. Save this variable into the dataset (keep the name dat). Then create a table that shows average cash on hand for each combination of the income indicator and the treatment assignment.

Then create a bar plot of the data in the table using ggplot. The y-axis should show cash on hand and the x-axis should show the income indicator. The plot should contain double bars, with one bar corresponding to before payday and one bar corresponding to after payday. Make sure to set informative labels and a title too!

• Hint: To create the double bars, use geom_col(position = "dodge"). You will also have to set the fill() argument in the aes() function in order to specify that there should be separate bars for before/after payday.

Question 4: Average Treatment Effect

Now let's calculate the Average Treatment Effect (ATE), both overall and then within income groups, using the same \$20k income cutoff as Question 3. What is the effect of payday on stroop test results? Do these effects change when looking at higher and lower income groups?

• Hint: We will use group_by(), summarize(), pivot_wider(), and mutate() to find the ATE both times.

Question 5: Missing data

Now let's look to see how our average treatment effect and case count (how many observations we have) changes based on what we decide to do with missing data.

First make a table that shows the mean outcome and case count for stroop time by treatment status.

Then replicate that table but first drop all cases that have missing values using drop_na().

Finally, replicate the table again, but this time drop only cases that have missing values for the cash variable (you will want to use a combination of filter() and is.na()). You will need to use the ! operator to filter your data down to the set of observations for which cash is **not** missing. Remember - we can use the ! operator to negate logical statements (e.g., != means "not equal to").

Compare the averages for Before Payday and After Payday and the accompanying case counts across the three tables. What do you notice?

Question 6: BONUS QUESTION - Examining central tendency, range and spread by subgroup

Let's take another look at the distribution of financial resources by income level. Create a table that groups by the low income indicator variable income_less20k. Look at the table at the beginning of this activity to get more information about this variable. This indicator variable should be in the rows and you should have the following summary statistics for accts_amt in the columns:

- mean
- median
- standard deviation
- minimum value
- maximum value

After creating the table, plot the distribution of accts_amt for each income group using geom_histogram() and facet_wrap(). Add vertical lines for the mean and median on each graph using geom_vline() - be sure to make the mean and median lines different colors.

Does the table or the plot give you more detailed information about the distribution of accts_amt? When might it be helpful to provide more detailed information and when might it be helpful to provide less detailed information to your audience?