Through Student Eyes: Assessing Their Ability to Evaluate Human and Al-generated Formative Feedback

Example of the task, authentic student response, and variants of feedback for two courses.

Task (Example in English)

Let \$x\$ be a real number. Prove that if \$x^2\$ is irrational, then \$x\$ is irrational using:

- 1) a proof by contraposition
- 2) a proof by contradiction

Detail the two proofs in a way that shows that you understood the difference between a proof by contraposition and a proof by contradiction.

Response

1. CONTRAPOSITION

If x^2 is rational, then x is rational.

- 1. x is rational
- 2. x = 2k, k is rational
- 3. $x^2 = 4k^2 = 2*(2k^2)$. Let's say that $k' = 2k^2$
- 4. $x^2 = 2k'$
- 5. x = sqrt(2k) = sqrt(2)*k

but sqrt(2) isn't rational so k is not rational. It means that x is not rational, then x^2 is not rational either. Therefore x is irrational and so is x^2 .

2. CONTRADICTION

By contradiction, we suppose that x^2 is rational. It means that there exists two integers, a and b such that x = a/b. We then suppose that a and b have no factors in common. Then we obtain $x^2 = a^2/b^2$ it implies that $x^2 * b^2 = a^2$. Or, if b is even, then so is b^2 and so is a^2 . Same if b is odd. So there is a contradiction and there can't be any positive integers a and b such that $x^2 = a^2/b^2$, and therefore x is irrational.

Human feedback	Al feedback
In your contraposition attempt, your	You've shown good understanding of how
understanding of what constitutes a	to approach proofs. However, in the
rational number appears flawed. A	contraposition proof, your steps 2 and 3
rational number is expressed as the	aren't clear - specifically your assumption
quotient of two integers, not just as a	that if x is rational then x=2k.
product involving an integer. Your step "x =	
2k, k is rational" doesn't necessarily	Contraposition implies proving that if x is
ensure x is rational.	rational (i.e., x=a/b), then x^2 is rational. In
	the contradiction proof, while you made a
	good start by assuming x^2 as rational and

In the contradiction approach, the logic connecting the evenness of b to a^2 isn't sufficiently explained, leading to an incorrect conclusion. Both methods require a clearer understanding of rational numbers and a more rigorous application of the respective proof techniques.

Your assumptions are unfortunately also nor right. For the contraposition you don't want to prove that "If x^2 is rational, then xis rational." but the inverse that "If x is rational, then x^2 is rational.". In proposition you say that p --> q is equivalent to NOT q --> NOT p. So just proving that x rational implies that x^2 is also rational is enough to prove that x^2 irrational implies x irrational. For the contradiction proof, your assumption is also not right. You don't want to prove that if x^2 irrational then x rational leads to a contradiction, but x^2 irrational AND x rational leads to a contradiction. The logic behind it is that what at the end you want to prove is that "x^2 irrational --> x irrational" and this is FALSE only if x^2 irrational is TRUE and x irrational is FALSE and thus by proving that x^2 irrational AND x rational is always FALSE then you prove that "x^2 irrational --> x irrational" is always TRUE because it can never be FALSE. That is the proof by contradiction

deriving a contradiction for it, there's confusion when you mention "even" and "odd". Remember we're considering irrationality or rationality here and not parity. Keep going!

Task (Example in French)

Dans cet exercice, nous souhaitons implémenter un algorithme pour chiffrer un message en mélangeant les lettres de ce message selon la règle suivante: Soit \$m = c_{1}c_{1}\losses chiffrer contenant \$n\$ caractères, alors le message chiffré contient également \$n\$ caractères et s'obtient en prenant la première lettre de \$m\$, puis la dernière, puis la seconde, puis l'avant-dernière, et ainsi de suite jusqu'à ce que toutes les lettres de \$m\$ soient ajoutées dans le message chiffré.

Par exemple:

Si le message initial est bonjour, alors le message chiffré est brounoj;

Si le message initial est examen, alors le message chiffré est enxeam.

On attire votre attention sur l'importance de la parité du nombre de caractères dans le mot à chiffrer.

- a) Écrivez une fonction encrypt(s: str) -> str qui prend en paramètre le message en clair s et le chiffre selon l'algorithme décrit plus haut.
- b) Écrivez une fonction decrypt(s: str) -> str qui prend en paramètre le message chiffré s et le déchiffre en sachant que la méthode de chiffrement est celle décrite plus haut.

Response

```
a) def encrypt(s: str) -> str:
    mot_chiffre : List[str] = []
    x = 0
    y = len(s)

while x != y :
    m = s.index[x]
    m = s.index[y]
    mot_chiffre.extend[m, n]

    x += 1
    y = y - 1
    if x==y :
        returne : mot_chiffre
```

Human feedback

Bonne logique, mais code légèrement incomplet. Initialisation correcte mais gestions des cas limites pas pris en compte. Faites attention aux fonctions utilisées sur les strings, elle n'existent pas vraiment ou s'utilisent pas exactement

Al feedback

Il semble que vous ayez compris le concept de l'algorithme. Cependant, votre code contient plusieurs erreurs. Pour la fonction `encrypt`, les indices sont mal utilisés (vous avez écrit `s.index[x]` au lieu de `s[x]`), l'extension du mot chiffré est

comme cela, je conseillerai une révision de méthodes des strings pour la suite!	incorrecte et `returne` n'est pas une commande valide en Python (`return`). Pour la fonction `decrypt`, vous n'avez rien fait. Essayez d'utiliser la solution donnée comme guide pour corriger vos
	erreurs. Continuez à travailler dur!