

Type Inferencing in Functional Languages

Emily Ashworth, Tanya Bouman, Tonye Fiberesima

001402976, 001416669, 001231043

ashworel, boumante, fiberet

April 9, 2018

1 Introduction

Type inferencing can be referred to as the process of analyzing type information in a program based on the use of some of its variables at compile time. [1] Many advanced languages, such as Swift [2] and Haskell [3], allow programmers to skip defining the types of variables, by doing type inferencing at compile time. Others, like Python, also allow the programmer to avoid defining the type of a variable, but these types are dynamic [4], and therefore not the topic of the current report. The most common type of type inferencing that languages use is the Hindley-Milner type system, which has two main implementations, Algorithm W and Algorithm M.

1.1 History

The original type inference algorithm was invented by Haskell Curry and Robert Feys in 1958 for the simply typed lambda calculus. In 1968, Roger Hendley worked on extending the algorithm and proved that it always produced the most general type. In 1978, Robin Milner solely developed an equivalent algorithm called Algorithm W while working on designing ML and in 1985 Luis Damas proved that Milner's algorithm was complete and extended it to support polymorphic references. [5] Algorithm M derived from Algorithm W was not formally presented until 1998 by Oukseh Lee and

Kwangkeun Yi [6]. These algorithms are called the Hindely-Milner type inference algorithms were Algorithm W is the standard algorithm that works bottom to top which means that if a syntax tree were built from a piece of code, types would be inferred starting from the bottom while Algorithm M is the exact inverse of Algorithm W. Types are inferred from the top of the syntax tree down.

2 Our Work

To do our work, we have created a toy language, and rules for that language, that demonstrate type inferencing with Algorithm M. We chose to use Algorithm M because Algorithm W is the more popular and more commonly used algorithm and also Algorithm M always finds type errors earlier by considering a less number of expressions than Algorithm W as proposed by Oukseh Lee and Kwangkeun Yi [6]. We would implement Algorithm M with our toy language to demonstrate how type inferencing works in functional programming.

2.1 Our Toy Language

The toy language for the purpose of this project is a small portion of Haskell, allowing only the types `Int`, `Bool`, `String` and functions on those types. Later on in this report, we extend the language to also include type variables, but these are not constrained by type classes.

2.2 Type Inferencing Rules

2.3 Inferencing

Let's assume that a parser has already produced a syntax tree, of type `Expression`, as given below. The `Type` that is given from the parser is the type given in the annotation. The annotation might or might not be correct. The type inferencing algorithm will detect that.

```
-- these are the currently available types;
```

```

-- this might be expanded
data Type = TString
          | TInteger
          | TBool
          | Unknown
          | TFunc Type Type
          deriving (Eq, Ord)

data Expression = Var String Type
                | IntLiteral Int Type
                | StringLiteral String Type
                | BoolLiteral Bool Type
                | EFunc String Expression Type
                | Application Expression Expression Type
                deriving (Eq, Ord)

```

Pretty printing the language is necessary in order to give proper errors that relate back to the actual code.

```

instance Show Expression where
  show (IntLiteral int typ) =
    case typ of
      Unknown -> show int
      _ -> show int ++ "␣::␣" ++ show typ
  show (StringLiteral str typ) =
    case typ of
      Unknown -> show str
      _ -> show str ++ "␣::␣" ++ show typ
  show (BoolLiteral bl typ) =
    case typ of
      Unknown -> show bl
      _ -> show bl ++ "␣::␣" ++ show typ
  show (Var str typ) =
    case typ of

```

```

    Unknown -> str
    _ -> str ++ "␣::␣" ++ show typ
show (EFunc str exp typ) =
  let
    funStr = "(\\" ++ str ++ "␣->␣" ++ show exp ++ ")
              "
  in
  case typ of
    Unknown -> funStr
    _ -> funStr ++ "␣::␣" ++ show typ
show (Application e1 e2 typ) =
  case typ of
    Unknown -> show e1 ++ "␣" ++ show e2
    _ -> "(" ++ show e1 ++ "␣" ++ show e2 ++ ")␣::␣"
        ++ show typ

instance Show Type where
  show TString = "String"
  show TInteger = "Int"
  show TBool = "Bool"
  show Unknown = "?"
  show (TFunc t1 t2) = show t1 ++ "␣->␣" ++ show t2

```

3 Basic Type Inference

This is inference with \mathcal{M}

This would be a more interesting function if there were type variables, but for now, it just checks that the two types match.

```

unify :: Type -> Type -> Either String Type
unify Unknown t = Right t
unify t Unknown = Right t

```

```

unify (TFunc a b) (TFunc c d) =
  TFunc <$> (unify a c) <*> (unify b d)
unify s t =
  if s == t
  then Right s
  else Left $ "Error:␣could␣not␣match␣type␣" ++ show s
    ++ "␣with␣" ++ show t

```

First we infer the types of constant literals. These are quite simple. If the type signature given is an integer or if there is no type signature, we simply return integer as the type. Otherwise there is an error.

```

type TypeEnv = M.Map String Type

```

```

infer :: TypeEnv -> Expression -> Either String Type
infer env e@(IntLiteral i typ) = unify TInteger typ
infer env e@(BoolLiteral b typ) = unify TBool typ
infer env e@(StringLiteral s typ) = unify TString typ

```

Next we move on to the variable case. If the variable is defined and the type matches the current expected type, then we return that matching type. If the variable is not defined or the type does not match, there is an error. Note that the parser should have already found the error if the variable did not exist in the

```

infer env e@(Var v typ) =
  case M.lookup v env of
    Nothing -> Left ("Variable␣not␣in␣scope:␣" ++ show e
      )
    Just t -> unify t typ

```

Inferencing on functions is more interesting because the argument of the function needs to be made available in the context for the body of the function.

```

infer env e@(EFunc v exp typ) =
  case unify typ (TFunc Unknown Unknown) of
    Right (TFunc fin fout) ->

```

```

      TFunc fin <$> (infer (M.insert v fin env) exp)
    _ -> Left $ "Not a function:" ++ show e

infer env e@(Application e1 e2 typ) = do
  e1type <- infer env e1
  e2type <- infer env e2
  (TFunc fin fout) <- unify e1type (TFunc Unknown typ)
  inType <- unify e2type fin
  unify typ fout

```

Here are examples.

1. A literal '5' with type Int.

```

let example1 = IntLiteral 5 TInteger
let test1 = infer M.empty example1

```

Unsurprisingly, this returns the type `TInteger`, since both the value of the literal and the type signature of the literal indicate that it is an integer.

2. A literal '5' with type Bool.

```

let example2 = IntLiteral 5 TBool
let test2 = infer M.empty example2

```

This, on the other hand, produces an error, because the value '5' cannot have type `TBool`.

3. Now we move beyond checking whether or not the type signature is correct, to inferring a type when the signature is missing. Lets check with a literal '5' and type Unknown

```

let example3 = IntLiteral 5 Unknown
let test3 = infer M.empty example3

```

It produces the type `TInteger` which is the correct type for the literal 5

4. Also, let's consider inferring a type when we have a bool literal 'True' with type Unknown

```
let example4 = BoolLiteral True Unknown
let test4 = infer M.empty example4
```

As before, here it produces the type `TBool` which is the correct type for the literal `True`

5. Lastly in inferring a type, we can consider when we have a `String` literal with type `Unknown`

```
let example5 = StringLiteral "Hello␣world" Unknown
let test5 = infer M.empty example5
```

It produces the type `TString` which is the correct type for the string literal `'Hello World'`

6. A string literal `'Hi there'` with type `Bool`

```
let example6 = StringLiteral "Hi␣there" TBool
let test6 = infer M.empty example6
```

As expected this would produce an error because we have a string literal which is of type `TString` instead of `TBool`

7. A function with type `Unknown`

```
let example7 = EFunc "x" (BoolLiteral False
    Unknown) Unknown
let test7 = infer M.empty example7
```

8. A function application with type `Unknown`

```
let example8 = Application example7 (IntLiteral 5
    Unknown) Unknown
let test8 = infer M.empty example8
```

9. The function here has the type `Unknown -> Unknown`. Since we are not yet supporting type variables, this result does not tell us that the two `Unknown`'s are the same, so further type inferencing in the next step will not tell us that the result of the application is an `Int`.

```
example9 = EFunc "x" (Var "x" Unknown) Unknown
test9 = infer M.empty example9
```

```
10. example10 = Application example9 (IntLiteral 10
    Unknown) Unknown
```

However, the `Show` instance will be different.

```
instance Show Type where
  show TString = "String"
  show TInteger = "Int"
  show TBool = "Bool"
  show (TVar v1) = v1
  show Unknown = "?"
  show (TFunc t1 t2) = show t1 ++ "→" ++ show t2
```

Now, we continue on again with `unify` and `infer`. This time `unify` is much more interesting, because it has to deal with type variables. Only the added cases for type variables are shown.

```
unify :: Type -> Type -> Either String Type
```

The only case that changes for `infer` is the function case and the application, because there is now the option of giving the type `a -> a` to a function. It is not necessary to consider type variables for variable expressions, since the type of a variable must be determined somewhere by a literal. The inference cases for literals are the same as the previous ones.

Additionally, the type environment now much include information about type variables. Type variables are only defined within the context of a specific function, not for the entire program.

```
data TypeEnv = TypeEnv (M.Map String Type) (M.Map String
    Type)
```

To infer the type of a function, we get available type variables and assign those to the argument and output of the function. After unification, those types might still be variables, or they might be a specific type. Otherwise the inference continues in the same manner as before.


```

infer env@(TypeEnv typs vars) e@(EFunc v exp typ) = --
  first get a fresh type variable
let
  nextVar :: String -> String
  nextVar (v:vs) =
    case M.lookup (v:vs) vars of
      Nothing -> v:vs
      _ -> nextVar ((succ v):vs)
  var1 = nextVar "a"
  var2 = nextVar var1
in
case unify typ (TFunc (TVar var1) (TVar var2)) of
  Right (TFunc fin fout) ->
    let
      newVars = M.insert var1 fin $ M.insert var2 fout
              vars
      newTypes = M.insert v fin typs
    in
      TFunc fin <$> (infer (TypeEnv newTypes newVars)
                      exp)
  _ -> Left $ "Not a function: " ++ show e

```

Similarly, for the application of a function, the argument to the function might indicate a more specific type to the output, so we keep track of that in the type variable environment.

```

infer env@(TypeEnv vs tvars) e@(Application e1 e2 typ) =
  do
    e1type <- infer env e1
    (TFunc fin fout) <- unify e1type (TFunc Unknown typ)
    e2type <- infer env e2
    inType <- unify e2type fin
    unify typ fout

```

Here is the code which runs the examples given below. It prints out each

example and then the type of the entire example.

Here are examples.

1.

```
let example15 = EFunc "x" (Var "x" Unknown)
    Unknown
let test15 = infer (TypeEnv M.empty M.empty)
    example15
```
2.

```
let example16 = EFunc "x" (IntLiteral 5 Unknown)
    Unknown
let test16 = infer (TypeEnv M.empty M.empty)
    example16
```
3. Making a function of two arguments in this toy language requires making a function which returns another function. In this case, the function looks like:

$(\lambda x \rightarrow (\lambda y \rightarrow x))$

in which x and y are both arguments.

```
let example17 = EFunc "x" (EFunc "y" (Var "x"
    Unknown) Unknown) Unknown
let test17 = infer (TypeEnv M.empty M.empty)
    example17
```

4 Conclusion

To conclude, type inferencing is a very interesting feature in the programming world. It uses Algorithms M or W to infer the types of variables when undeclared at compile time, thus enabling the programmer to be faster. Our example toy language shows how Algorithm M and the type inferencing system work. In the future, it would be interesting to see if type inferencing could be applied across all languages.

References

- [1] D. Duggan and F. Bent, “Explaining type inference,” *Science of Computer Programming*, vol. 27, no. 1, p. 3783, 1996.
- [2] “The swift programming language (swift 4.1) - types.” Updated: 2018-02-20.
- [3] “The haskell programming language - type inference.” Modified: 29 November 2007.
- [4] “What is python? executive summary.” Accessed: March 21, 2018.
- [5] K. D. LEE, *FOUNDATIONS OF PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES*. SPRINGER INTERNATIONAL PU, 2018.
- [6] O. Lee and K. Yi, “Proofs about a folklore let-polymorphic type inference algorithm,” *ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.*, vol. 20, pp. 707–723, July 1998.