## PDE Homework #6

李阳 11935018

June 1, 2020

**Problem 1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , c and q nonnegative smooth functions. Assume  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\delta < c(\mathbf{x}) < \delta^{-1}$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ . Consider the real-valued variable-coefficient wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \nabla \cdot (c^2(\mathbf{x})\nabla u) + q(\mathbf{x})u = 0, u(0, \mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}), u_t(0, \mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$
(1)

with homogoneous Neumann boundary condition

$$\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla u(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega,$$

where  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$  denotes the outward unit normal. Multiply the equation by  $u_t$  and apply the integration-byparts formula to find the energy corresponding to this problem and prove that the energy so defined is conserved, assuming  $u \in \mathcal{C}^2$  with compact support for any time t.

*Proof.* Multiplying the wave equation by  $u_t$  and integrating over  $\Omega$  yields

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t u_{tt} d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega} u_t \nabla \cdot (c^2 \nabla u) d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} u_t q u = 0.$$

Use the fact that  $\frac{1}{2}(u_t^2)_t = u_t u_{tt}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}(u^2)_t = u u_t$  and integrate by part, and we have

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} u_t^2 \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{q}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} u^2 \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} c^2 \nabla u \cdot (\nabla u)_t \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \int_{\partial \Omega} u_t c^2 \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} \mathrm{d}S$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 + q u^2 + c^2 ||\nabla u||^2 \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \right),$$

where the second equality follows by applying the boundary condition. If we define the energy E(t) by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 + qu^2 + c^2 ||\nabla u||^2 d\mathbf{x},$$

the above argument shows that  $E'(t) \equiv 0$ , and therefore the energy E(t) is conserved.

**Problem 2.** Prove the following finite speed of propagation property for problem (1), with homogeneous Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions. If f = g = 0 in  $B_1(\mathbf{0}) \subset \Omega$ , then there exists a region in  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$  where u vanishes identically.

*Proof.* First we introduce some notations for convenience. Denote the ball  $B_t$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$  by

$$B_t = \{(\mathbf{x}, t) | ||\mathbf{x}|| \le 1 - t\},\$$

and the cone  $K(\mathbf{0},1)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$  by

$$K(\mathbf{0}, 1) = \bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} B_t = \{(\mathbf{x}, t) | 0 \le t \le 1, ||\mathbf{x}|| \le 1 - t\}.$$

Define the energy e(t) by

$$e(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_t} u_t^2 + qu^2 + c^2 ||\nabla u||^2 d\mathbf{x},$$

compute that

$$\begin{split} e'(t) &= \int_{B_t} u_t u_{tt} + q u u_t + c^2 \nabla u \cdot (\nabla u)_t \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_t} u_t^2 + q u^2 + c^2 \|\nabla u\|^2 \mathrm{d}S \\ &= \int_{B_t} u_t \nabla \cdot (c^2 \nabla u) + c^2 \nabla u \cdot (\nabla u)_t \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_t} u_t^2 + q u^2 + c^2 \|\nabla u\|^2 \mathrm{d}S \\ &= \int_{\partial B_t} u_t (c^2 \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{n} \mathrm{d}S - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_t} u_t^2 + q u^2 + c^2 \|\nabla u\|^2 \mathrm{d}S \\ &\leq \int_{\partial B_t} \frac{1}{2} u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( c^2 \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} \right)^2 \mathrm{d}S - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_t} u_t^2 + q u^2 + c^2 \|\nabla u\|^2 \mathrm{d}S \\ &\leq \int_{\partial B_t} \frac{1}{2} u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|c^2 \nabla u\|^2 \|\mathbf{n}\|^2 \mathrm{d}S - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_t} u_t^2 + q u^2 + c^2 \|\nabla u\|^2 \mathrm{d}S \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_t} q u^2 \mathrm{d}S - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_t} c^2 (1 - c^2) \|\nabla u\|^2 \mathrm{d}S \\ &\leq 0 \end{split}$$

where the second step follows from (1), the third from the integration-by-parts formula, the fourth from Cauchy's inequality, the fifth from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the last from the assumption that  $p(\mathbf{x})$  is nonnegative and  $0 < c(\mathbf{x}) < 1, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ . We have

$$e(0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1(\mathbf{0})} u_t^2 + qu^2 + c^2 \|\nabla u\|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1(\mathbf{0})} g^2 + qf^2 + c^2 \|\nabla f\|^2 d\mathbf{x} = 0,$$

and

$$e(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_t} u_t^2 + qu^2 + c^2 \|\nabla u\|^2 d\mathbf{x} \ge 0$$

e(t) is non-increasing in  $[0,\infty)$  since we have shown that e'(t)<0 for  $t\geq 0$ , and therefore

$$e(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \ge 0 \Rightarrow u \equiv 0 \text{ in } B_t.$$

Consequently,

$$u \equiv 0 \text{ in } K(\mathbf{0}, 1).$$

**Problem 3.** Consider the (uniformly) elliptic operator with (continuous) variable-coefficient

$$L = \sum_{i,j} a^{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \partial_i \partial_j + \sum_i b^i(\mathbf{x}) \partial_i.$$

Assume that  $\exists \mu > 0$  s.t. the matrix  $A - \mu I$  is positive semidefinite, i.e.,

$$\exists \mu > 0 \ s.t. \ \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \xi_i \xi_j \ge \mu \|\xi\|^2.$$

Try to formulate and prove the corresponding weak maximum principle in  $\Omega$ . (Hint: You may want to construct  $\phi = e^{\lambda x_1}$  with  $1 \ll \lambda$ .)

Solution. The following is a reiteration of the classical PDE textbook by Evans.

**Theorem** (Weak maximum principle). Let  $\Omega$  be a connected open bounded set. Assume  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ , if

$$Lu \geq 0$$
 in  $\Omega$ ,

then

$$\max_{\overline{\Omega}} u = \max_{\partial \Omega} u.$$

*Proof.* 1. Let us first suppose we have the strict inequality

$$Lu > 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$
 (2)

and yet there exists a point  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \Omega$  with

$$u(\mathbf{x}_0) = \max_{\overline{O}} u. \tag{3}$$

Now at this maximum point  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , we have

$$Du(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0 \tag{4}$$

and

$$D^2 u(\mathbf{x}_0) \le 0. \tag{5}$$

2. Since the matrix  $A = ((a^{jk}(\mathbf{x}_0)))$  is symmetric and positive definite, there exists an orthogonal matrix  $O = ((o_{ij}))$  so that

$$OAO^T = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n), \quad OO^T = I.$$
 (6)

with  $d_k > 0 (k = 1, ..., n)$ . Write  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_0 + O(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$ . Then  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0 = O^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0)$ , and so

$$u_{x_i} = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{y_k} o_{ki}, \quad u_{x_i x_j} = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{y_k y_l} o_{ki} o_{lj} \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Hence at the point  $\mathbf{x}_0$ ,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij} u_{x_i x_j} = \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij} u_{y_k y_l} o_{ki} o_{lj} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_k u_{y_k y_k} \text{ (by (6))} \le 0,$$
(7)

since  $d_k > 0$  and  $u_{y_k y_k}(\mathbf{x}_0) \le 0 (k = 1, \dots, n)$ , according to (5).

3. Thus at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ 

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a^{ij} u_{x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b^i u_{x_i} \le 0,$$

in light of (4) and (7). So (2) and (3) are incompatible, and we have a contradiction.

4. In the general case, write

$$u^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}) := u(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon e^{\lambda x_1} \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \Omega),$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  will be selected below and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Recall that the uniform ellipticity condition implies  $a^{ii}(\mathbf{x}) \ge \mu(i=1,\ldots,n,\mathbf{x}\in\Omega)$ . Therefore

$$Lu^{\epsilon} = Lu + \epsilon L(e^{\lambda x_1}) > \epsilon e^{\lambda x_1} (\lambda^2 a^{11} + \lambda b^1) > \epsilon e^{\lambda x_1} (\lambda^2 \mu - \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^{\infty}} \lambda) > 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$$

provided we choose  $\lambda > 0$  sufficiently large. Then according to steps 1 and 2 above  $\max_{\overline{\Omega}} u^{\epsilon} = \max_{\partial\Omega} u^{\epsilon}$ . Let  $\epsilon \to 0$  to find  $\max_{\overline{\Omega}} u = \max_{\partial\Omega} u$ . This completes the proof.

**Problem 4.** Consider the (uniformly) elliptic operator with (continuous) variable-coefficient

$$L = \sum_{i,j} a^{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \partial_i \partial_j + \sum_i b^i(\mathbf{x}) \partial_i + c(\mathbf{x})$$

Assume that  $\exists \mu > 0$  s.t. the matrix  $A - \mu I$  is positive semidefinite, i.e.,

$$\exists \mu > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \xi_i \xi_j \ge \mu \|\xi\|^2.$$

Prove the following theorem.

**Theorem** (Weak maximum principle(version 2)). If  $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$  such that

$$Lu \ge 0 \ge c(\mathbf{x})$$
 in  $\Omega$ ,

then

$$\max_{\overline{\Omega}} u \le \max_{\partial \Omega} u^+,$$

where  $u^+(\mathbf{x}) = \max(u(\mathbf{x}), 0)$ .

*Proof.* Set  $V := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega | u(\mathbf{x}) > 0 \}$ . Then

$$Ku := Lu - cu \ge -cu \ge 0$$
 in  $V$ .

The operator K has no zeroth-order term and consequently the theorem in Problem 3 implies

$$\max_{\overline{V}} u = \max_{\partial V} u = \max_{\partial U} u^+.$$

This gives the desired result in the case that  $V \neq \emptyset$ . Otherwise  $u \leq 0$  everywhere in U, and the desired result likewise follows.

**Problem 5.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\delta < c(\mathbf{x}) < \delta^{-1}$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ . Consider

$$u_t - \nabla \cdot (c^2(\mathbf{x})\nabla u) = F \tag{8}$$

with Neumann boundary conditions  $\partial_{\nu}u = f$ , prove uniqueness for the solutions.

*Proof.* First, we introduce some notations.

$$\Omega_T := \Omega \times (0, T], \quad \Gamma_T = \overline{\Omega}_T - \Omega_T.$$

Hence  $\Omega_T$  denotes the parabolic cylinder and  $\Gamma_T$  the parabolic boundary of  $\Omega_T$ . If  $\tilde{u}$  is another solution,  $w := u - \tilde{u}$  solves

$$\begin{cases} w_t - \nabla \cdot (c^2(\mathbf{x})\nabla w) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_T, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_T. \end{cases}$$
(9)

Set

$$e(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} w^2(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x} \quad (0 \le t \le T).$$

Then

$$e'(t) = \int_{\Omega} w w_t d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} w \nabla \cdot (c^2 \nabla w) d\mathbf{x} = -\int_{\Omega} c^2 \|\nabla w\|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\partial \Omega} c^2 w \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= -\int_{\Omega} c^2 \|\nabla w\|^2 d\mathbf{x} \le 0,$$

and so

$$e(t) \le e(0) = 0 \quad (0 \le t \le T).$$

Consequently  $w = u - \tilde{u} \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega_T$ , which shows the uniqueness of the solutions.