

Chapter 2

hw2 12235005 谭焱

3.3 Solution. By substituting the data point $(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 5)$ have

$$Ax = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e \\ 2 & e^2 \\ 3 & e^3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = b$$

3.7 Solution.

- (a) The function $\phi(\mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y}\|_2$ is continuous and coercive on \mathbb{R}^m , so ϕ has a minimum on the closed, unbounded set $\text{span}(\mathbf{A})$, i.e., there is an m -vector $\mathbf{y} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{A})$ closest to \mathbf{b} in the Euclidean norm.
- (b) Suppose \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 are such solutions, and let $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1$. Then since $\mathbf{Ax}_1 = \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Ax}_2$, we have $\mathbf{Az} = \mathbf{0}$. Now if $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_1 \neq \mathbf{x}_2$, then the columns of A must be linearly dependent. We conclude that the solution to an $m \times n$ least squares problem $\mathbf{Ax} \cong \mathbf{b}$ is unique if, and only if, \mathbf{A} has full column rank, i.e., $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = n$.

3.17 Solution. From definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= -\text{sign}(a_1)\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = -2 \\ \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{a} - \alpha\mathbf{e}_1 = [3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]^T \end{aligned}$$

3.20 Solution.

- (a) It's possible to annihilate a_2 with Givens rotation

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (b) It's not possible, since in elimination matrix calculating, $a_2/a_1 = a_2/0$ is meaningless.

3.28 Solution.

(a) Since \mathbf{q}_k is orthogonal, imply $\mathbf{q}_i^T \mathbf{q}_j = 0, i \neq j$

$$\begin{aligned} (I - P_k)(I - P_{k-1}) \dots (I - P_1) &= I - \sum P_m + \sum \mathbf{q}_i(\mathbf{q}_i^T \mathbf{q}_j)\mathbf{q}_j^T(\dots) \\ &= I - \sum P_m + 0 * \sum \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{q}_j^T(\dots) = I - \sum P_m \end{aligned}$$

(b) In the classical Gram-Schmidt procedure

$$\mathbf{q}_k = \mathbf{a}_k - \sum_j (\mathbf{q}_j^T \mathbf{a}_k) \mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{a}_k - \sum_j \mathbf{q}_j (\mathbf{q}_j^T \mathbf{a}_k) = (I - \sum_j P_j) \mathbf{a}_k$$

(c) In the modified Gram-Schmidt procedure, assume $M_j(\mathbf{a}_k) = \mathbf{a}_k - (\mathbf{q}_j^T \mathbf{a}_k) \mathbf{q}_j = (I - P_j) \mathbf{a}_k$

$$\mathbf{q}_k = M_{k-1}(M_{k-1}(\dots M_1(\mathbf{a}_k) \dots)) = (I - P_{k-1})(M_{k-1}(\dots M_1(\mathbf{a}_k) \dots)) = (I - P_{k-1}) \dots (I - P_1) \mathbf{a}_k$$

(d) It's obvious that is same as (a) like

$$\begin{aligned} (I - \sum P_i)(I - \sum P_j) &= I - 2 * \sum P_m + \sum P_m^2 + \sum \mathbf{q}_i(\mathbf{q}_i^T \mathbf{q}_j)\mathbf{q}_j^T(\dots) \\ &= I - 2 * \sum P_m + \sum P_m + 0 * \sum \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{q}_j^T(\dots) = I - \sum P_m \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Solution. Since the matrix is upper triangular matrix, the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors are

$$A \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1v_1 \\ 2v_2 \\ 3v_3 \end{bmatrix} = [1 \ 2 \ 3]^T [v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3] = e^T [v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3]$$

4.14 Solution.

(a) Let $\alpha = 0$ the matrix is lower triangular matrix and eigenvalues is diagonal $[1 \ 2 \ 3]$ which is all real values.

(b) It's impossible that since real matrix eigenvalues has nonzero imaginary part exist as pair. Which is coming from when $a + bi$ and v is eigenvalue and eigenvector, It's easy get $a - bi$ and \bar{v} is another eigenvalue and eigenvector by substituting. However, the matrix have odd eigenvalue that conflicted with all nonzero imaginary part complex eigenvalue.

4.17 Solution. Assuming v is eigenvector of eigenvalue λ . It's easy verify that $A^2 v = A \lambda v = \lambda(Av) = \lambda^2 v$, so λ^2 is A^2 's eigenvalue.

4.22 Solution.

(a)

$$A \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \mathbf{0} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)

$$A \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \mathbf{O} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}\mathbf{u} + A_{12}\mathbf{v} \\ A_{22}\mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix}$$

, we need satisfy $A_{11}\mathbf{u} + A_{12}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{u}$, which is equal to $\mathbf{u} = (A_{11} - \lambda I)^{-1}A_{12}\mathbf{v}$. Since λ is not A_{11} 's eigenvalue, $(A_{11} - \lambda I)^{-1}$ is exist, so λ and $[(A_{11} - \lambda I)^{-1}A_{12}\mathbf{v} \ \mathbf{v}]^T$ is eigenvalue and eigenvector for A .

(c) By result in (b),

$$A_{11}\mathbf{u} + A_{12}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{u}$$

$$A_{22}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}.$$

When $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ we have $A_{22}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$, When $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$, we have $A_{11}\mathbf{u} = \lambda\mathbf{u}$. So λ is eigenvalue of A_{11}, \mathbf{u} or A_{22}, \mathbf{v} .

(d) The sufficiency follows from (a) and (b) while the necessity follows from (c).

4.32 Solution.

(a) Assume a orthogonal basis contain v is $U = [v, u_0, \dots, u_k]$, so $v^T u_i = 0$ means

$$Hv = Iv - 2\frac{vv^T v}{v^T v} = v - 2v = -v$$

$$Hu_i = Iu_i - 2\frac{vv^T u_i}{v^T v} = u_i.$$

And U is a basis, so eigenvalues is -1 with v and 1 with u_i .

(b) The characteristic polynomial of H is

$$p(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} c - \lambda & s \\ -s & c - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 - 2\lambda c + c^2 + s^2.$$

The eigenvalues is solution of characteristic polynomial zero points $c \pm is$.