

PDE Homework #5

李阳 11935018

May 25, 2020

Problem 1. Consider the operator $L = \partial_x + i\partial_y$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , is it hypoelliptic? Explain in details.

Solution. The Cauchy-Riemann operator $L = \partial_x + i\partial_y$ is hypoelliptic.

Consider L on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, we know from complex analysis that solutions of $Lu = 0$ are homomorphic functions of $z = x + iy$ on Ω . Therefore L is hypoelliptic by definition. \square

Problem 2. Consider the heat operator $L = \partial_t - \Delta$, is it hypoelliptic? Explain in details.

Solution. The heat operator $L = \partial_t - \Delta$ is hypoelliptic.

Recall the regularity of solutions of the heat equation:

Theorem (Smoothness). Suppose $u \in C_1^2(U_T)$ solves the heat equation in U_T . Then

$$u \in C^\infty(U_T).$$

This regularity assertion is valid even if u attains nonsmooth boundary values on Γ_T .

Hence the heat operator L is hypoelliptic by definition. \square

Problem 3. Prove (2), i.e.,

$$\partial_\xi^\alpha \hat{K}_1 = \mathcal{O}(\langle \xi \rangle^{-m-|\alpha|}).$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\hat{K}_1 = \frac{1 - \chi(\xi)}{P(i\xi)} \in L^\infty \cap C^\infty \subset \mathcal{S}',$$

where

$$P(i\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha (i\xi)^\alpha.$$

Lemma. A derivative of order k of $1/P(\xi)$ is of the form $Q(\xi)/P(\xi)^{k+1}$ with Q of degree no greater than $(m-1)k$.

Proof of Lemma. We proceed by induction on k .

For $k = 0$, the conclusion clearly holds.

Assume the conclusion to be true for some k , then for some $k+1$, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\exists Q(\xi) \text{ s.t. } \deg(Q(\xi)) \leq (m-1)k \text{ and } (1/P(\xi))^{(k)} = Q(\xi)/P(\xi)^{k+1},$$

hence

$$(1/P(\xi))^{(k+1)} = \frac{Q'(\xi)P(\xi)^{k+1} - (k+1)Q(\xi)P(\xi)^k P'(\xi)}{P(\xi)^{2k+2}} = \frac{Q'(\xi)P(\xi) - (k+1)Q(\xi)P'(\xi)}{P(\xi)^{k+2}},$$

and

$$\det(Q'(\xi)P(\xi) - (k+1)Q(\xi)P'(\xi)) \leq \max\{(m-1)k - 1 + m, (m-1)k + m - 1\} = (m-1)(k+1),$$

which completes the inductive proof. \square

Now the result follows immediately from the above Lemma and the expression of \hat{K}_1 . \square

Problem 4. For 3D wave equation, perform a rigorous derivation of E_3 for $t > 0$. Hint: As for Laplace operator, given $t > 0$, we have

$$\hat{K}_\delta = \hat{E}_3 e^{-\delta|\xi|^2} \rightarrow \hat{E}_3 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

when $\delta > 0$ tends to zero.

Solution.

Lemma. Define $f_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin \nu x}{x}$, then $f_\nu \rightarrow \delta$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Lemma. In fact, for $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty$, we have from Riemann-Lebesgue lemma

$$\langle f_\nu, \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \nu x}{x} \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow \varphi(0) = \langle \delta, \varphi \rangle.$$

□

From the lecture notes, we know that

$$\hat{E}_3(t, \xi) = \frac{\sin t|\xi|}{|\xi|}.$$

We need to apply the inverse Fourier transformation to get E_3 :

$$\begin{aligned} E_3(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\sin t|\xi|}{|\xi|} e^{i(\xi_1 x_1 + \xi_2 x_2 + \xi_3 x_3)} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{\sin t\rho}{\rho} e^{i\xi \cdot \mathbf{x}} \rho^2 d\omega d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

establish spherical coordinates (θ, φ) on the sphere with x -direction as the north direction, then we have

$$\xi \cdot \mathbf{x} = \rho r \cos \theta, \quad r = \|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}, \quad d\omega = \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \rho \sin \rho t e^{i\rho r \cos \theta} \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \sin \rho t \left(\int_0^\pi e^{i\rho r \cos \theta} \rho \sin \theta d\theta \right) d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r} \int_0^\infty 2 \sin \rho t \cdot \sin \rho r d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r} \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^A [\cos \rho(r-t) - \cos \rho(r+t)] d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r} \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sin A(r-t)}{r-t} - \frac{\sin A(r+t)}{r+t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the above lemma, we know that $\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin \nu x}{x}$ converges to $\delta(x)$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$E(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{4\pi r} [\delta(r-t) - \delta(r+t)].$$

Since $r+t > 0$, we have $\delta(r+t) \equiv 0$. Hence

$$E(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{4\pi r} \delta(r-t).$$

□

Problem 5. For 2D wave, viewing $u(t, \mathbf{x}) = w(t, \mathbf{x}, 0)$ with $(\mathbf{x}, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, using (9) to write down the solution representation formula for $n = 2$.

Solution. We use the method of descent.

Let us write

$$\bar{u}(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) := u(x_1, x_2, t).$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_{tt} - \Delta \bar{u} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty) \\ \bar{u} = \bar{g}, \bar{u}_t = \bar{h} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3 \times \{t = 0\}, \end{cases}$$

for

$$\bar{g}(x_1, x_2, x_3) := g(x_1, x_2), \quad \bar{h}(x_1, x_2, x_3) := h(x_1, x_2).$$

If we write $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (x_1, x_2, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then Kirchhoff's formula implies

$$u(\mathbf{x}, t) = \bar{u}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(t \oint_{\partial \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, t)} \bar{g} d\bar{S} \right) + t \oint_{\partial \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, t)} \bar{h} d\bar{S},$$

where $\bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, t)$ denotes the ball in \mathbb{R}^3 with center $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$, radius $t > 0$ and where $d\bar{S}$ denotes two-dimensional surface measure on $\partial \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, t)$. We simplify the above formula by observing

$$\oint_{\partial \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, t)} \bar{g} d\bar{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi t^2} \int_{\partial \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, t)} \bar{g} d\bar{S} = \frac{2}{4\pi t^2} \int_{B(\mathbf{x}, t)} g(\mathbf{y}) (1 + \|D\gamma(\mathbf{y})\|^2)^{1/2} d\mathbf{y},$$

where $\gamma(\mathbf{y}) = (t^2 - \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2)^{1/2}$ for $\mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{x}, t)$. The factor “2” enters since $\partial \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, t)$ consists of two hemispheres. Observe that $(1 + \|D\gamma\|^2)^{1/2} = t(t^2 - \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2)^{-1/2}$. Therefore

$$\oint_{\partial \bar{B}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, t)} \bar{g} d\bar{S} = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \int_{B(\mathbf{x}, t)} \frac{g(\mathbf{y})}{(t^2 - \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{y} = \frac{t}{2} \oint_{B(\mathbf{x}, t)} \frac{g(\mathbf{y})}{(t^2 - \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{y}.$$

Consequently

$$u(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(t^2 \oint_{B(\mathbf{x}, t)} \frac{g(\mathbf{y})}{(t^2 - \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{y} \right) + \frac{t^2}{2} \oint_{B(\mathbf{x}, t)} \frac{h(\mathbf{y})}{(t^2 - \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{y}.$$

But

$$t^2 \oint_{B(\mathbf{x}, t)} \frac{g(\mathbf{y})}{(t^2 - \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{y} = t \oint_{B(\mathbf{0}, 1)} \frac{g(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{z})}{(1 - \|\mathbf{z}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{z},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(t^2 \oint_{B(\mathbf{x}, t)} \frac{g(\mathbf{y})}{(t^2 - \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{y} \right) &= \oint_{B(\mathbf{0}, 1)} \frac{g(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{z})}{(1 - \|\mathbf{z}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{z} + t \oint_{B(\mathbf{0}, 1)} \frac{Dg(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{z}) \cdot \mathbf{z}}{(1 - \|\mathbf{z}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{z} \\ &= t \oint_{B(\mathbf{x}, t)} \frac{g(\mathbf{y})}{(t^2 - \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{y} + t \oint_{B(\mathbf{x}, t)} \frac{Dg(\mathbf{y}) \cdot (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})}{(t^2 - \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$u(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{B(\mathbf{x}, t)} \frac{tg(\mathbf{y}) + t^2 h(\mathbf{y}) + t Dg(\mathbf{y}) \cdot (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})}{(t^2 - \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2)^{1/2}} d\mathbf{y} \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2, t > 0.$$

□